

---

SUMMARY REPORT

---

**1994**

---

COLORADO STATE UNIVERSITY


FORT COLLINS, COLORADO 80523

**RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM**

**For the Fort St. Vrain Station**  
Operated by the Public Service Co. of Colorado


Summary Report  
for the Period  
January 1, 1994 - December 31, 1994

Prepared by:

  
James E. Johnson, Professor  
Colorado State University


4/18/95  
Date

Prepared by:

  
Thomas Miller, Lab. Coordinator  
Colorado State University


4/18/95  
Date

Reviewed by:

  
Timothy E. Schleiger  
Senior Health Physicist

4/19/95  
Date

Approved by:

  
Frederick J. Borst  
Radiation Protection Manager

4/20/95  
Date


### Acknowledgements


Many persons have contributed to this project during 1994, and it is important to acknowledge their effort. We first thank the citizens from whose farms, homes, and ranches we collect the environmental samples. Without their cooperation the project would not be possible.

We also wish to acknowledge and thank Mr. Robert Keiss and his associates as well as the Colorado Division of Wildlife, Fort Collins regional office for assisting with the fish collection. Their cooperation, equipment and expertise made the collection possible.

The persons in this laboratory working directly on the project have been:

Charles Sampier	Chief Electronic Technician
Vaughn Jones	Research Associate
Grant Johns	Student Employee
Roy DePriest	Student Employee
Gerald M. Ward	Professor Emeritus

  
James E. Johnson  
Professor and Project Director

  
Thomas S. Miller  
Laboratory Coordinator

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
Acknowledgments	ii
List of Tables	iv
List of Figures	vii
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. SURVEILLANCE DATA FOR 1994 AND INTERPRETATION OF RESULTS	
A. External Gamma Exposure Rates	6
B. Ambient Air Concentrations	12
C. Radionuclide Concentration in Water	28
D. Milk	67
E. Food Products	76
F. Aquatic Pathways	81
G. Sample Crosscheck Program	87
H. Summary and Conclusions	97
III. ENVIRONMENTAL RADIATION SURVEILLANCE PROGRAM AND SCHEDULE	113



## LIST OF TABLES

	Page No.
II.A.1      Gamma Exposure Rates	8
II.B.1      Concentrations of Long-lived Gross Beta Particulate Activity in Air	
a. First Quarter,	14
b. Second Quarter,	15
c. Third Quarter,	16
d. Fourth Quarter,	17
II.B.2      Tritium in Atmospheric Water Vapor	
a. First Quarter,	20
b. Second Quarter,	21
c. Third Quarter,	22
d. Fourth Quarter,	23
II.B.3      Tritium Released in Fort St. Vrain Effluents, 1994	24
II.B.4      Radiocesium Concentrations in Ambient Air	27
II.C.1      Gross Beta in Drinking Water	
a. First and Second Quarter	30
b. Third and Fourth Quarter	31
II.C.2      Tritium in Drinking Water	
a. First and Second Quarter	32
b. Third and Fourth Quarter	33
II.C.3      Radionuclide Concentrations in Bi-weekly Composites of Drinking Water.	34-42

## LIST OF TABLES (Continued)

Page No.

II.C.4	Tritium in Surface Water	
	a. First Quarter	45
	b. Second Quarter	46
	c. Third Quarter	47
	d. Fourth Quarter	48
II.C.5	Radionuclide Concentrations in Surface	49-60
II.C.6	Radionuclide Concentrations in Ground Water	62
II.C.7	Tritium in Ground Water	63
II.C.8	Maximum Permissible Concentrations in Drinking Water	64
II.D.1	Radionuclide Concentrations in Milk	69-74
II.D.2	Tritium in Milk	75
II.E.1	Radionuclide Concentrations in Food Products	78
II.E.2	Radionuclide Concentrations in Beef Samples	
	a. Collected 5/2/94	79
	b. Collected 12/5/94	80
II.F.1	Radionuclide Concentrations in Fish	83
II.F.2	Radionuclide Concentrations in Sediment at Location F-1	
	a. January through August	84
	b. September through December	85
II.F.3	Radiocesium Concentrations in Sediment from location R-10	86

## LIST OF TABLES (Continued)

II.G.1	EPA Cross-check Data Summary	90-91
II.G.2	Tritium Crosscheck Analyses on Split Water Samples Determined by Colorado State University and Public Service Company	
	a. First and Second Quarter	92
	b. Third and Fourth Quarter	93
II.G.3	Gross Beta Crosscheck Analyses on Split Water Samples Determined by Colorado State University and Public Service Company	
	a. First and Second Quarter	94
	b. Third and Fourth Quarter	95
II.G.4	Intralaboratory Crosscheck Results	96
II.H.1	Data Summary	103-107
II.H.2	Summary Table of Arithmetic Means and Standard Deviations for Selected Sample Types	108-110
II.J.1	Tritium Concentrations in F-16 Well Water	
	a. First Half	111
	b. Second Half	112
III.A.1	Detection Capabilities for Environmental Sample Analysis	115
III.C.1	Land-use Census, 1994	118

## LIST OF FIGURES

		Page No.
Figure II.A.1	Gamma Exposure Rates, 1978-1994 (Facility Area Only)	11
Figure II.B.1	Gross Beta Concentrations in Air	18
Figure II.C.1	Tritium Concentrations in F-16 Well Water, 1984-1994	65
Figure II.C.2	Tritium Concentrations in F-16 Well Water	66
Figure III.B.1	Close-in Sampling Locations	116
Figure III.B.2	Adjacent and Reference Sampling Locations	117
Figure III.C.1	Land Use Census, 1994	119

I. Introduction to Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program (REMP) Data for the Period January 1, 1994 - December 31, 1994.

During 1994 the Fort St. Vrain Nuclear Station did not operate and is presently in a decommissioning phase. The operational phase of the reactor ended on August 18, 1989. Fuel removal operations were completed by June 10, 1992. The spent fuel is stored nearby in an Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation (ISFSI).

A complete and detailed listing of radioactivity released by all effluent routes may be found in the Public Service Company of Colorado Annual Effluent Release Report for 1994 to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. When possible in this report, any correlation of radioactivity in environmental samples with the effluent release data is discussed. These discussions are presented in the appropriate sample type section and in the summary section, II.H.

Table III.A.2 lists the LLD values achievable by the counting systems used during 1994 on project samples. These values are given for typical sample sizes, counting times and decay times. The LLD is, therefore, an a priori parameter to indicate the capability of the detection system used. The LLD values in Table III.A.2 were calculated as suggested in NUREG-0472.

Throughout the report, however, when a sample result is listed as less than a specified value, that value is the calculated minimum detectable concentration (MDC). This approach is analogous to that of Currie (NUREG/CR-4007): the MDC is the same as  $S_c$ , the critical signal, and the LLD is equal to  $S_D$ , the detectable signal. The MDC

value applies to the actual sample size, counting time and decay time applicable to that individual sample. It is calculated for each radionuclide as:

$$MDC = \frac{2.33 \sigma_B}{EYVe^{-\lambda t}}$$

Where:  $\sigma_B$  = Standard deviation of background count rate

E = Counting efficiency, c s<sup>-1</sup> pCi<sup>-1</sup>

Y = Chemical yield (if any)

V = Sample volume (or mass)

$\lambda$  = 0.693/Half-life

t = Decay time between sample collection and analysis

This calculation method assumes that E and Y are constants and makes no allowance for systematic error.

It should be noted that we have not used the notation "< MDC" for values less than MDC. Rather, we report the result as less than the actual MDC value. Because the MDC is dependent upon variables such as the background count time and sample size, the value will be different for each radionuclide for each sample type and even within sample type.

Essentially all radioactivity values measured on this project are near background levels and, more importantly, near the MDC values for each radionuclide and sample type. It has been well-documented that environmental radioactivity values exhibit great inherent variability. This is partly due to sampling and analytical variability, but most

importantly due to true environmental or biological variability. As a result, the overall variability of the surveillance data is quite large, and it is necessary to use mean values from a rather large sample population size to draw any conclusions about the absolute radioactivity concentrations in any environmental pathway.

The arithmetic mean for each sample set is listed in Table II.H.2. All measured values, both positive and negative, are used in the calculations of the arithmetic mean. This is the suggested practice by Gilbert (Health Physics 40:377, 1984) and the NRC (NUREG/CR-4007).

Many sets of data were compared in this report. The statistical test used was either a "t"-test or a paired "t"-test. If data sets are noted to be significantly different or not significantly different, the confidence for the statement is at the 95% level ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ),  $(1.96\sigma)$ .

The Total Effective Dose Equivalent (TEDE) goal for decommissioning as set by the NRC (NUREG/CR-5512, 1993) is 10 mrem/year for any member of the general public. This is the TEDE rate limit excluding background and medical radiation dose rate.

The maximum permissible dose commitment rate set by the EPA (40CFR190) for any specified member of the general public from any part of the nuclear fuel cycle is 25 mrem/year.

Dose commitments can be calculated for hypothetical individuals for any mean concentrations noted in unrestricted areas that are significantly above control mean values.

The Offsite Dose Calculation Manual was changed from Issue 4 to Issue 5



effective September 15, 1994. The revisions were made to reflect previous discrepancies between the REMP program and the ODCM.



The following is the footnote system used in this report.

- a. Sample lost prior to analysis.
- b. Sample missing at site.
- c. Instrument malfunction.
- d. Sample lost during analysis.
- e. Insufficient weight or volume for analysis.
- f. Sample unavailable.
- g. Analysis in progress.
- h. Sample not collected (actual reason given).
- i. Analytical error (actual reason given).
- N.A. Not applicable.

## ii. Surveillance Data for January Through December 1994 and Interpretation of Results

### A. External Gamma-ray Exposure Rates

The average measured gamma-ray exposure rates expressed in mR/day are given in Table II.A.1. The values were determined by  $\text{CaF}_2:\text{Dy}$  (TLD-200) dosimeters at each of 41 locations (see Table F-4 in ODCM). Two TLD chips per package are installed at each site and the mean value is reported for that site. The mean calculated total exposure is then divided by the number of days that elapsed between pre-exposure and post-exposure annealing to obtain the average daily exposure rate. The TLD devices are changed quarterly at each location. Fading during field exposure is minimized by the post-annealing readout procedure. All TLD's are facing north to ensure consistent solar heating.

The TLD data indicate that the arithmetic mean measured exposure rate (plus 1.96 standard deviations) in the facility area for all of 1994 was 0.42 (0.12) mR/day. The mean exposure rate was 0.41 (0.13) mR/day for the adjacent area and 0.39 (0.12) mR/day for the reference area. These mean values are not significantly different from each other and not different from the mean values measured during 1993.

The exposure rate measured at all sites is due to a combination of exposure from cosmic rays, from natural gamma-ray emitters in the earth's crust and from ground surface deposition of fission products due to previous world-wide fallout. The variation in measured values is due to true variation of the above sources plus the variability due

to the measurement method. The purpose of having two TLD rings around the site is not to measure gamma-rays generated from the facility itself, but to document the presence or absence of gamma-ray emitters deposited upon the ground from the reactor effluent. Since the inception of power production by the reactor, there has been no detectable increase in the external exposure rate due to reactor releases. Fallout deposition, from world-wide fallout, from the Chinese nuclear weapon tests, and from the Chernobyl accident, has been detected in the past.

The TLD system is calibrated by exposing chips to a scattered gamma-ray flux produced in a cavity surrounded by uranium mill tailings. This produces a gamma-ray spectrum nearly identical to that from the natural background measured in the site environs. The quality control program includes calibration before readout of each quarterly batch of TLD devices.

For comparison purposes, EPA 402/R (Formerly 520/5) Environmental Radiation Data, lists very similar background external exposure rate values measured in Denver. There has always been excellent agreement with the results from this program.

Figure II.A.1 shows the measured mean exposure rate in the Facility Area from 1978 to 1994. The steady decrease in exposure rate over the period is due to the decay and weathering of fission product deposition from previous atmospheric weapon tests.

