DEC 0 9 1983

Mr. John A. Morley, Project Manager Uranium Mill Tailings Project Office U.S. Department of Energy P.O. Box 5400 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87115

Dear Mr. Morley:

DISTRIBUTION

NMSS r/f WMLU r/f

WM s/f CFlory

RPennifill PDR

DEMartin PLohaus

REBrowning MBell

PDR

In past discussions our respective offices have agreed that NRC concurrence on remedial action plans for vicinity properties could be accomplished generically through the vehicle of the respective processing site EIS or EA. The only exception to this would be vicinity property remedial actions considered to be large and/or complex (unusually significant) and those where the EPA supplemental standards are used. We now detect a flaw in this procedure for generic concurrence. For most of the UMTRA sites the vicinity property remedial actions will commence before the processing site remedial action. This means that the EIS or EA will probably not be finalized before much of the vicinity property work is underway. We believe that our concurrence in the document entitled "Vicinity Property Management and Implementation Manual," currently under development by your office, would be a more viable means of giving generic concurrence on the UMTRAP vicinity property work. Of course the unusually significant and supplemental standards properties would continue to receive individual attention.

Please contact Claude Flory (FTS 427-4648) of my staff concerning this matter.

WM Record File	WM Project 39
<b>D</b> istribution:	PDR LFDR
(Return to Wat, 623-SS)	02

Sincerely, Original signed by Leo B, Higginbotham

Leo B. Higginbotham, Chief Low-Level Waste and Uranium Recovery Projects Branch

\*See previous concurrence

OFC	:WMLU*	:WMLU *	:WMLU *	:WMLV :		
NAME	:CFlory	:RPennifill	:DEMartin	:LBHigginbotham		::
DATE	:83/12/09 cb	:83/12/	:83/12/	:83/12/9	;	: