LICENSEE EVENT REPORT

. CONTROL BLOCK: PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ALL REQUIRED INFORMATION)
0 1 G A E T H 1 2 0 0 - 0 0 0 0 - 0 0 3 4 1 1 1 1 1 5 6 CAT 58 5
CON'T 0 1 REPORT L 6 0 5 0 0 3 2 1 7 1 1 0 2 8 3 8 1 2 0 1 8 3 9 7 8 SOURCE 60 61 DOCKET NUMBER 68 69 EVENT DATE 74 75 REPORT DATE 80
EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES (10) O 2 On 11/02/83, during performance of the "CORE SPRAY PUMP OPERABILITY"
procedure (HNP-1-3201), surveillance personnel noted the flow indicator
0 4 (1E21-R601B) for the "B" loop of core spray was indicating at 4400 gpm.
This event is contrary to the requirements of Tech. Specs. section
0 6 4.5.A.1.b. ADS, RCIC, LPCI mode of RHR and the "A" loop of core spray
o 7 remained operable during this event. The health and safety of the public
O 8 were not affected by this non-repetitive event.
SYSTEM CODE CODE SUBCODE SUBCO
SEQUENTIAL REPORT NO. SEQUENTIAL REPORT NO. SEQUENTIAL REPORT NO. SEQUENTIAL REPORT TYPE NO. 1 0 3 L 0 0 31 22 23 24 26 27 28 29 30 31 32
ACTION FUTURE EFFECT SHUTDOWN HOURS (22) ATTACHMENT NPRD-4 PRIME COMP. COMPONENT METHOD HOURS (22) SUBMITTED FORM SUB. SUPPLIER MANUFACTURER
33 34 35 36 37 40 41 42 43 44 47
CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND COHRECTIVE ACTIONS (27) This event is the result of the flow indicator's transmitter (1E21-N003B)
being out of calibration. The transmitter was replaced, calibrated
being out of calibration. The transmitter was replaced, calibrated and functionally tested satisfactorily. The "B" loop of core spray was
and functionally tested satisfactorily. The "B" loop of core spray was then functionally tested satisfactorily per HNP-1-3201 and returned to
and functionally tested satisfactorily. The "B" loop of core spray was then functionally tested satisfactorily per HNP-1-3201 and returned to
and functionally tested satisfactorily. The "B" loop of core spray was then functionally tested satisfactorily per HNP-1-3201 and returned to service on 11/21/83.
and functionally tested satisfactorily. The "B" loop of core spray was then functionally tested satisfactorily per HNP-1-3201 and returned to service on 11/21/83. Begin to the functionally tested satisfactorily per HNP-1-3201 and returned to METHOD OF DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION (32) Begin to the functionally tested satisfactorily per HNP-1-3201 and returned to Begin to the functionally tested satisfactorily per HNP-1-3201 and returned to Begin to the functionally tested satisfactorily per HNP-1-3201 and returned to Begin to the functionally tested satisfactorily per HNP-1-3201 and returned to Begin to the functionally tested satisfactorily per HNP-1-3201 and returned to Begin to the functionally tested satisfactorily per HNP-1-3201 and returned to Begin to the functionally tested satisfactorily per HNP-1-3201 and returned to Begin to the functionally tested satisfactorily per HNP-1-3201 and returned to Begin to the functionally tested satisfactorily per HNP-1-3201 and returned to Begin to the functional tested satisfactorily per HNP-1-3201 and returned to Begin to the functional tested satisfactorily per HNP-1-3201 and returned to Begin to the functional tested satisfactorily per HNP-1-3201 and returned to Begin to the functional tested satisfactorily per HNP-1-3201 and returned to Begin to the functional tested satisfactorily per HNP-1-3201 and returned to Begin to the functional tested satisfactorily per HNP-1-3201 and returned to Begin to the functional tested satisfactorily per HNP-1-3201 and returned to Begin to the functional tested satisfactorily per HNP-1-3201 and returned to Begin to the functional tested satisfactorily per HNP-1-3201 and returned to Begin to the functional tested satisfactorily per HNP-1-3201 and returned to Begin to the functional tested satisfactorily per HNP-1-3201 and returned to Begin to the functional tested satisfactorily per HNP-1-3201 and returned to Begin to the functional tested satisfactorily per HNP-1-3201 and returned to Begin to the functional test