**Table II.A.1 Gamma Exposure Rates (mR/day)  
1994**

Facility Area	1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4th Quarter
F-1	0.41	0.49	0.42	0.47
F-2	0.47	0.35	0.44	0.38
F-3	0.38	0.41	0.42	0.39
F-4	0.47	0.52	0.35	0.36
F-5	0.41	0.35	0.40	0.45
F-6	0.46	0.35	0.34	0.45
F-7	0.42	0.56	0.38	0.41
F-8	0.47	0.42	0.33	0.40
F-9	0.46	0.38	0.37	0.44
F-10	0.49	0.38	0.43	0.44
F-11	0.40	0.41	0.53	0.43
F-12	0.34	0.36	0.37	0.36
F-13	0.46	0.31	0.42	0.58
F-14	0.39	0.52	0.33	0.45
F-15	0.48	0.38	0.36	0.37
F-16	0.42	0.35	0.53	0.50
F-17	0.48	0.43	0.37	0.45
F-18	0.49	0.45	0.51	0.47
$\bar{X}(1.96\sigma)$	0.44(0.08)	0.41(0.13)	0.41(0.12)	0.44(0.10)


**Table II.A.1 (cont'd)**

Adjacent Area	1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4th Quarter
A-1	0.39	0.38	0.38	0.49
A-2	0.40	0.39	0.33	0.48
A-3	0.37	0.54	0.59	0.46
A-4	0.35	0.35	0.38	0.39
A-5	0.40	0.37	0.41	0.37
A-6	0.33	0.35	0.41	0.35
A-7	0.45	0.41	0.41	0.37
A-8	0.56	0.56	0.45	0.41
A-9	0.39	0.31	0.35	0.41
A-10	0.40	0.46	0.38	0.47
A-11	0.46	0.38	0.45	0.36
A-12	0.39	0.42	0.37	0.44
A-13	0.35	0.37	0.36	0.32
A-14	0.35	0.44	0.46	0.46
A-15	0.36	0.32	0.35	0.42
A-16	0.34	0.51	0.27	0.47
A-17	0.46	0.36	0.45	0.38
A-20	0.57	0.45	0.38	0.50
$\bar{X}(1.96\sigma)$	0.41(0.13)	0.40(0.13)	0.41(0.12)	0.42(0.11)

**Table II.A.1 (cont'd)**

Reference Area	1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4th Quarter
R-1	0.43	0.37	0.37	0.43
R-2	0.36	0.32	0.39	0.42
R-3	0.42	0.35	0.36	0.29
R-4	0.40	0.42	0.34	0.35
R-7	0.42	0.60	0.39	0.40
$\bar{X}(1.96\sigma)$	0.40(0.04)	0.41(0.20)	0.37(0.04)	0.38(0.10)

# Gamma Exposure Rates (mR/day) 1978-1994 (Facility Area Only)



## II.B. Ambient Air Concentrations

### 1. Gross Beta Activity

The air concentrations of long lived particulate gross beta activity measured at the facility and reference sampling sites are listed in Tables II.B.1(a-d) for each quarter of 1994. A-19, while technically in the adjacent zone, is only a few meters from the facility boundary and logically should be considered a facility site. It has been termed a facility site since the inception of the monitoring program. The reference sites R-3, R-4, and R-11 were established on January 1, 1984 and are sufficiently distant to be considered reference (control) locations. (See Table F-4 in ODCM). Note that site F-7 is in the predominant wind direction of Platteville. Platteville is the nearest community with the highest D/Q as specified in the ODCM.

The reported concentrations are listed in units of femtocuries per cubic meter of ambient air ( $\text{fCi}/\text{m}^3$ ), although the measured activity is due to a combination of radionuclides almost all of which are naturally occurring. All air filters are saved for future analysis if warranted due to any possible accident scenario during decommissioning.

The mean gross beta concentration in air for all facility stations for all of 1994 was  $28 \text{ fCi}/\text{m}^3$ . For 1993 the mean value was  $24 \text{ fCi}/\text{m}^3$ . The mean concentration for all reference stations for 1994 was  $24 \text{ fCi}/\text{m}^3$ . These measured mean values were not statistically significantly different at the 95% confidence level.

The gross beta concentrations in air for 1994 have been added to the plot of air



concentrations observed since 1973 (Figure II.B.1). In this figure the half-yearly mean values for the facility sites are plotted with the values from the reference sites. The contribution from the Chernobyl accident is clearly evident in 1986. It can be observed that overall mean values of the facility samplers are not significantly different from the reference samplers. World-wide fallout, principally due to past Chinese atmospheric nuclear weapon tests, is the predominant contributor above background to the measured values over the period shown.

There has never been a significant difference observed in gross beta air concentrations between facility and reference sites. Thus, it can be concluded that reactor air effluents of particulate fission products or activation products during operation or decommissioning were not a source of dose commitment for the Fort St. Vrain environs population.

**Table II.B.1(a) Concentrations of Long-lived Gross Beta Particulate Activity in Air (fCi/m<sup>3</sup>)**

**1<sup>st</sup> Quarter 1994**

Collection Dates	Facility				Reference		
	F-7	F-9	F-16	A-19	R-3	R-4	R-11
01/07	20(1.5)*	19(1.5)	19(1.5)	20(1.6)	19(1.4)	18(1.5)	18(1.4)
01/14	26(1.3)	23(1.1)	26(1.2)	30(1.4)	19(1.0)	24(1.3)	24(1.1)
01/22	23(2.9)	22(1.1)	25(1.1)	29(1.3)	24(1.0)	23(1.0)	21(1.0)
01/29	23(1.2)	23(1.2)	26(1.3)	30(1.5)	24(1.1)	24(1.2)	25(1.2)
02/05	32(1.4)	32(1.3)	32(1.5)	30(1.4)	20(1.0)	21(1.0)	25(1.3)
02/12	29(1.2)	29(1.2)	30(1.2)	33(1.3)	25(1.1)	29(1.2)	25(1.1)
02/19	33(1.3)	48(2.6)	29(1.3)	48(9.7)	18(1.0)	19(1.2)	25(1.1)
02/26	35(1.3)	42(1.5)	32(1.2)	28(1.1)	27(1.2)	27(1.1)	23(1.0)
03/05	64(1.8)	57(1.6)	51(1.6)	50(1.6)	24(1.0)	23(1.1)	30(1.3)
03/12	61(1.7)	51(1.5)	50(1.4)	42(1.4)	28(1.1)	27(1.2)	30(1.2)
03/19	45(1.7)	51(1.8)	64(1.8)	64(2.0)	32(1.3)	31(1.3)	32(1.3)
03/26	18(1.1)	18(1.0)	19(1.1)	19(1.1)	17(0.96)	18(1.0)	19(1.0)
$\bar{X}$	34	35	34	35	23	24	25
1.96 $\sigma$	29	27	26	25	8.6	8.0	8.1
	<b>Max:64</b> <b>Min:18</b> $\bar{X}(1.96\sigma): 35(27)$ <b>n:48</b>				<b>Max:32</b> <b>Min:17</b> $\bar{X}(1.96\sigma):24(8.3)$ <b>n:36</b>		

\* - 1.96 $\sigma$  (Due to counting statistics)

**Table II.B.1(b) Concentrations of Long-lived Gross Beta Particulate Activity in Air (fCi/m<sup>3</sup>)**

**2<sup>nd</sup> Quarter 1994**

Collection Dates	Facility				Reference		
	F-7	F-9	F-16	A-19	R-3	R-4	R-11
04/02	18(1.0)*	19(1.1)	20(1.1)	20(1.2)	18(0.97)	18(0.98)	18(1.0)
04/09	21(1.1)	19(1.1)	19(1.1)	22(1.2)	23(1.1)	19(1.0)	18(1.1)
04/16	24(1.1)	24(1.1)	24(1.1)	22(1.1)	22(1.0)	21(1.1)	22(1.1)
04/23	25(1.2)	25(1.2)	27(1.2)	29(1.4)	26(1.1)	25(1.1)	24(1.2)
04/30	14(0.90)	13(0.87)	14(0.88)	13(0.91)	13(0.82)	16(1.0)	12(0.78)
05/07	24(1.1)	24(1.0)	24(1.0)	24(1.1)	23(1.0)	24(1.1)	23(1.0)
05/14	22(1.1)	11(1.0)	23(1.1)	25(1.2)	23(1.1)	23(1.1)	22(1.0)
05/21	25(1.2)	28(1.2)	28(1.2)	29(1.3)	27(1.2)	26(1.2)	26(1.2)
05/28	23(1.1)	23(1.1)	26(1.2)	22(1.2)	23(1.1)	23(1.1)	23(1.1)
06/04	23(1.1)	22(1.0)	23(1.1)	23(1.2)	24(1.1)	23(1.1)	21(1.0)
06/11	21(1.0)	20(0.98)	23(1.1)	22(1.2)	22(1.1)	20(1.1)	19(0.99)
06/18	20(1.1)	19(1.1)	21(1.2)	20(1.2)	19(1.1)	19(1.1)	20(1.1)
06/25	26(1.2)	28(1.2)	30(1.4)	21(1.1)	27(1.1)	25(1.2)	21(1.0)
$\bar{X}$	22	21	23	22	22	22	21
1.96 $\sigma$	6.2	9.6	7.9	7.7	7.3	5.8	6.6
	<b>Max:30</b> <b>Min:11</b>				<b>Max:27</b> <b>Min:12</b>		
	$\bar{X}(1.96\sigma): 22(8.1)$ <b>n:52</b>				$\bar{X}(1.96\sigma):22(6.7)$ <b>n:39</b>		

\* - 1.96 $\sigma$  (Due to counting statistics)

**Table II.B.1(c) Concentrations of Long-lived Gross Beta Particulate Activity in Air (fCi/m<sup>3</sup>)**

**3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter 1994**

Collection Dates	Facility				Reference		
	F-7	F-9	F-16	A-19	R-3	R-4	R-11
07/02	24(1.2)*	23(1.1)	24(1.2)	24(1.3)	12(0.81)	22(1.2)	21(1.1)
07/09	22(1.1)	21(1.1)	23(1.2)	23(1.2)	29(1.6)	22(1.1)	21(1.1)
07/16	24(1.2)	23(1.2)	26(1.3)	26(1.4)	22(0.95)	30(1.6)	22(1.2)
07/23	29(1.2)	27(1.1)	29(1.3)	27(1.4)	29(1.3)	26(1.2)	e
07/30	31(1.2)	31(1.2)	31(1.3)	30(1.5)	31(1.3)	31(1.2)	34(2.9)
08/05	32(1.3)	30(1.3)	32(1.4)	34(1.5)	35(1.4)	31(1.2)	28(1.3)
08/12	29(1.2)	27(1.2)	30(1.3)	30(1.4)	26(1.2)	25(1.1)	28(1.3)
08/20	30(1.1)	29(1.1)	31(1.2)	31(1.3)	28(1.1)	30(1.1)	29(1.1)
08/27	31(1.3)	31(1.2)	33(1.3)	32(1.4)	33(1.4)	31(1.3)	30(1.3)
09/03	27(1.2)	26(1.1)	27(1.2)	27(1.3)	29(1.2)	26(1.1)	27(1.2)
09/10	27(1.3)	25(1.2)	29(1.3)	31(1.4)	22(1.1)	27(1.2)	27(1.2)
09/17	21(1.1)	20(1.1)	22(1.2)	23(1.3)	20(1.2)	19(1.1)	19(1.1)
09/24	29(1.2)	28(1.2)	29(1.3)	24(1.2)	29(1.2)	25(1.1)	24(1.1)
$\bar{X}$	27	26	28	28	28	27	26
1.96 $\sigma$	6.8	6.9	6.6	7.0	8.5	7.4	8.3
	<b>Max:34</b> <b>Min:20</b>				<b>Max:35</b> <b>Min:12</b>		
	$\bar{X}(1.96\sigma): 27(7.0)$ <b>n:52</b>				$\bar{X}(1.96\sigma): 27(8.2)$ <b>n:37</b>		

\* - 1.96 $\sigma$  (Due to counting statistics)

e - Insufficient volume for analysis

**Table II.B.1(d) Concentrations of Long-lived Gross Beta Particulate Activity in Air (fCi/m<sup>3</sup>)**

**4<sup>th</sup> Quarter 1994**


Collection Dates	Facility				Reference		
	F-7	F-9	F-16	A-19	R-3	R-4	R-11
10/01	32(1.3)*	30(1.3)	33(1.4)	32(1.4)	24(1.2)	27(1.2)	27(1.2)
10/08	23(1.0)	21(0.94)	21(1.0)	18(0.90)	20(0.99)	22(1.0)	22(0.99)
10/15	39(3.4)	35(1.4)	39(1.5)	31(1.6)	35(1.4)	31(1.3)	33(1.3)
10/22	34(1.7)	26(1.0)	24(1.1)	24(1.1)	23(1.1)	23(1.1)	22(1.0)
10/29	33(1.4)	33(1.3)	35(1.4)	36(1.5)	30(1.3)	29(1.3)	28(1.3)
11/05	21(1.1)	21(1.0)	20(1.1)	21(1.1)	23(1.1)	21(1.1)	21(1.0)
11/12	39(1.4)	39(1.3)	37(1.4)	36(1.4)	39(1.3)	35(1.4)	37(1.3)
11/19	24(1.2)	25(1.1)	21(1.0)	25(1.3)	24(1.1)	21(1.0)	25(1.1)
11/26	31(1.3)	a	30(1.3)	31(1.4)	23(1.2)	23(1.1)	26(1.2)
12/03	14(1.0)	13(0.92)	14(1.4)	15(1.1)	11(0.99)	10(0.91)	10(0.96)
12/10	32(1.3)	31(1.2)	34(1.4)	28(1.3)	27(1.2)	25(1.2)	32(1.3)
12/17	38(1.4)	36(1.3)	38(1.3)	39(1.5)	31(1.3)	33(1.4)	33(1.3)
12/24	32(1.4)	28(1.3)	30(1.3)	37(1.6)	24(1.3)g	23(1.2)	26(1.3)
12/31	39(1.5)	38(1.4)	41(1.5)	43(1.6)	33(1.4)	30(1.3)	31(1.3)
$\bar{X}$	31	29	30	30	26	25	27
1.96 $\sigma$	15	14	16	16	13	12	13
	<b>Max:43</b> <b>Min:13</b>				<b>Max:39</b> <b>Min:10</b>		
	$\bar{X}(1.96\sigma): 30(15)$ <b>n:55</b>				$\bar{X}(1.96\sigma):26(13)$ <b>n:42</b>		

\* - 1.96 $\sigma$  (Due to counting statistics)

a - Sample lost prior to analysis

Figure II.B.1

## Gross Beta Concentrations in Air





## 2. Tritium Activity

Atmospheric water vapor samples are collected continuously by passive absorption on silica gel at all seven air sampling stations (four in the facility area and three in the reference area). The specific activity of tritium in water extracted from these weekly samples in 1994 is listed in Tables II.B.2(a-d).

The release mode of tritium from the facility was either batch liquid releases from holding tanks (system 62) or gaseous release. The tank water is first analyzed and then released with sufficient additional dilution, if necessary, to meet 10CFR20 concentration limits. The summary of tritium release by all modes is shown in Table II.B.3. The summary indicates that the total tritium released in 1994 was 2.3 times less than that released in 1993 by all routes. The continuous gaseous stack release was 1.6 times greater than in 1993. But this effluent release was not detected at any air sampling sites.

Inspection of Table II.B.2 shows essentially no detectable tritium activity concentrations in any periods during 1994. There was no correlation with the effluent release of tritium (see Table II.B.3). Inhalation in any case is not a significant pathway for dose to humans. The milk and food pathway are the only significant source of radiation dose to humans from environmental tritium. See results in sections II.D and II.E for these pathways.

Table II.B.2(a) Tritium in Atmospheric Water Vapor (pCi/L)

1<sup>st</sup> Quarter 1994

Collection Dates	Facility				Reference		
	F-7	F-9	F-16	A-19	R-3	R-4	R-11
01/07	< 400	< 400	< 400	< 400	< 400	< 400	< 400
01/14	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390
01/22	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390
01/29	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390
02/05	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390
02/12	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390
02/19	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390
02/26	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390
03/05	< 410	< 410	< 410	< 410	< 410	< 410	< 410
03/12	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390
03/19	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380
03/26	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380



Table II.B.2(b) Tritium in Atmospheric Water Vapor (pCi/L)

2<sup>nd</sup> Quarter 1994

Collection Dates	Facility				Reference		
	F-7	F-9	F-16	A-19	R-3	R-4	R-11
04/02	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380
04/09	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380
04/16	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390
04/23	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390
04/30	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390
05/07	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380
05/14	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380
05/21	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380
05/28	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380
06/04	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380
06/11	450(520)*	530(520)	550(520)	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380
06/18	< 400	< 400	< 400	< 400	< 400	< 400	< 400
06/25	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380

\* - 1.96 $\sigma$  (Due to counting statistics)

Table II.B.2(c) Tritium in Atmospheric Water Vapor (pCi/L)

3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter 1994

Collection Dates	Facility				Reference		
	F-7	F-9	F-16	A-19	R-3	R-4	R-11
07/02	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380
07/09	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380
07/16	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380
07/23	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380
07/30	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	900(1070)*
08/05	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380
08/12	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380
08/20	< 410	< 410	< 410	< 410	< 410	< 410	< 410
08/27	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380
09/03	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390
09/10	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380
09/17	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380
09/24	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380

\* -  $1.96\sigma$  (Due to counting statistics)

**Table II.B.2(d) Tritium in Atmospheric Water Vapor (pCi/L)**

**4<sup>th</sup> Quarter 1994**

Collection Dates	Facility				Reference		
	F-7	F-9	F-16	A-19	R-3	R-4	R-11
10/01	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380
10/08	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380
10/15	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	520(630)
10/22	< 380	< 380	< 380	500(680)	< 380	430(680)	680(680)
10/29	470(680)*	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380
11/05	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390
11/12	< 410	< 410	< 410	< 410	< 410	< 410	< 410
11/19	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380
11/26	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380
12/03	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390
12/10	< 400	< 400	590(680)	< 400	< 400	< 400	< 400
12/17	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390
12/24	< 410	< 410	< 410	< 410	< 410	< 410	< 410
12/31	< 410	< 410	< 410	< 410	< 410	< 410	< 410

\* - 1.96 $\sigma$  (Due to counting statistics)

**Table II.B.3**

**Tritium Released (Ci) In Fort St. Vrain Effluents, 1994**

MODE	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	TOTAL
Continuous Gaseous Stack	0.0786	0.0381	0.0761	0.0492	0.0268	0.0641	0.0862	0.16	0.261	0.211	0.48	0.354	1.88
Batch Liquid	0.224	0.0278	0.0773	0.0056	0.0136	0.016	0.0147	0.0298	0.332	0.491	0.00398	0.096	1.33
TOTAL	0.303	0.0659	0.153	0.0548	0.0404	0.0801	0.101	0.19	0.593	0.702	0.484	0.45	3.21

### 3. Concentrations of Gamma-ray Emitting Radionuclides in Ambient Air

Table II.B.4 lists measured ambient air concentrations of Cs-134 and Cs-137. These values are from gamma-ray spectrum analyses of weekly air filters composited quarterly from each of the seven air sampling stations. Occasional positive values can be noted (see first quarter Cs-137 values). However, in every case these are very close to the lower limit of detection. Cs-137 activity was released via the liquid effluent pathway during 1994 (see sediment results in Table II.F.2(a-b)). The occasional positive values are either measurement system false positives or Cs-137 concentrations possibly due to resuspension of surface soil. The Cs-137 activity in surface soil is due to Chernobyl or previous world-wide fallout which is bound by clay minerals on the surface of undisturbed soil. For the entire year, the mean of the facility stations was not different from the mean of the reference stations.

Although only Cs-134 and Cs-137 are reported, each gamma-ray spectrum is scanned for evidence of peaks from other fission products and activation products. Only gamma-ray activity due to the naturally occurring background radionuclides was observed. During the second quarter of 1986, however, many other fission product and activation product radionuclides were observed due to the Chernobyl accident. Of these only Cs-137 can still be detected, but at steadily decreasing concentrations.

I-131 was not measured at the air sampling stations during any period of 1994. Due to the time period since the end of operation, there is no logic in I-131 monitoring and it was discontinued in January 1993. It is worthy to note that I-131, due to facility

effluent, was never measured in any environmental sample during the operational phase or since the reactor was permanently shut down in August 1989.



Table II.B.4 Radiocesium Concentrations in Ambient Air. (fCi/m<sup>3</sup>)

1994 Collection Periods	Radio- nuclide	Facility				Reference		
		F-7	F-9	F-16	A-19	R-3	R-4	R-11
1 <sup>st</sup> Quarter	Cs-134	< 1.0	< 0.48	< 0.34	0.39(0.33)	< 0.92	< 0.19	< 0.36
	Cs-137	< 1.1	< 0.47	0.51(0.46)	1.2(0.36)	< 0.96	0.79(0.24)	0.62(0.45)
2 <sup>nd</sup> Quarter	Cs-134	< 1.6	< 0.62	< 1.7	< 1.5	< 1.4	< 0.37	< 1.2
	Cs-137	< 1.6	< 0.74	< 1.5	< 1.5	< 1.4	0.46(0.45)	< 1.4
3 <sup>rd</sup> Quarter	Cs-134	2.1(2.2)*	< 0.71	< 0.69	< 1.9	< 0.73	< 1.4	< 0.96
	Cs-137	< 1.8	1.2(0.85)	< 0.80	< 2.0	0.81(0.99)	< 1.5	1.9(1.5)
4 <sup>th</sup> Quarter	Cs-134	< 0.68	< 0.52	< 0.69	< 0.55	< 1.5	< 0.49	< 1.0
	Cs-137	< 0.78	< 0.56	0.66(0.76)	< 0.88	< 1.5	< 0.56	1.3(1.4)

\* - 1.96 $\sigma$  (Due to counting statistics)

## II.C. Radionuclide Concentrations in Water

### 1. Drinking Water

Drinking water is sampled weekly and composited biweekly at two locations. Location R-6 is the well used for drinking water by the town of Gilcrest, Colorado, and R-3 is a water hydrant located on the old CSU dairy farm. The Gilcrest well is the nearest public water supply that could be affected by the facility effluents. R-3 samples are from the Fort Collins drinking water supply and serve as a reference location since its source is run-off surface water from the Rocky Mountains to the west. Water treatment systems for the two water supplies are very different.

Tables II.C.1(a-b) show gross beta concentrations measured in 1994 from each water supply. As in every past year, the mean for the Gilcrest site was higher than the Reference site in Fort Collins. This is due to the different water treatment practices and the different supply sources. The city of Gilcrest does not completely filter the well supply water and natural radionuclide concentrations due to the suspended solids are responsible for the higher measured concentrations. As can be observed in Table II.H.2, however, the mean for the entire year for the Gilcrest site was again lower than that observed prior to 1994. This decrease was due to a recent change in treatment practice by the town of Gilcrest.

Tables II.C.2(a-b) list measured tritium concentrations in these same two drinking water sources. There is no significant difference in the yearly mean tritium concentrations in the two drinking water supplies. The EPA limit for community drinking water systems is currently 20,000 pCi/L for tritium.

The two drinking water supplies were also analyzed biweekly for fission product



and activation product concentrations.

Inspection of Table II.C.3 reveals occasional positive values of radionuclide concentration, but with the exception of Cs-137, these are interpreted to be random variations about the detection limit. The Cs-137 is the residue from the 1986 Chernobyl accident fallout as well as from past world-wide fallout from nuclear weapons testing.

Table II.C.1(a) Gross Beta in Drinking Water (pCi/L)

1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> Quarter 1994

Collection Dates	Gilcrest R-6	Ft. Collins R-3
12/31 01/07	2.3(2.3)*	1.2(0.60)
01/14 01/22	2.3(2.2)	0.99(0.59)
01/29 02/05	3.0(2.3)	0.90(0.59)
02/12 02/19	2.0(2.2)	1.5(0.71)
02/26 03/05	1.8(2.2)	1.4(0.60)
03/12 03/19	4.0(2.3)	0.89(0.57)
03/26 04/02	5.1(2.4)	1.4(0.60)
04/09 04/16	3.8(2.3)	1.3(0.59)
04/23 04/30	4.3(2.4)	1.2(0.59)
05/07 05/14	3.1(2.3)	1.5(0.61)
05/21 05/28	2.6(2.2)	1.3(0.59)
06/04 06/11	2.1(2.2)	1.0(0.58)
06/18 06/25	1.8(2.2)	0.85(0.57)

\* - 1.96 $\sigma$  (Due to Counting Statistics)

**Table II.C.1(b) Gross Beta in Drinking Water (pCi/L)**

**3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> Quarter 1994**

Collection Dates	Gilcrest R-6	Ft. Collins R-3
07/02 07/09	2.3(2.2)*	1.3(0.59)
07/16 07/23	3.0(2.2)	1.3(0.60)
07/30 08/05	2.6(2.2)	1.3(0.60)
08/12 08/20	2.4(2.3)	1.3(0.60)
08/27 09/03	2.4(2.2)	1.4(0.60)
09/10 09/17	2.0(2.2)	1.3(0.59)
09/24 10/01	1.9(2.2)	1.6(0.61)
10/08 10/15	2.5(2.2)	1.5(0.60)
10/22 10/29	1.7(2.2)	1.5(0.60)
11/05 11/12	2.4(2.2)	1.3(0.60)
11/19 11/26	2.8(2.2)	0.65(0.56)
12/03 12/10	1.6(2.1)	1.1(0.59)
12/17 12/24	1.2(2.3)	0.81(0.59)

\* - 1.96 $\sigma$  (Due to Counting Statistics)

Table II.C.2(a) Tritium in Drinking Water (pCi/L)

1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> Quarter 1994

Collection Dates	Gilcrest R-6	Ft. Collins R-3
12/31 01/07	< 400	< 400
01/14 01/22	< 390	< 390
01/29 02/05	< 390	< 390
02/12 02/19	< 390	< 390
02/26 03/05	< 390	< 390
03/12 03/19	< 380	< 380
03/26 04/02	< 380	< 380
04/09 04/16	< 380	< 380
04/23 04/30	< 380	< 380
05/07 05/14	< 380	< 380
05/21 05/28	< 390	< 390
06/04 06/11	< 390	< 390
06/18 06/25	< 380	< 380

**Table II.C.2(b) Tritium in Drinking Water (pCi/L)**

**3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> Quarter 1994**

Collection Dates	Gilcrest R-6	Ft. Collins R-3
07/02 07/09	< 390	< 390
07/16 07/23	< 380	< 380
07/30 08/05	< 380	< 380
08/12 08/20	< 380	< 380
08/27 09/03	< 390	< 390
09/10 09/17	< 380	< 380
09/24 10/01	< 380	< 380
10/08 10/15	410(680)*	< 380
10/22 10/29	< 380	< 380
11/05 11/12	< 380	< 380
11/19 11/26	< 390	< 390
12/03 12/10	< 380	< 380
12/17 12/24	< 380	< 380

\* - 1.96 $\sigma$  (Due to Counting Statistics)

**Table II.C.3 Radionuclide Concentrations in Bi-weekly Composite of Drinking Water. (pCi/L)**

Collection Date	for two weeks ending 1/8		for two weeks ending 1/22		for two weeks ending 2/5	
Radionuclide	Gilcrest R-6	Ft. Collins R-3	Gilcrest R-6	Ft. Collins R-3	Gilcrest R-6	Ft. Collins R-3
Cs-134	< 0.63	< 1.2	< 1.1	< 1.2	< 1.0	< 1.1
Cs-137	4.1(0.94)*	< 1.4	4.9(1.6)	4.8(1.9)	3.1(1.6)	4.5(1.6)
Zr-95	< 1.4	< 4.1	< 2.3	< 3.6	< 2.7	< 2.7
Nb-95	< 0.85	< 1.0	1.9(1.6)	< 1.2	< 1.0	1.8(1.4)
Co-58	< 0.57	< 1.1	< 1.0	< 1.1	< 1.1	< 1.0
Mn-54	< 0.61	1.4(1.5)	1.1(1.3)	< 1.3	< 1.0	< 1.1
Zn-65	< 1.6	< 3.3	< 3.1	< 3.6	< 2.9	< 2.9
Fe-59	< 2.7	< 2.7	4.5(4.7)	< 3.0	< 3.2	< 3.2
Co-60	< 0.58	2.0(1.5)	< 1.1	< 1.2	< 1.0	< 1.0
Ba-140	< 0.99	< 1.9	< 1.8	10(11)	< 3.9	3.9(4.5)
La-140	< 1.1	< 2.2	< 2.1	11(12)	< 4.5	4.5(5.2)

\* - 1.96 $\sigma$  (Due to counting statistics)