and functionally tested satisfactorily. The "B" loop of core spray was then functionally tested satisfactorily per HNP-1-3201 and returned to service on 11/21/83. Begin to the functionally tested satisfactorily per HNP-1-3201 and returned to METHOD OF DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION 32 Begin to the functionally tested satisfactorily per HNP-1-3201 and returned to Begin to the functionally tested satisfactorily per HNP-1-3201 and returned to Begin to the functionally tested satisfactorily per HNP-1-3201 and returned to Begin to the functionally tested satisfactorily per HNP-1-3201 and returned to Begin to the functionally tested satisfactorily per HNP-1-3201 and returned to Begin to the functionally tested satisfactorily per HNP-1-3201 and returned to Begin to the functionally tested satisfactorily per HNP-1-3201 and returned to Begin to the functionally tested satisfactorily per HNP-1-3201 and returned to Begin to the functionally tested satisfactorily per HNP-1-3201 and returned to Begin to the functionally tested satisfactorily per HNP-1-3201 and returned to Begin to the functional per HNP-1-3201 and returned to Begin to the functional per HNP-1-3201 and returned to Begin to the functional per HNP-1-3201 and returned to Begin to the functional per HNP-1-3201 and returned to Begin to the functional per HNP-1-3201 and returned to Begin to the functional per HNP-1-3201 and returned to Begin to the functional per HNP-1-3201 and returned to Begin to the functional per HNP-1-3201 and returned to Begin to the functional per HNP-1-3201 and returned to Begin to the functional per HNP-1-3201 and returned to Begin to the functional per HNP-1-3201 and returned to Begin to the functional per HNP-1-3201 and returned to Begin to the functional per HNP-1-3201 and returned to Begin to the functional per HNP-1-3201 and returned to Begin to the functional per HNP-1-3201 and returned to Begin to the functional per HNP-1-3201 and returned to Begin to the function per HNP-1-3201 and returned to Begin to the f
and functionally tested satisfactorily. The "B" loop of core spray was then functionally tested satisfactorily per HNP-1-3201 and returned to service on 11/21/83. 1
and functionally tested satisfactorily. The "B" loop of core spray was then functionally tested satisfactorily per HNP-1-3201 and returned to service on 11/21/83. Begin to the functionally tested satisfactorily per HNP-1-3201 and returned to The functionally tested satisfactorily per HNP-1-3201 and returned to The functionally tested satisfactorily per HNP-1-3201 and returned to The functionally tested satisfactorily per HNP-1-3201 and returned to The functionally tested satisfactorily per HNP-1-3201 and returned to The functionally tested satisfactorily per HNP-1-3201 and returned to The functionally tested satisfactorily per HNP-1-3201 and returned to The functionally tested satisfactorily per HNP-1-3201 and returned to The functionally tested satisfactorily per HNP-1-3201 and returned to The functionally tested satisfactorily per HNP-1-3201 and returned to The functionally tested satisfactorily per HNP-1-3201 and returned to The functionally tested satisfactorily per HNP-1-3201 and returned to The functionally tested satisfactorily per HNP-1-3201 and returned to The functionally tested satisfactorily per HNP-1-3201 and returned to The functionally tested satisfactorily per HNP-1-3201 and returned to The functionally tested satisfactorily per HNP-1-3201 and returned to The functionally tested satisfactorily per HNP-1-3201 and returned to The functionally tested satisfactorily per HNP-1-3201 and returned to The functional per HNP-1-3201
and functionally tested satisfactorily. The "B" loop of core spray was then functionally tested satisfactorily per HNP-1-3201 and returned to service on 11/21/83. Service on 11/21/83. OTHER STATUS SPOWER OTHER STATUS SURVEIllance Test SERVICE STATUS SURVEILLANCE TEST SERVICE STATUS SPERSONNEL EXPOSURES NUMBER TYPPE DESCRIPTION 39 PERSONNEL EXPOSURES NUMBER TYPPE DESCRIPTION 39 PERSONNEL INJURIES NUMBER O O O O 37 Z 38 NA SERVICE STATUS
and functionally tested satisfactorily. The "B" loop of core spray was then functionally tested satisfactorily per HNP-1-3201 and returned to service on 11/21/83. Service on 11/21/83. DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION 32 B 3 10 Surveillance Test ACTIVITY CONTENT RELEASED OF RELEASE AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY 35 NUMBER TYPE DESCRIPTION 39 PERSONNEL EXPOSURES NAM AS PERSONNEL EXPOSURES TYPE DESCRIPTION 39 PERSONNEL INJURIES NAM AS PERSONNEL INJURIES NUMBER TYPE DESCRIPTION 39 PERSONNEL INJURIES NAM AS PERSONNEL INJURIES NAM AS PERSONNEL INJURIES SERVICE SER
and functionally tested satisfactorily. The "B" loop of core spray was then functionally tested satisfactorily per HNP-1-3201 and returned to service on 11/21/83. 1
and functionally tested satisfactorily. The "B" loop of core spray was then functionally tested satisfactorily per HNP-1-3201 and returned to service on 11/21/83. 1
and functionally tested satisfactorily. The "B" loop of core spray was then functionally tested satisfactorily per HNP-1-3201 and returned to then functionally tested satisfactorily per HNP-1-3201 and returned to service on 11/21/83. Bellow of the status of the st