**Table II.C.3 Radionuclide Concentrations in Bi-weekly Composite of Drinking Water. (pCi/L)**

Collection Date	for two weeks ending 2/19		for two weeks ending 3/5		for two weeks ending 3/19	
	Gilcrest R-6	Ft. Collins R-3	Gilcrest R-6	Ft. Collins R-3	Gilcrest R-6	Ft. Collins R-3
Cs-134	< 2.0	< 1.1	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 1.5	< 1.5
Cs-137	3.0(2.9)*	4.7(1.7)	< 2.5	2.7(3.0)	2.3(2.2)	3.1(2.2)
Zr-95	< 4.6	< 2.6	< 4.7	< 4.7	< 5.0	< 4.4
Nb-95	< 1.8	< 1.1	< 1.8	< 1.8	< 1.4	< 1.3
Co-58	< 2.1	< 1.0	< 1.9	< 1.8	< 1.4	< 1.3
Mn-54	< 2.0	< 1.1	< 2.0	< 2.1	< 1.6	2.2(1.8)
Zn-65	< 5.4	< 3.3	< 5.6	< 5.4	< 4.4	< 3.9
Fe-59	< 5.8	< 3.1	< 5.9	< 4.7	6.9(6.8)	< 5.0
Co-60	< 2.2	< 1.0	< 2.2	< 2.1	< 1.7	< 1.6
Ba-140	< 7.2	< 1.7	< 7.2	< 15	< 2.5	< 2.4
La-140	< 8.2	< 2.0	< 8.3	< 18	< 2.9	< 2.7

\* - 1.96 $\sigma$  (Due to counting statistics)



**Table II.C.3 Radionuclide Concentrations in Bi-weekly Composite of Drinking Water. (pCi/L)**

Collection Date	for two weeks ending 4/2		for two weeks ending 4/16		for two weeks ending 4/30	
	Gilcrest R-6	Ft. Collins R-3	Gilcrest R-6	Ft. Collins R-3	Gilcrest R-6	Ft. Collins R-3
Cs-134	< 1.2	< 2.2	< 0.64	< 1.1	< 1.3	< 2.3
Cs-137	6.1(1.8)*	4.0(3.1)	5.5(0.97)	2.0(1.7)	5.6(1.9)	5.0(3.3)
Zr-95	< 2.6	< 6.4	< 1.4	< 3.2	< 2.9	< 5.2
Nb-95	1.7(1.9)	< 2.0	1.8(0.89)	< 1.0	< 1.4	< 2.1
Co-58	1.8(1.7)	< 1.9	< 0.68	< 1.0	< 1.2	< 2.1
Mn-54	< 1.2	< 2.2	0.86(0.79)	1.6(1.4)	< 1.3	< 2.2
Zn-65	< 4.3	< 6.7	< 2.0	< 3.2	< 4.3	< 6.5
Fe-59	< 4.2	< 5.0	< 1.9	< 2.7	< 3.1	< 5.3
Co-60	< 1.2	< 2.3	< 0.61	< 1.2	< 1.2	< 2.4
Ba-140	< 8.0	< 14	< 1.0	< 1.9	3.8(3.4)	< 5.0
La-140	< 9.3	< 16	< 1.2	< 2.2	4.4(4.0)	< 5.7

\* - 1.96 $\sigma$  (Due to counting statistics)

**Table II.C.3 Radionuclide Concentrations in Bi-weekly Composite of Drinking Water. (pCi/L)**

Collection Date	for two weeks ending 05/14		for two weeks ending 05/28		for two weeks ending 06/11	
Radionuclide	Gilcrest R-6	Ft. Collins R-3	Gilcrest R-6	Ft. Collins R-3	Gilcrest R-6	Ft. Collins R-3
Cs-134	< 1.2	< 2.1	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 1.9	< 1.6
Cs-137	4.9(1.7)*	< 2.6	< 2.5	< 2.5	< 2.4	< 1.9
Zr-95	< 2.7	< 4.9	< 5.5	< 4.7	< 4.6	< 3.6
Nb-95	< 1.1	< 1.9	< 1.8	< 1.8	< 1.8	< 1.4
Co-58	1.2(1.4)	< 2.1	< 1.9	< 2.1	< 2.2	< 1.4
Mn-54	2.0(1.4)	< 2.1	< 2.0	< 2.1	< 2.0	< 1.6
Zn-65	< 3.6	< 5.9	< 5.6	< 5.3	< 5.6	< 4.3
Fe-59	4.4(3.7)	< 5.7	< 4.6	< 4.7	< 6.2	< 3.6
Co-60	< 1.1	< 2.3	< 2.2	< 2.1	< 2.1	< 1.6
Ba-140	< 2.0	< 3.4	< 3.3	< 7.2	< 9.1	< 2.5
La-140	< 2.3	< 3.9	< 3.8	< 8.2	< 10	< 2.9

\* - 1.96 $\sigma$  (Due to counting statistics)

Table II.C.3 Radionuclide Concentrations in Bi-weekly Composite of Drinking Water. (pCi/L)

Collection Date	for two weeks ending 06/25		for two weeks ending 07/09		for two weeks ending 07/23	
	Gilcrest R-6	Ft. Collins R-3	Gilcrest R-6	Ft. Collins R-3	Gilcrest R-6	Ft. Collins R-3
Cs-134	< 2.1	< 1.2	< 2.4	< 1.3	1.7(1.4)*	< 2.0
Cs-137	< 2.5	6.0(1.8)	< 2.9	2.6(2.0)	2.7(1.7)	< 2.5
Zr-95	< 5.5	< 2.6	< 5.5	< 2.8	< 2.7	< 4.6
Nb-95	< 1.8	< 1.1	< 2.2	< 1.2	< 1.1	< 1.8
Co-58	< 1.9	< 1.1	< 2.5	< 1.2	< 1.3	< 1.8
Mn-54	< 2.0	< 1.2	< 2.4	< 1.3	< 1.2	< 2.0
Zn-65	< 5.8	< 3.0	< 6.5	< 3.6	< 3.2	< 5.3
Fe-59	< 5.9	< 3.2	< 7.0	< 3.6	< 2.7	< 4.6
Co-60	< 2.2	2.4(1.4)	< 2.5	< 1.2	< 1.3	< 2.1
Ba-140	< 7.1	< 1.9	8.2(9.8)	< 4.0	< 1.9	8.7(8.9)
La-140	< 8.2	< 2.1	9.5(11)	< 4.6	< 2.2	10(10)

\* - 1.96 $\sigma$  (Due to counting statistics)

**Table II.C.3 Radionuclide Concentrations in Bi-weekly Composite of Drinking Water. (pCi/L)**

Collection Date	for two weeks ending 08/06		for two weeks ending 08/20		for two weeks ending 09/03	
Radionuclide	Gilcrest R-6	Ft. Collins R-3	Gilcrest R-6	Ft. Collins R-3	Gilcrest R-6	Ft. Collins R-3
Cs-134	< 0.53	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 1.2	< 2.0	< 2.1
Cs-137	3.9(0.81)*	< 2.5	< 2.5	5.9(1.8)	< 2.4	2.6(3.1)
Zr-95	< 1.1	< 5.0	< 4.7	< 2.7	< 4.7	< 4.9
Nb-95	< 0.50	< 1.9	< 1.8	< 1.1	< 1.9	< 1.9
Co-58	< 0.48	< 1.8	< 2.0	< 1.2	< 1.8	< 1.9
Mn-54	0.85(0.62)	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 1.2	< 2.0	2.8(2.6)
Zn-65	< 1.3	< 5.7	< 5.3	< 3.4	< 5.4	< 6.2
Fe-59	3.0(1.6)	< 4.8	< 4.7	4.2(3.8)	< 5.8	< 4.9
Co-60	< 0.49	< 2.2	< 2.1	1.1(1.3)	< 2.2	< 2.2
Ba-140	< 0.84	< 3.3	< 3.3	< 1.9	8.4(8.5)	< 3.4
La-140	< 0.97	< 3.8	< 3.8	< 2.2	9.7(9.8)	< 3.9

\* - 1.96 $\sigma$  (Due to counting statistics)

**Table II.C.3 Radionuclide Concentrations in Bi-weekly Composite of Drinking Water. (pCi/L)**

Collection Date	for two weeks ending 09/17		for two weeks ending 10/01		for two weeks ending 10/15	
Radionuclide	Gilcrest R-6	Ft. Collins R-3	Gilcrest R-6	Ft. Collins R-3	Gilcrest R-6	Ft. Collins R-3
Cs-134	< 2.5	< 1.8	< 2.0	< 1.7	< 1.9	< 2.1
Cs-137	4.3(3.6)*	< 2.3	< 2.5	2.9(2.4)	< 2.4	2.5(3.0)
Zr-95	< 6.5	< 4.4	< 5.4	< 4.4	< 4.5	< 4.7
Nb-95	< 2.3	< 1.6	< 1.9	< 1.5	< 1.8	< 1.8
Co-58	< 2.3	< 1.9	< 1.9	< 1.7	< 2.0	< 1.9
Mn-54	4.2(3.0)	< 1.9	< 2.1	< 1.7	< 2.0	< 2.1
Zn-65	< 7.4	< 5.1	< 5.5	< 4.4	< 5.2	< 5.6
Fe-59	< 5.9	< 4.5	< 5.9	< 4.0	< 5.8	< 4.7
Co-60	< 2.7	3.4(2.4)	< 2.2	< 1.8	< 2.1	< 2.2
Ba-140	< 7.8	< 3.1	< 7.2	< 5.1	< 7.8	< 7.7
La-140	< 8.9	< 3.6	< 8.3	< 5.9	< 8.9	< 8.8

\* - 1.96 $\sigma$  (Due to counting statistics)

**Table II.C.3 Radionuclide Concentrations in Bi-weekly Composite of Drinking Water. (pCi/L)**

Collection Date	for two weeks ending 10/29		for two weeks ending 11/12		for two weeks ending 11/26	
Radionuclide	Gilcrest R-6	Ft. Collins R-3	Gilcrest R-6	Ft. Collins R-3	Gilcrest R-6	Ft. Collins R-3
Cs-134	< 1.9	< 2.1	< 2.0	< 1.9	< 2.1	< 2.1
Cs-137	< 2.4	2.5(3.0)*	< 2.4	2.8(2.7)	< 2.5	4.1(3.1)
Zr-95	< 4.5	< 4.7	< 5.1	< 4.4	< 5.4	< 4.8
Nb-95	< 1.8	< 1.8	< 1.8	< 1.7	< 1.8	< 1.9
Co-58	< 2.0	< 1.9	< 1.8	2.3(2.3)	< 1.9	< 1.9
Mn-54	< 2.0	< 2.1	< 2.1	2.5(2.5)	< 2.0	< 2.1
Zn-65	< 5.2	< 5.6	< 5.4	< 5.1	< 5.5	< 5.7
Fe-59	< 5.8	< 4.7	< 4.5	< 5.1	< 4.7	< 5.9
Co-60	< 2.1	< 2.2	< 2.1	< 2.1	< 2.1	< 2.2
Ba-140	< 7.8	< 7.7	< 3.2	< 5.3	< 3.3	< 3.4
La-140	< 8.9	< 8.8	< 3.7	< 6.0	< 3.7	< 3.9

\* - 1.96 $\sigma$  (Due to counting statistics)



**Table II.C.3 Radionuclide Concentrations in Bi-weekly Composite of Drinking Water. (pCi/L)**

Collection Date	for two weeks ending 12/10		for two weeks ending 12/24	
Radionuclide	Gilcrest R-6	Ft. Collins R-3	Gilcrest R-6	Ft. Collins R-3
Cs-134	< 2.0	< 2.2	< 2.1	< 2.3
Cs-137	< 2.5	4.3(3.2)*	< 2.6	< 2.6
Zr-95	< 4.7	< 5.1	< 4.9	< 6.1
Nb-95	< 1.9	< 2.0	< 1.9	< 2.0
Co-58	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.3	< 1.9
Mn-54	3.3(2.4)	3.2(2.6)	< 2.1	< 2.2
Zn-65	< 5.5	< 5.9	< 6.0	< 5.9
Fe-59	< 5.3	< 5.1	< 5.0	< 6.6
Co-60	< 2.1	< 2.4	< 2.2	< 2.2
Ba-140	< 3.3	< 3.5	13(12)	< 3.5
La-140	< 3.8	< 4.1	15(14)	< 4.0

\* -  $1.96\sigma$  (Due to counting statistics)



## 2. Surface Water

Surface water is collected from five sites. Since the facility liquid effluent can be directed to either the St. Vrain Creek or the South Platte River, there are upstream and downstream sampling locations on both river courses.

Tables II.C.4(a-d) show tritium concentrations measured during 1994 at the four surface water sites and the effluent route site. The arithmetic mean value for the two downstream locations in 1994 was not significantly different from the two upstream locations (Table II.H.2). There were detectable tritium concentrations at A-25, which is the principal effluent route. This can be noted from September through October and on December 10.

Table II.C.5 shows measurements of fission product and activation product concentrations in surface water samples collected monthly. There were occasional positive values, but the mean of the downstream sites was not significantly different from the mean of the upstream sites during 1994 for any of the gamma-ray emitting radionuclides measured. This has been the case since the inception of reactor operations at the Fort St. Vrain site. The occasional positive values are either fallout Cs-137, which can be expected, or values close to the uncertainty limits and assumed to be false positives.

In addition to the monthly sampling of the South Platte River and St. Vrain Creek, a continuous water sampler collects an aliquot of the effluent stream from the Farm Pond (A-25), at a preset frequency. The sample is dumped after each aliquot in to a 5 gallon collection jug. To prevent overflow of the jug in the one-week sampling period, the aliquot value and/or the sampling frequency is adjusted. The weekly

composites are then combined and analyzed monthly. The results of these samples are also shown in Tables II.C.4 and II.C.5. During the third and fourth quarters of 1994, there was detectable tritium in the farm pond overflow (A-25) due to decommissioning activities. These concentrations correspond to the effluent release report. These concentrations were much lower than the EPA drinking water limit (20,000 pCi/L). No elevated tritium concentrations were detected, however, at the downstream location (R-10) during the entire year.

Mean values of the other radionuclides were less than MDC with the exception of Cs-137. The Cs-137 mean in downstream water was not statistically higher than upstream and therefore the activity is concluded to be due to worldwide fallout. The correlation of the tritium concentrations at A-25 with the effluent release information is good.

Table II.C.4(a) Tritium in Surface Water (pCi/L)

1<sup>st</sup> Quarter 1994

Collected Date	Downstreams		Upstream		Effluent
	F-20	R-10	A-21	F-19	A-25
01/07	< 400	< 400	< 400	< 400	< 400
01/14	< 410	< 410	< 410	< 410	< 410
01/22	< 410	< 410	< 410	< 410	< 410
01/29	< 410	< 410	< 410	< 410	< 410
02/05	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390
02/12	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390
02/19	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380
02/26	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390
03/05	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390
03/12	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380
03/19	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390
03/26	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390

Table II.C.4(b) Tritium in Surface Water (pCi/L)

2<sup>nd</sup> Quarter 1994

Collected Date	Downstreams		Upstream		Effluent
	F-20	R-10	A-21	F-19	
04/02	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380
04/09	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380
04/16	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380
04/23	< 400	< 400	< 400	< 400	< 400
04/30	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380
05/07	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380
05/14	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380
05/21	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380
05/28	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380
06/04	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380
06/11	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380
06/18	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380
06/25	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380

**Table II.C.4(c) Tritium in Surface Water (pCi/L)**

**3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter 1994**

Collected Date	Downstreams		Upstream		Effluent
	F-20	R-10	A-21	F-19	A-25
07/02	390(550)*	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 390
07/09	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 390
07/16	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380
07/23	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380
07/30	< 380	< 380	570(770)	< 380	< 380
08/05	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380
08/12	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380
08/20	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380
08/27	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380
09/03	< 450	< 450	< 450	< 450	< 370
09/10	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390	1600(1080)
09/17	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	1500(490)
09/24	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	520(1100)

\* - 1.96 $\sigma$  (Due to counting statistics)

Table II.C.4(d) Tritium in Surface Water (pCi/L)

4<sup>th</sup> Quarter 1994

Collected Date	Downstreams		Upstream		Effluent
	F-20	R-10	A-21	F-19	A-25
10/01	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380
10/08	< 450	< 380	< 470	< 450	< 380
10/15	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	2700(480)*
10/22	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	2100(480)
10/29	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	1300(480)
11/05	< 390	< 380	< 390	< 390	< 400
11/12	< 390	< 380	< 390	< 390	< 380
11/19	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380
11/26	< 410	< 380	< 410	< 410	< 390
12/03	< 380	< 390	< 380	< 380	< 380
12/10	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	710(480)
12/17	< 380	< 390	< 380	< 380	< 390
12/24	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380
12/31	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 420

\* - 1.96 $\sigma$  (Due to counting statistics)



**Table II.C.5 Radionuclide Concentrations In Surface Water (pCi/L)**

Collection Date: January 14, 1994\*\*

Radionuclide	Downstream Sites		Upstream Sites		Effluent
	St. Vrain F-20	S. Platte R-10	St. Vrain A-21	S. Platte F-19	Goosequill A-25
Cs-134	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 2.2	< 1.8	< 2.0
Cs-137	5.8(1.5)*	3.9(1.5)	< 2.7	< 2.2	< 2.4
Zr-95	< 2.5	< 2.5	< 5.2	< 4.3	< 6.3
Nb-95	< 0.92	< 1.1	< 2.0	< 1.7	< 1.9
Co-58	< 0.92	< 0.92	< 2.0	< 1.7	< 1.8
Mn-54	< 0.99	< 1.0	< 2.2	2.6(2.2)	< 2.0
Zn-65	< 2.5	< 2.8	< 6.0	< 5.0	< 5.3
Fe-59	< 2.4	3.4(3.4)	< 5.2	< 4.3	< 4.6
Co-60	< 0.91	< 1.0	< 2.3	< 1.9	< 2.2
Ba-140	< 1.6	< 1.7	21(23)	< 3.0	< 17
La-140	< 1.8	< 1.9	24(26)	< 3.4	< 20

\* - 1.96 $\sigma$  (Due to counting statistics)

\*\* - A-25 collected January 15, 1994



**Table II.C.5 Radionuclide Concentrations In Surface Water (pCi/L)**

Collection Date: February 12, 1994\*\*

Radionuclide	Downstream Sites		Upstream Sites		Effluent
	St. Vrain F-20	S. Platte R-10	St. Vrain A-21	S. Platte F-19	Goosequill A-25
Cs-134	2.7(2.3)*	< 1.9	< 1.5	< 1.2	< 1.0
Cs-137	< 2.5	3.4(2.7)	2.4(2.2)	5.0(1.8)	5.4(1.5)
Zr-95	< 4.7	< 4.4	< 3.5	< 2.8	< 2.2
Nb-95	< 1.8	< 1.8	< 1.3	< 1.2	< 1.0
Co-58	3.2(2.4)	< 2.0	< 1.6	< 1.2	< 0.91
Mn-54	< 2.1	< 1.9	< 1.5	< 1.1	1.2(1.2)
Zn-65	< 5.3	< 5.3	< 4.1	< 3.8	< 3.0
Fe-59	< 4.7	< 5.4	< 4.2	< 2.7	< 2.3
Co-60	< 2.2	< 2.0	< 1.6	< 1.1	< 0.96
Ba-140	< 3.2	< 3.1	< 2.4	< 3.1	< 1.6
La-140	< 3.7	< 3.6	< 2.8	< 3.6	< 1.9

\* - 1.96 $\sigma$  (Due to counting statistics)

\*\* - A-25 collected February 14, 1994

**Table II.C.5 Radionuclide Concentrations In Surface Water (pCi/L)**

Collection Date: March 12, 1994\*\*

Radionuclide	Downstream Sites		Upstream Sites		Effluent
	St. Vrain F-20	S. Platte R-10	St. Vrain A-21	S. Platte F-19	Goosequill A-25
Cs-134	< 2.0	< 2.1	< 2.1	1.4(1.4)*	< 2.0
Cs-137	< 2.5	< 2.5	< 2.5	2.7(1.7)	3.1(2.8)
Zr-95	< 4.7	< 5.8	< 6.2	< 2.7	< 4.5
Nb-95	< 2.3	< 1.9	< 1.8	< 1.1	< 1.7
Co-58	< 2.3	< 1.9	< 2.3	< 1.3	< 1.8
Mn-54	< 2.0	2.6(2.5)	< 2.1	< 1.2	< 2.0
Zn-65	< 5.3	< 5.6	< 5.5	< 3.1	< 5.0
Fe-59	< 4.7	< 6.2	< 6.9	< 3.6	< 6.4
Co-60	< 2.2	< 2.2	< 2.2	< 1.3	< 2.1
Ba-140	< 3.3	< 3.4	< 3.4	< 1.9	< 11
La-140	< 3.8	< 3.9	< 3.9	< 2.2	< 13

\* - 1.96 $\sigma$  (Due to counting statistics)

\*\* - A-25 collected March 15, 1994

**Table II.C.5 Radionuclide Concentrations In Surface Water (pCi/L)**

Collection Date: April 9, 1994\*\*

Radionuclide	Downstream Sites		Upstream Sites		Effluent
	St. Vrain F-20	S. Platte R-10	St. Vrain A-21	S. Platte F-19	Goosequill A-25
Cs-134	< 1.1	< 1.2	< 2.1	< 1.9	< 0.69
Cs-137	7.3(1.7)*	3.5(1.8)	< 2.6	< 2.3	5.3(1.0)
Zr-95	< 3.0	< 2.5	< 4.9	< 4.5	2.3(2.2)
Nb-95	1.8(1.7)	3.0(1.6)	< 1.9	< 1.7	< 0.85
Co-58	< 1.0	< 1.1	< 2.0	< 2.1	< 0.76
Mn-54	< 1.2	< 1.2	< 2.2	< 2.0	< 0.71
Zn-65	< 4.2	< 4.0	< 5.9	< 5.3	< 2.5
Fe-59	< 3.5	< 3.6	< 6.6	< 4.5	< 1.6
Co-60	< 1.1	< 1.1	< 2.2	< 2.1	< 0.64
Ba-140	< 4.9	< 4.7	< 3.5	< 8.1	< 1.1
La-140	< 5.6	< 5.4	< 4.0	< 9.3	< 1.3

\* -  $1.96\sigma$  (Due to counting statistics)

\*\* - A-25 collected April 15, 1994

**Table II.C.5 Radionuclide Concentrations In Surface Water (pCi/L)**

Collection Date: May 14, 1994\*\*

Radionuclide	Downstream Sites		Upstream Sites		Effluent
	St. Vrain F-20	S. Platte R-10	St. Vrain A-21	S. Platte F-19	Goosequill A-25
Cs-134	< 2.0	< 1.0	< 1.1	< 2.1	< 1.2
Cs-137	< 2.5	1.8(1.5)*	4.4(1.7)	< 2.5	5.4(1.7)
Zr-95	< 4.7	< 2.3	< 2.5	< 5.3	< 2.5
Nb-95	< 1.8	< 0.95	< 1.2	< 1.9	< 1.1
Co-58	< 2.0	< 0.92	< 1.0	< 2.0	< 1.1
Mn-54	< 2.0	< 1.0	< 1.1	< 2.1	< 1.2
Zn-65	< 5.7	< 2.9	< 3.3	< 6.0	< 3.2
Fe-59	< 4.6	< 2.3	< 2.6	< 4.8	4.9(4.5)
Co-60	< 2.2	< 1.1	< 1.1	< 2.2	< 1.1
Ba-140	< 3.3	< 1.6	< 3.3	< 5.4	< 1.8
La-140	< 3.8	< 1.9	< 3.8	< 6.2	< 2.1

\* - 1.96 $\sigma$  (Due to counting statistics)

\*\* - A-25 collected May 15, 1994

**Table II.C.5 Radionuclide Concentrations In Surface Water (pCi/L)**

Collection Date: June 11, 1994\*\*

Radionuclide	Downstream Sites		Upstream Sites		Effluent
	St. Vrain F-20	S. Platte R-10	St. Vrain A-21	S. Platte F-19	Goosequill A-25
Cs-134	< 1.2	< 1.1	< 2.4	< 1.2	< 1.2
Cs-137	3.5(1.8)*	5.5(1.6)	< 2.9	5.9(1.8)	2.0(1.8)
Zr-95	< 2.6	< 2.4	< 6.8	< 2.5	< 2.8
Nb-95	< 1.2	< 1.2	< 2.2	1.8(1.6)	< 1.1
Co-58	< 1.1	< 1.0	2.9(3.1)	< 1.3	< 1.1
Mn-54	< 1.2	< 1.1	< 2.5	< 1.1	< 1.2
Zn-65	< 3.5	< 3.2	< 6.7	< 2.9	< 3.4
Fe-59	< 2.9	< 3.2	< 7.3	< 3.6	< 2.8
Co-60	< 1.1	< 1.0	< 2.5	1.3(1.3)	< 1.3
Ba-140	< 4.8	< 4.3	< 10	< 4.7	< 2.0
La-140	< 5.5	< 4.9	< 12	< 5.4	< 2.2

\* - 1.96 $\sigma$  (Due to counting statistics)

\*\* - A-25 collected June 15, 1994

**Table II.C.5 Radionuclide Concentrations In Surface Water (pCi/L)**

Collection Date: July 9, 1994\*\*

Radionuclide	Downstream Sites		Upstream Sites		Effluent
	St. Vrain F-20	S. Platte R-10	St. Vrain A-21	S. Platte F-19	Goosequill A-25
Cs-134	< 1.2	< 1.3	< 2.1	< 2.2	< 0.62
Cs-137	4.9(1.9)*	4.7(2.0)	< 2.6	< 2.7	4.5(0.94)
Zr-95	< 3.1	< 2.8	< 4.9	< 5.1	< 1.7
Nb-95	< 1.3	3.5(1.5)	< 1.9	< 2.0	< 0.57
Co-58	2.0(1.6)	< 1.2	< 2.1	< 2.0	< 0.55
Mn-54	< 1.3	< 1.2	< 2.1	< 2.2	0.81(0.76)
Zn-65	< 3.3	< 3.3	< 6.0	< 6.1	< 1.6
Fe-59	< 3.5	< 3.5	< 4.9	< 5.0	< 1.5
Co-60	< 1.2	< 1.3	< 2.3	< 2.4	< 0.58
Ba-140	< 2.1	< 2.1	< 6.5	< 6.4	< 3.0
La-140	< 2.4	< 2.4	< 7.5	< 7.4	< 3.4

\* - 1.96 $\sigma$  (Due to counting statistics)