NARRATIVE REPORT FOR LER 50-321/1983-107

LICENSEE : GEORGIA POWER COMPANY

FACILITY NAME : EDWIN I. HATCH

DOCKET NUMBER : 50-321

Tech. Specs. section(s) which requires report:

This 30-day LER is required by Tech. Specs. section 6.9.1.9.b due to the event's showing that the unit was not meeting the requirements of Tech. Specs. section 4.5.A.1.b.

Plant conditions at the time of the event(s):

On 11/02/83, the plant was in steady state operation at 1686 MWt (approximately 69% power) when this event occurred.

Detailed description of the event(s):

On 11/02/83, with HPCI out of service for preventive maintenance, the "CORE SPRAY PUMP OPERABILITY" procedure (HNP-1-3201) was being performed to prove operability. It was then noted by surveillance personnel that the flow indicator (1E21-R601B) for the "B" loop of core spray was indicating at 4400 gallons per minute at a system head of 300 psig. This is contrary to the requirements of Tech. Specs. section 4.5.A.1.b (i.e., each core spray loop shall deliver 4625 gpm at a system head greater than 113 psig).

Consequences of the event(s):

Plant operation was not affected by this event. The health and safety of the public were not affected by this event.

Status of redundant or backup subsystems and/or systems:

The redundant "A" loop of core spray remained operable during this event. Additionally, the ADS system, RCIC system and the RHR system's LPCI mode remained operable during this event.

Justification for continued operation:

Plant operation was placed under a 24 hour LCO as per Tech. Specs. section 3.5.D.3; however, the HPCI system was returned to service within approximately 8.5 hours. Thus, plant operation continued under a 7 day LCO as permitted by Tech. Specs. section 3.5.A.2.

Note: The reactor was placed in cold shutdown at 11:58 hours on 11/05/83 for the fuel reconstitution outage. After 11:58 on 11/05/83 and until the "B" loop core spray was returned to service on 11/21/83, the core spray system was not required to be operable per Tech. Specs. section 3.5.A.1.a.(2).

If repetitive, number of previous LER:

This is a non-repetitive event.

Narrative Report for LER 50-321/1983-107 Page Two

Impact to other systems and/or Unit:

This event did not affect any other Unit 1 system. This event did not affect Unit 2.

Cause(s) of the event(s):

This event is the result of the flow indicator's (1E21 R601B) being out of calibration due to its flow transmitter (drive unit for the indicator) being out of calibration. Further investigation revealed that the flow transmitter would not recalibrate. It was noted during the investigation that when the 1E21-R601B indicated 4400 gpm that the actual flow was 4637 gpm.

Immediate Corrective Action:

The flow indicator's transmitter (1E21-NOO3B) was replaced with a different type transmitter per DCR-83-212. The flow indicator was recalibrated per the "GE TYPE 180 INDICATOR" procedure (HNP-1-5233) and the flow transmitter was calibrated per the "GE TYPE 555 & 556 PRESSURE TRANSMITTERS" procedure (HNP-1-5210). The "B" loop of core spray was functionally tested satisfactorily per HNP-1-3201 and returned to service on 11/21/83.

Supplemental Corrective Action:

No supplemental corrective action was required.

Scheduled (future) corrective action:

No future corrective action is required.

Action to prevent recurrence (if different from corrective actions):

N/A

Georgia Power Company Post Office Box 439 Baxley, Georgia 315:3 Telephone 912 367-7781 912 537-9444

92 DEC 7 P2: 27



Edwin I. Hatch Nuclear Plant

December 1, 1983 GM-83-1147

PLANT E. I. HATCH Licensee Event Report Docket No. 50-321

United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission Office of Inspection and Enforcement Region II Suite 3100 101 Marietta Street Atlanta, Georgia 30303

ATTENTION: Mr. James P. O'Reilly

Attached is Licensee Event Report No. 50-321/1983-107. This report is required by Hatch Unit 1 Technical Specifications Section 6.9.1.9.b.

H. C. Nix 7General Manager

HCN/SBT/djs

xc:

R. J. Kelly

G. F. Head

J. T. Beckham, Jr.

P. D. Rice

K. M. Gillespie

S. B. Tipps

R. D. Baker

Centrol Room

Document Control

OFFICIAL COPY

TEZZ

1/1