\*\* - A-25 collected July 15, 1994



**Table II.C.5 Radionuclide Concentrations In Surface Water (pCi/L)**

Collection Date: August 12, 1994\*\*

Radionuclide	Downstream Sites		Upstream Sites		Effluent
	St. Vrain F-20	S. Platte R-10	St. Vrain A-21	S. Platte F-19	Goosequill A-25
Cs-134	< 1.2	< 2.0	< 2.1	< 1.2	< 1.2
Cs-137	< 1.4	3.9(2.9)*	< 2.6	3.5(1.8)	4.7(1.8)
Zr-95	< 2.7	< 4.7	< 4.9	< 2.7	< 2.6
Nb-95	< 1.0	< 1.9	< 1.9	< 1.1	1.5(1.7)
Co-58	< 1.1	< 1.9	< 2.1	< 1.1	1.5(1.6)
Mn-54	< 1.2	< 2.0	< 2.1	< 1.2	< 1.2
Zn-65	< 3.1	< 5.4	< 6.0	< 3.4	< 3.1
Fe-59	< 2.7	< 5.1	< 4.9	5.3(3.6)	4.3(4.8)
Co-60	< 1.3	< 2.2	< 2.3	< 1.1	< 1.1
Ba-140	< 1.9	< 4.5	< 6.5	< 1.9	< 1.9
La-140	< 2.2	< 5.2	< 7.5	< 2.2	< 2.2

\* - 1.96σ (Due to counting statistics)

\*\* - A-25 collected August 15, 1994



**Table II.C.5 Radionuclide Concentrations In Surface Water (pCi/L)**

Collection Date: September 12, 1994\*\*

Radionuclide	Downstream Sites		Upstream Sites		Effluent
	St. Vrain F-20	S. Platte R-10	St. Vrain A-21	S. Platte F-19	Goosequ A-25
Cs-134	< 2.3	< 2.1	< 2.0	< 1.8	< 2.1
Cs-137	< 2.9	< 2.6	< 2.4	2.3(2.6)*	< 2.5
Zr-95	< 5.6	< 4.9	< 4.6	< 4.2	< 4.7
Nb-95	< 2.1	< 1.9	< 1.8	< 1.6	< 1.9
Co-58	< 2.5	< 1.9	< 1.8	< 1.7	< 1.9
Mn-54	< 2.4	< 2.1	< 2.1	< 1.8	2.2(2.5)
Zn-65	< 6.4	< 5.9	< 5.5	< 4.8	< 5.3
Fe-59	< 6.9	< 4.9	< 4.6	< 5.0	< 4.8
Co-60	< 2.5	< 2.2	< 2.1	< 1.9	< 2.1
Ba-140	< 3.9	< 3.4	< 3.2	< 2.9	< 8.6
La-140	< 4.5	< 3.9	< 3.7	< 3.3	< 9.8

\* -  $1.96\sigma$  (Due to counting statistics)

\*\* - A-25 collected September 15, 1994

**Table II.C.5 Radionuclide Concentrations In Surface Water (pCi/L)**

Collection Date: October 8, 1994\*\*

Radionuclide	Downstream Sites		Upstream Sites		Effluent
	St. Vrain F-20	S. Platte R-10	St. Vrain A-21	S. Platte F-19	Goosequill A-25
Cs-134	< 1.8	< 1.1	< 2.1	< 2.1	< 2.2
Cs-137	< 2.2	4.8(1.7)*	3.4(3.1)	< 2.5	3.6(3.2)
Zr-95	< 4.8	< 2.5	< 5.6	< 5.5	< 5.1
Nb-95	< 1.7	1.3(1.3)	< 2.0	< 1.9	< 2.0
Co-58	< 1.8	< 1.0	< 1.9	< 1.9	< 2.0
Mn-54	< 1.9	< 1.1	< 2.1	< 2.1	< 2.5
Zn-65	< 4.8	< 3.1	< 5.9	< 5.6	< 5.9
Fe-59	< 5.0	< 2.6	< 5.0	< 4.8	< 7.2
Co-60	< 1.9	< 1.1	< 2.2	< 2.2	< 2.3
Ba-140	< 2.9	< 1.8	< 7.9	< 6.4	< 11
La-140	< 3.4	< 2.0	< 9.1	< 7.3	< 13

\* - 1.96 $\sigma$  (Due to counting statistics)

\*\* - A-25 collected October 15, 1994

**Table II.C.5 Radionuclide Concentrations In Surface Water (pCi/L)**

Collection Date: November 12, 1994\*\*

Radionuclide	Downstream Sites		Upstream Sites		Effluent
	St. Vrain F-20	S. Platte R-10	St. Vrain A-21	S. Platte F-19	Goosequill A-25
Cs-134	< 2.2	< 1.2	< 2.0	< 2.2	< 2.2
Cs-137	< 2.7	5.2(1.9)*	< 2.5	< 2.7	< 2.7
Zr-95	< 6.1	< 2.7	< 4.7	< 5.9	< 5.1
Nb-95	< 2.0	1.9(1.4)	< 1.8	< 2.0	< 2.0
Co-58	< 2.0	< 1.1	< 1.8	< 2.0	< 2.0
Mn-54	< 2.2	< 1.3	< 2.1	< 2.2	< 2.2
Zn-65	< 6.0	< 3.2	< 5.3	< 5.6	< 6.2
Fe-59	< 5.1	< 2.9	< 4.7	< 5.1	< 6.8
Co-60	< 2.3	< 1.1	< 2.2	< 2.3	< 2.3
Ba-140	10(9.6)	2.8(2.4)	< 8.4	< 3.6	< 3.5
La-140	12(11)	3.3(2.7)	< 9.7	< 4.1	< 4.1

\* - 1.96 $\sigma$  (Due to counting statistics)

\*\* - A-25 collected November 15, 1994

**Table II.C.5 Radionuclide Concentrations In Surface Water (pCi/L)**

Collection Date: December 10, 1994\*\*

Radionuclide	Downstream Sites		Upstream Sites		Effluent
	St. Vrain F-20	S. Platte R-10	St. Vrain A-21	S. Platte F-19	Goosequill A-25
Cs-134	< 2.3	< 1.1	< 1.0	< 2.8	2.1(2.5)*
Cs-137	< 2.8	5.0(1.6)	6.9(1.5)	4.3(4.2)	< 2.5
Zr-95	< 5.5	< 2.3	< 2.2	< 6.9	< 4.6
Nb-95	< 2.1	< 1.1	< 1.2	< 2.7	< 1.8
Co-58	< 2.3	< 1.0	1.5(1.3)	< 2.9	2.4(2.9)
Mn-54	< 2.4	1.4(1.3)	1.3(1.2)	< 2.9	< 2.2
Zn-65	< 6.7	< 3.5	< 3.3	< 8.1	< 5.5
Fe-59	< 5.5	3.1(3.1)	< 2.9	< 7.0	< 4.7
Co-60	< 2.5	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 3.1	< 2.2
Ba-140	8.0(8.6)	< 1.8	< 1.7	< 4.7	< 3.3
La-140	9.2(9.9)	< 2.1	< 1.9	< 5.4	< 3.8

\* - 1.96 $\sigma$  (Due to counting statistics)

\*\* - A-25 collected December 15, 1994

### 3. Ground Water

Ground water is sampled quarterly at two locations. These are at F-16, a well on the farm immediately north and the closest to the facility down the hydrological gradient, and at R-5, a well at a personal residence in the town of Milliken. Table II.C.6 lists the measured concentrations of fission products and activation products in ground water. The Cs-137 results are not surprising due to residue of Chernobyl fallout. The other results above MDC are assumed to be statistically false positive values.

Table II.C.7 shows tritium concentrations in the same well water samples. No tritium concentrations above the MDC were observed. Figure II.C.1 shows measured tritium concentrations in the F-16 well from 1984 through 1994. We initiated a weekly sampling of this site beginning early in 1991 and have continued to date. The data for the weekly samples of the F-16 well for 1994 are shown in Table II.J.1 (see summary section). Figure II.C.2 is a plot of this data for 1993 and 1994.

For comparison purposes we include Table II.C.8 which lists the Maximum Permissible Concentrations in drinking water from the old 10CFR20.

Table II C.6 Radionuclide Concentrations in Ground Water (pCi/L)

Radio-nuclide	1 <sup>st</sup> Quarter		2 <sup>nd</sup> Quarter		3 <sup>rd</sup> Quarter		4 <sup>th</sup> Quarter	
	F-16	R-5	F-16	R-5	F-16	R-5	F-16	R-5
Cs-134	< 1.2	< 2.1	< 2.0	< 1.2	< 2.0	< 2.2	< 1.9	< 2.3
Cs-137	3.5(1.7)*	3.6(3.1)	< 2.5	6.3(1.9)	4.9(2.9)	3.7(3.1)	< 2.3	< 2.7
Zr-95	< 2.5	< 5.4	< 5.6	< 2.7	< 4.8	< 5.0	< 4.4	< 5.2
Nb-95	< 1.1	< 2.0	< 1.9	< 1.5	< 2.0	< 1.9	< 2.0	< 2.0
Co-58	< 1.0	< 2.1	< 2.2	< 1.1	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 1.7	< 2.4
Mn-54	< 1.1	< 2.1	< 2.0	< 1.2	< 2.0	< 2.1	< 1.9	2.9(2.7)
Zn-65	< 3.3	< 6.4	< 5.8	< 5.0	< 5.6	< 5.6	< 5.1	< 6.0
Fe-59	< 3.4	< 5.0	< 4.8	< 3.0	< 4.6	< 5.6	< 4.4	8.8(7.8)
Co-60	< 1.1	3.4(2.7)	< 2.2	1.6(1.4)	< 2.2	< 2.4	< 2.0	< 2.4
Ba-140	< 4.4	6.2(6.3)	< 3.3	< 2.1	< 3.3	< 3.5	< 3.1	< 3.6
La-140	< 5.0	7.2(7.2)	< 3.8	< 2.4	< 3.8	< 4.0	< 3.5	< 4.2

\* - 1.96 $\sigma$  (Due to counting statistics)



Table II.C.7 Tritium in Ground Water (pCi/L)

First Quarter 1994 Collected: 02/12/94		Second Quarter 1994 Collected: 05/14/94		Third Quarter 1994 Collected: 08/12/94		Fourth Quarter 1994 Collected: 11/12/94	
F-16	R-5	F-16	R-5	F-16	R-5	F-16	R-5
< 390	< 390	< 380	< 380	< 390	< 390	< 380	< 380




**Table II.C.8**


Maximum Permissible Concentrations in Drinking Water.  
(10CFR20, Appendix B, Table II)

Radionuclide	Concentration(pCi/L)
H-3	$3 \times 10^6$
I-131	$3 \times 10^2$
Cs-134	$9 \times 10^3$
Cs-137	$2 \times 10^4$
Zr-95	$6 \times 10^4$
Nb-95	$1 \times 10^5$
Co-58	$1 \times 10^5$
Mn-54	$1 \times 10^5$
Zn-65	$1 \times 10^5$
Fe-59	$6 \times 10^4$
Co-60	$5 \times 10^4$
Ba-140	$3 \times 10^4$
La-140	$2 \times 10^4$

# Tritium Concentration in F-16 Well Water 1984-1994



# Tritium Concentrations at F-16 Well Water



## II.D. Milk

The dairy food chain is the critical pathway for potential radiation dose commitment around any nuclear facility. This is true for both chronic and acute releases. The critical individual would be an infant consuming milk produced from cows grazing local pastures. Milk is the critical pathway for potential dose commitment to humans from environmental contamination of H-3 and Cs-137. For this reason milk is sampled extensively to document the presence or absence of radioactivity due to the decommissioning operation.

There are no dairies (or personal milk cows) in the facility area which is within a 1.6 km radius from the facility. The five dairies in the adjacent area, 1.6-8 km radius, were selected as they are located in the highest X/Q areas. The description of these locations can be found in Table F-4 of the ODCM and Figure III.B.2. The single reference location dairy, R-8, is 22.5 km Northwest of the facility in the least predominant wind direction. Herd management practices are similar at all dairy locations. The cows in the milking herd are never on pasture but are managed under dry-lot management which is typical of the Western U.S.

Table II.D.1 lists the concentrations of all gamma-emitting radionuclides that are investigated in milk samples.

Natural-potassium, as measured by K-40, is extremely constant in milk. The mean literature value for cow milk is 1.5 g/L. K concentrations are homeostatically controlled and independent of K intake. K-natural is measured in all milk samples as a quality control measure for the other radionuclides determined in the same sample by gamma-ray spectrometry.

Table II.D.2 lists measured tritium concentrations in milk. Elevated tritium concentrations in milk due to facility effluents were not observed during the operational or defueling phase, or the decommissioning phase to date. During 1994, only three detectable concentrations were observed. These could easily be false positive values since the mean of the 5 adjacent sites was not statistically greater than the reference site. This implies that any tritium from facility effluent is not contributing radiation dose to humans via the milk pathway. Tritium concentrations in milk should respond rapidly to changes in tritium concentrations of the forage water intake or drinking water intake to the cow. This is due to the short biological half-life for water in the cow (about three days for the lactating cow). As noted in previous reports, the reported tritium concentration in milk is the tritium in water extracted from the milk. Contamination of milk samples by any radionuclide due to facility effluents has never been observed during the operational, defueling or decommissioning phases of Fort St. Vrain.

**Table II.D.1 Radionuclide Concentrations in Milk (pCi/L)**

Location	A-6	A-18	A-23	A-24	A-26	R-8
Collection Date	1/14/94	1/14/94	1/14/94	1/14/94	1/14/94	1/14/94
Cs-134	< 1.3	< 2.2	< 1.4	< 2.1	< 1.3	< 1.2
Cs-137	8.1(2.5)*	< 2.6	7.4(2.5)	< 2.5	6.7(2.4)	6.3(2.2)
Ba-140	< 1.9	< 3.4	< 7.8	< 3.4	8.5(9.7)	< 4.3
La-140	< 2.2	< 4.0	< 9.0	< 3.9	9.8(11)	< 5.0
Collection Date	2/12/94	2/12/94	2/12/94	2/19/94	2/19/94	2/12/94
Cs-134	< 2.3	< 1.4	< 2.2	< 1.3	< 1.3	< 2.0
Cs-137	6.5(3.3)	6.1(2.6)	< 2.7	5.7(2.4)	6.1(2.4)	< 2.4
Ba-140	< 3.7	< 3.3	6.3(7.0)	< 1.9	< 2.0	< 3.2
La-140	< 4.2	< 3.8	7.2(8.1)	< 2.2	< 2.3	< 3.6
Collection Date	3/12/94	3/12/94	3/12/94	3/12/94	3/12/94	3/12/94
Cs-134	< 2.0	< 2.2	< 2.2	< 1.5	< 2.2	< 2.2
Cs-137	< 2.5	3.9(3.1)	3.9(3.2)	3.9(2.2)	< 2.7	< 2.6
Ba-140	< 3.2	< 6.6	< 5.7	< 2.4	< 8.6	< 3.4
La-140	< 3.6	< 7.5	< 6.5	< 2.8	< 9.9	< 3.9

\* - 1.96 $\sigma$  (Due to counting statistics)



**Table II.D.1 Radionuclide Concentrations in Milk (pCi/L)**

Location	A-6	A-18	A-23	A-24	A-26	R-8
Collection Date	04/09	04/09	04/09	04/09	04/09	04/09
Cs-134	< 1.0	< 2.2	< 1.3	< 1.2	< 0.77	< 2.1
Cs-137	6.3(1.8)*	< 2.6	6.0(2.5)	2.9(1.8)	7.7(1.4)	< 2.6
Ba-140	< 2.6	< 3.5	< 3.8	< 3.9	< 2.3	< 5.5
La-140	< 2.9	< 4.0	< 4.3	< 4.5	< 2.6	< 6.3
Collection Date	05/14	05/14	05/14	05/14	05/14	05/14
Cs-134	< 2.1	< 1.2	< 2.1	< 1.5	< 2.2	< 1.4
Cs-137	< 2.6	8.1(2.1)	2.6(3.0)	7.1(2.8)	4.5(3.1)	5.3(2.6)
Ba-140	< 3.8	< 1.7	< 3.3	< 2.8	< 4.5	< 2.3
La-140	< 4.3	< 2.0	< 3.8	< 3.2	< 5.2	< 2.7
Collection Date	05/28	05/28	05/28	05/28	05/28	05/28
Cs-134	< 1.3	< 1.4	< 1.2	< 0.74	< 2.2	< 2.1
Cs-137	6.8(2.4)	6.5(2.5)	2.2(1.7)	5.3(1.3)	6.3(3.1)	3.3(3.0)
Ba-140	< 1.9	3.3(3.1)	< 2.6	< 1.4	< 3.4	< 3.3
La-140	< 2.2	3.8(3.6)	< 3.0	< 1.7	< 3.9	< 3.8

\* - 1.96 $\sigma$  (Due to counting statistics)

**Table II.D.1 Radionuclide Concentrations in Milk (pCi/L)**

Location	A-6	A-18	A-23	A-24	A-26	R-8
Collection Date	06/11	06/11	06/11	06/11	06/11	06/11
Cs-134	< 1.5	< 1.4	< 2.0	< 1.3	< 2.1	< 2.3
Cs-137	7.3(2.6)*	6.2(2.5)	< 2.4	5.7(2.5)	< 2.7	< 2.7
Ba-140	< 2.2	< 2.0	9.0(7.1)	< 3.7	< 3.4	< 3.6
La-140	< 2.5	< 2.3	10(8.2)	< 4.3	< 3.9	< 4.1
Collection Date	06/25	06/25	06/25	06/25	06/25	06/25
Cs-134	< 2.3	< 1.2	< 1.6	< 0.95	< 2.3	< 2.0
Cs-137	2.9(3.3)	5.9(2.1)	< 1.9	6.4(1.7)	3.9(3.3)	4.5(2.8)
Ba-140	< 3.6	< 2.3	< 2.5	< 2.0	< 3.7	< 3.1
La-140	< 4.1	< 2.7	< 2.9	< 2.3	< 4.3	< 3.6
Collection Date	07/09	07/09	07/09	07/09	07/09	07/09
Cs-134	< 2.1	< 1.4	< 1.3	< 1.4	< 2.3	< 2.1
Cs-137	< 2.6	7.4(2.6)	8.0(2.4)	6.4(2.6)	< 2.9	3.1(3.1)
Ba-140	< 3.7	< 2.1	< 1.9	3.6(3.0)	< 3.8	< 3.4
La-140	< 4.2	< 2.4	< 2.1	4.1(3.4)	< 4.4	< 3.9

\* - 1.96 $\sigma$  (Due to counting statistics)

**Table II.D.1 Radionuclide Concentrations in Milk (pCi/L)**

Location	A-6	A-18	A-23	A-24	A-26	R-8
Collection Date	07/23	07/23	07/23	07/23	07/23	07/23
Cs-134	< 1.6	< 1.6	< 2.1	< 2.1	< 2.1	< 2.2
Cs-137	5.8(2.9)*	6.9(2.9)	< 2.5	< 2.6	2.8(3.0)	3.1(3.1)
Ba-140	< 2.7	< 2.9	< 3.3	< 3.4	< 6.0	< 3.4
La-140	< 3.1	< 3.4	< 3.7	< 3.9	< 6.9	< 3.9
Collection Date	08/12	08/12	08/12	08/12	08/12	08/12
Cs-134	< 2.3	< 2.5	< 1.6	1.6(1.8)	< 1.4	< 1.4
Cs-137	< 2.8	< 3.1	8.5(2.8)	7.4(2.8)	6.1(2.6)	6.7(2.7)
Ba-140	< 4.3	< 5.0	< 2.8	< 2.2	< 2.8	< 2.5
La-140	< 5.0	< 5.8	< 3.2	< 2.6	< 3.2	< 2.9
Collection Date	08/27	08/27	08/27	08/27	08/27	08/27
Cs-134	2.3(2.6)	2.4(2.3)	< 2.1	< 1.3	< 1.3	< 2.1
Cs-137	< 2.6	3.1(2.8)	< 2.6	7.1(2.4)	< 1.5	3.1(3.1)
Ba-140	3.9(4.6)	< 3.1	< 3.4	< 1.9	< 2.0	< 3.4
La-140	4.5(5.3)	< 3.6	< 3.9	< 2.2	< 2.3	< 4.0

\* - 1.96 $\sigma$  (Due to counting statistics)

**Table II.D.1 Radionuclide Concentrations in Milk (pCi/L)**

Location	A-6	A-18	A-23	A-24	A-26	R-8
Collection Date	09/10	09/10	09/10	09/10	09/10	09/10
Cs-134	< 2.1	< 2.1	< 2.2	< 2.3	< 2.1	< 2.0
Cs-137	< 2.6	< 2.6	5.4(3.1)*	< 2.8	< 2.6	< 2.4
Ba-140	< 4.3	< 3.4	< 5.6	< 3.6	< 6.3	< 3.1
La-140	< 5.0	< 3.9	< 6.5	< 4.2	< 7.2	< 3.6
Collection Date	09/24	09/24	09/24	09/24	09/24	09/24
Cs-134	4.9(3.1)	< 2.1	< 2.2	< 1.8	< 2.2	< 2.6
Cs-137	< 3.1	< 2.5	3.1(3.1)	< 2.1	< 2.6	5.7(3.7)
Ba-140	< 4.0	< 3.4	< 6.4	< 2.8	9.6(9.7)	< 4.1
La-140	< 4.6	< 3.9	< 7.3	< 3.2	11(11)	< 4.7
Collection Date	10/08	10/08	10/08	10/08	10/08	10/08
Cs-134	< 2.1	< 2.2	< 2.2	< 2.2	< 2.3	< 2.0
Cs-137	< 2.5	4.0(3.2)	< 2.7	< 2.7	< 2.8	< 2.5
Ba-140	< 4.3	< 5.1	< 3.5	6.7(6.8)	< 3.6	< 3.2
La-140	< 5.0	< 5.9	< 4.0	7.8(7.8)	< 4.2	< 3.7

\* - 1.96 $\sigma$  (Due to counting statistics)

**Table II.D.1 Radionuclide Concentrations in Milk (pCi/L)**

Location	A-6	A-18	A-23	A-24	A-26	R-8
Collection Date	11/12	11/12	11/12	11/12	11/12	11/12
Cs-134	< 2.2	< 2.1	3.0(2.9)*	< 2.2	< 2.0	< 1.9
Cs-137	< 2.6	< 2.6	< 3.0	3.5(3.2)	< 2.4	< 2.4
Ba-140	< 3.5	< 3.4	< 3.9	7.1(6.8)	< 3.2	< 4.1
La-140	< 4.0	< 3.9	< 4.5	8.2(7.8)	< 3.6	< 4.8
Collection Date	12/10	12/10	12/10	12/10	12/10	12/10
Cs-134	< 2.2	< 2.2	< 2.5	< 2.2	< 2.6	< 1.8
Cs-137	< 2.6	< 2.6	< 3.0	< 2.6	< 3.2	2.5(2.7)
Ba-140	< 3.5	< 3.5	6.6(7.3)	< 3.4	< 4.2	< 3.0
La-140	< 4.0	< 4.0	7.6(8.4)	< 4.0	< 4.8	< 3.4

\* - 1.96 $\sigma$  (Due to counting statistics)

Table II.D.2 Tritium in Milk (pCi/L)

Collection Dates	Adjacent					Reference
	A-6	A-18	A-23	A-24	A-26	R-8
1/14/94	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390
2/12/94	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390
3/12/94	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380
4/9/94	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380
5/14/94	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380
5/28/94	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380
6/11/94	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380
6/25/94	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380
7/9/94	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380
7/23/94	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380
8/12/94	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380
8/27/94	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390
9/10/94	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380
9/24/94	< 380	< 380	< 380	1100(460)*	< 380	< 380
10/8/94	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	550(450)
11/12/94	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380
12/10/94	420(460)	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390	< 390

\* - 1.96 $\sigma$  Due to counting statistics



## II.E. Food Products

Food sampling locations were selected from areas possibly irrigated by surface water downstream of the FSV discharge point or by well water from the aquifer most likely to be contaminated by seepage from the farm pond. The locations of these food product collection sites are described in Table F-4 of the ODCM. One sample of each principal class of food products was collected from these locations. Locations and available produce often change due to owner needs, harvest time, harvest size, etc.

Each sample is homogenized, without drying, immediately after collection. The sample is then counted by gamma-ray spectrometry. Table II.E.1 lists the date of collection and the results for the 1994 harvest. One food sample showed low but detectable Cs-137 from past Chernobyl world-wide fallout deposition. The gamma-ray spectra were scanned for other radionuclides, but only the naturally occurring radionuclides were observed, presumably due to surface soil deposits.

If Cs-137 was released due to decommissioning, the primary food chain to humans would be through pasture to dairy and beef cattle and to meat and milk. Therefore, in addition to extensive milk sampling, and since beef cattle graze near the effluent routes from the facility, these animals are routinely slaughtered and muscle tissue is analyzed for the radionuclides suspected in the effluent. During operation of the reactor, for a significant period (1973-1983) animals were taken from the pasture and counted in the CSU Whole-Body Counter. No I-131 or Cs-137 due to plant effluent was ever detected in this herd.

In 1992, one animal that grazed the pastures north of the facility, and also had drinking access to the Goosequili ditch, was slaughtered and the tissue analyzed. Tissues

from this animal will serve as a control for others slaughtered after decommissioning was initiated.

In 1994, two animals were collected for comparison purposes. One animal was removed from the pasture on 5/2/94 and one animal was removed on 12/5/94. Tables II.E.2a and II.E.2b give the slaughter dates. Each carcass was aged, according to general practice for one week in a cooler. Ground meat samples representing the total carcass were mixed and ten random one-kilogram samples of ground beef were packed into one-Liter Marinelli beakers for gamma-ray spectrum analysis. Subsamples of each were taken for tritium analysis. The tritium activity was measured in the water vacuum distilled from each sample.

There is evidence of Cs-137 activity in both beef animals but no detectable tritium activity. The mean of the Cs-137 activity concentration in the two animals was not statistically different than the control animal slaughtered in 1992.

**Table II.E.1**  
**Radionuclide Concentrations in Food Products (pCi/kg)**  
**Collection Date: August 23, 1994**

Location	Food Type	Cs-134	Cs-137
R-6	Large Peppers	< 8.5	< 9.3
R-6	Small Peppers	< 6.7	< 7.1
A-27	Corn	< 5.5	< 6.3
A-27	Rhubarb	< 9.3	< 10
A-27	Squash	< 7.7	< 8.6
A-27	Tomatoes	< 6.9	4.3(9.2)*

\* 1.96 $\sigma$  (Due to Counting Statistics.)

**Table II.E.2(a) Radionuclide Concentrations in Beef Samples  
Collected on 5/2/94**

Radionuclide concentrations in Beef			
Beef Sample #	K-nat g/kg	Cs-137 pCi/kg	H-3 pCi/L
1	1.5(0.077)*	9.1(8.9)	< 380
2	1.3(0.018)	14(3.9)	< 380
3	0.21(0.022)	10(4.6)	< 380
4	0.21(0.024)	12(4.8)	< 380
5	1.0(0.060)	8.2(6.9)	< 380
6	0.19(0.021)	12(4.2)	< 380
7	0.17(0.021)	15(4.3)	< 380
8	1.0(0.061)	6.3(5.1)	< 380
9	1.1(0.052)	4.1(3.4)	< 380
10	1.0(0.043)	9.2(6.4)	< 380
$\bar{X}(1.96\sigma)$	0.77(0.96)	10(6.3)	< 380

\* - 1.96 $\sigma$  (Due to Counting Statistics)

Note:

Cow slaughtered on 5/5/94 at Colorado State University meats processing laboratory.  
Cow donated by Ben Houston. Animal grazed on pasture north of FSV, and had  
access to Goosequill Ditch.

**Table II.E.2(b) Radionuclide Concentrations in Beef Samples  
Collected on 12/5/94**

Radionuclide concentrations in Beef			
Beef Sample #	K-nat g/kg	Cs-137 pCi/kg	H-3 pCi/L
1	1.1(0.056)*	< 5.4	< 380
2	0.91(0.057)	6.8(6.5)	< 380
3	1.1(0.054)	< 5.1	< 380
4	1.1(0.046)	< 4.4	< 380
5	0.24(0.016)	12(3.4)	< 380
6	1.2(0.059)	6.8(6.8)	< 380
7	0.94(0.057)	5.7(6.4)	< 380
8	1.0(0.054)	7.3(6.1)	< 380
9	1.1(0.059)	< 5.6	< 380
10	0.23(0.021)	10(4.1)	< 380
$\bar{X}(1.96\sigma)$	0.89(0.66)	8.1(4.3)	< 380

\* - 1.96 $\sigma$  (Due to Counting Statistics)

Note:

Cow slaughtered on 12/6/94 at nearby commercial meat processing plant. Cow donated by Ben Houston. Animal grazed on pasture north of FSV, and had access to Goosequill Ditch.

## II.F. Aquatic Pathways

Table II.F.1 shows radionuclide concentrations measured in fish samples collected at F-19, A-25 and R-10 on two dates in 1994. The fish were collected by shocking and netting and the composite sample was homogenized without cleaning and analyzed on a wet weight basis. Detectable Cs-137 and Co-60 activity concentrations were observed in upstream and effluent fish during 1994 but was barely detectable in downstream fish during the first half only. The Co-60 activity can only be traced to the decommissioning efforts. The Cs-137 activity concentrations were significantly greater than observed during 1992 and 1993. The Cs-137 activity is also attributed to decommissioning effluent.

Assuming ICRP-23 consumption of fish the Total Effective Dose Equivalent (TEDE) commitments for both Co-60 and Cs-137 to an adult would be:

Co-60:  $5.6 \times 10^{-3}$  mrem/year

Cs-137:  $2.2 \times 10^{-2}$  mrem/year

Total:  $2.8 \times 10^{-2}$  mrem/year

The above committed dose rate is negligible compared to the appropriate standards or to the naturally occurring background dose rate of approximately 350 mrem/year in the local environs.

Table II.F.2(a-b) shows the measured concentrations of both Cs-137 and Cs-134 in surface sediment collected at F-1, located at the intersection of the Goosequill and Jay Thomas ditches. There was measurable activity of both Cs-134 and Cs-137 due to the decommissioning effluent. The concentrations observed in 1994 were significantly greater than observed in previous years but in no case did the Cs-137 concentration



exceed the NRC guideline of 15,000 pCi/kg (15 pCi/g). The cesium ions are bound nearly irreversibly by the clay mineral matrix in the sediment and not available for food-chain transport.

Table II.F.3 shows the radiocesium concentration in sediment at location R-10, the downstream location. At this site, no Cs-134 was detected and the Cs-137 activity was similar to previous years. Therefore, it can be concluded that Cs-137 due to decommissioning work did not reach this downstream location.

**Table II.F.1 Radionuclide Concentrations in Fish (pCi/kg)**

Collection Date	April 18, 1994			October 27, 1994		
Radionuclide	Upstream F-19	Effluent A-25	Downstream R-10	Upstream F-19	Effluent A-25	Downstream R-10
Cs-134	< 2.0	< 3.9	< 2.9	< 4.3	< 6.3	< 4.4
Cs-137	17(2.9)*	17(4.8)	< 6.1	< 4.9	24(8.4)	< 5.0
Co-58	3.0(3.0)	< 3.1	< 2.6	< 5.9	< 5.4	< 5.9
Mn-54	< 2.1	< 4.4	< 2.8	< 4.6	< 6.4	< 4.7
Zn-65	< 7.3	< 9.7	< 8.9	< 12	< 17	< 13
Fe-59	< 5.4	< 5.6	< 4.6	< 7.0	< 20	< 14
Co-60	3.2(2.7)	4.8(4.5)	< 3.1	< 4.8	15(8.0)	< 4.8

\* 1.96 $\sigma$  (Due to Counting Statistics.)

**Table II.F.2a Radiocesium Concentrations in Sediment  
from Location F-1**

Radionuclide	Concentration (pCi/kg)
Collection Date: January 14, 1994	
Cs-134	39(13)*
Cs-137	34(17)
Collection Date: February 12, 1994	
Cs-134	40(43)
Cs-137	42(66)
Collection Date: March 12, 1994	
Cs-134	63(48)
Cs-137	350(66)
Collection Date: April 9, 1994	
Cs-134	40(33)
Cs-137	440(48)
Collection Date: May 14, 1994	
Cs-134	< 25
Cs-137	190(38)
Collection Date: June 11, 1994	
Cs-134	12(7.3)
Cs-137	81(84)
Collection Date: July 9, 1994	
Cs-134	< 22
Cs-137	120(30)
Collection Date: August 12, 1994	
Cs-134	< 22
Cs-137	70(32)

\* - 1.96σ Due to Counting Statistics

**Table II.F.2b Radiocesium Concentrations in Sediment  
from Location F-1**

Radionuclide	Concentration (pCi/kg)
Collection Date: September 10, 1994	
Cs-134	29(24)*
Cs-137	77(29)
Collection Date: October 8, 1994	
Cs-134	< 29
Cs-137	100(33)
Collection Date: November 12, 1994	
Cs-134	< 28
Cs-137	200(38)
Collection Date: December 10, 1994	
Cs-134	37(28)
Cs-137	180(35)

\* - 1.96 $\sigma$  Due to Counting Statistics

**Table II.F.3 Radiocesium Concentrations in Sediment from  
location R-10**

Radionuclide	Concentration (pCi/kg)
Collection Date: May 9, 1994	
Cs-134	< 6.0
Cs-137	58(7.4)*
Collection Date: September 10, 1994	
Cs-134	< 22
Cs-137	< 24

\* - 1.96 $\sigma$  Due to Counting Statistics

## II.G. Sample Crosscheck Program

To assure both the accuracy and precision of the environmental data obtained from the radiation surveillance program provided for the Fort St. Vrain facility, Colorado State University participates in a number of interlaboratory and intralaboratory quality assurance programs. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sponsored laboratory intercomparison studies program is the principal crosscheck. This involves the analysis of a variety of environmental media containing various levels of radionuclides. The media, type of analysis and frequency of analysis for the EPA program are summarized below.

<u>Medium</u>	<u>Analysis (radionuclide)</u>	<u>Frequency</u>
Water	H-3	Semiannually
Water	Gross $\beta$	Semiannually
Water	Co-60, Zn-65, Cs-134, Cs-137	Semiannually
Water	Gross $\beta$ , Cs-134, Cs-137	Semiannually
Air particulate	Cs-137, Gross $\beta$	Semiannually
Milk	Cs-137	Annually

For each radionuclide analysis of a particular medium, three independent measurements are performed and all results are reported to the EPA. It should be noted that during 1989, the CSU laboratory became certified by the EPA for drinking water analysis.

Table II.G.1 gives the EPA crosscheck data for 1994. The EPA uses the parameter, Estimated Laboratory Precision (ELP), calculated as one standard deviation for one determination. The normalized deviation of our mean from the known is calculated as:



$$\frac{\text{CSU mean value} - \text{EPA known value}}{\sigma \sqrt{n}}$$

Where:  $\sigma$  = standard deviation of the mean of all participating laboratory results

$n$  = number of analyses by our laboratory, normally  $n=3$

The control limit is determined by the mean range of all results and three standard deviations of the range. If any result exceeds three standard deviations from the mean (warning level), the result is unacceptable. Whenever our mean value falls outside this limit, the calculations are rechecked and the sample reanalyzed if possible. During 1994 all results except seven were within the warning level. The results exceeding the warning level have the superscript notation  $n-1,2,3,\dots$  in Table II.G.1. The explanation for the incorrect result is given in Table II.G.1.

Table II.G.2 lists independent results for H-3 in water samples split between the CSU laboratory and the laboratory at Fort St. Vrain. The comparison between laboratories in general was acceptable.

Table II.G.3 lists the results of gross beta analyses of the split water samples. The procedural differences between the laboratories were previously investigated and minimized. It is concluded that the differences can be attributed only to total analytical uncertainty.

Table II.G.4 shows results of an intralaboratory crosscheck program. Replicate samples are independently analyzed. The replicate results are not statistically different and imply that the precision of the methods is acceptable.

During 1994 approximately 20% of all laboratory calculations that partly involve technician input were recalculated by a different technician. Only an occasional input

or calculation error was detected. This result gives further credence to the laboratory results which are not solely computer calculated and listed.

Computer calculations are often recalculated by hand and those done during 1994 were all verified to be correct.

**Table II.G.1 EPA Cross-Check Data Summary, 1994.**

Date	Radionuclide	CSU Value	EPA Value	1 E.L.P. *	Normalized Deviation from known**
WATER, Tritium (pCi/L)					
Mar 4	<sup>3</sup> H	3500	4900	490	-5.08 <sup>1</sup>
Aug 5	<sup>3</sup> H	9010	9950	995	-1.63
WATER, Beta (pCi/L)					
Jul 22	Beta	6	10	5	-1.39
Oct 28	Beta	25	23	5	0.81
WATER, Gamma (pCi/L)					
Jun 10	Co-60	44	50	5	-1.96
	Zn-65	86	134	13	-6.44 <sup>2</sup>
	Cs-134	40	40	5	-0.12
	Cs-137	54	49	5	1.85
Nov 04	Co-60	55	59	5	-1.39
	Zn-65	77	100	10	-4.04
	Cs-134	23	24	5	-0.23
	Cs-137	54	49	5	1.85
WATER, Performance (pCi/L)					
Apr 19	Beta	58	120	18	-5.71 <sup>3</sup>
	Cs-134	18	34	5	-5.77 <sup>4</sup>
	Cs-137	20	29	5	-3.12
Oct 18	Beta	155	142	21	1.10
	Cs-134	35	20	5	5.43 <sup>5</sup>
	Cs-137	69	39	5	10.28 <sup>6</sup>
MILK (pCi/L)					
Sep 30	Cs-137	62	59	5	1.15

**Table II.G.1 EPA Cross-Check Data Summary, 1993.**

Date	Radionuclide	CSU Value	EPA Value	1 E.L.P. *	Normalized Deviation from known**
AIR FILTERS (pCi/Filter)					
Aug 26	Beta	66	56	10	1.67
	Cs-137	30	15	5	5.31 <sup>7</sup>

\* E.L.P. = Expected Laboratory Precision.

\*\* Normalized Deviation =  $(\text{CSU mean} - \text{EPA known})/(\sigma\sqrt{n})$ ; if this value falls between upper & lower warning levels, the accuracy is acceptable.

1. Analytical Error
2. Under Estimation of Zinc
- 3-6 Complications with new processing technique
7. Possible false positive

Table II.G.2

Tritium Crosscheck Analyses on Split Water Samples Determined by  
Colorado State University and Public Service Company.

a) 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> Quarters

Collection Date	Sample Location	Tritium Concentrations (pCi/L)	
		CSU	PSC
Jan 14	A-25	< 390	< 869
Jan 14	A-21	< 410	< 869
Jan 05	E-41	a	< 869
Feb 12	A-25	560(460)	< 895
Feb 12	A-21	< 390	< 895
Feb 02	E-41	< 390	< 895
Mar 12	A-25	< 380	< 862
Mar 12	A-21	< 380	1130(1080)*
Mar 02	E-41	< 390	1150(1090)
Apr 09	A-25	< 380	< 794
Apr 09	A-21	< 380	< 853
Apr 06	E-41	< 390	< 883
May 14	A-25	< 380	< 849
May 14	A-21	< 380	< 849
May 11	E-41	< 390	< 849
Jun 11	A-25	< 390	< 835
Jun 11	A-21	< 380	< 835
Jun 13	E-41	< 390	< 835

\* - 1.96 $\sigma$  (Due to Counting Statistics)

a - Sample lost prior to analysis

Table II.G.2

Tritium Crosscheck Analyses on Split Water Samples Determined by  
Colorado State University and Public Service Company.

b) 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> Quarters

Collection Date	Sample Location	Tritium Concentrations (pCi/L)	
		CSU	PSC
Jul 09	A-25	< 390	< 868
Jul 09	A-21	< 380	< 868
Jul 06	E-41	< 390	< 868
Aug 12	A-25	< 380	< 918
Aug 12	A-21	< 380	< 918
Aug 03	E-41	470(460)*	< 918
Sep 10	A-25	1500(480)	< 838
Sep 10	A-21	< 390	< 838
Sep 07	E-41	< 380	2030(1120)
Oct 08	A-25	< 380	1260(1080)
Oct 08	A-21	< 470	< 857
Oct 12	E-41	440(460)	< 857
Nov 12	A-25	< 380	< 899
Nov 12	A-21	< 390	< 899
Nov 02	E-41	< 380	< 899
Dec 10	A-25	< 390	< 819
Dec 10	A-21	< 380	< 819
Dec 07	E-41	< 380	< 819

\* - 1.96 $\sigma$  (Due to Counting Statistics)



Table II.G.3

Gross Beta Crosscheck Analyses on Split Water Samples Determined by  
Colorado State University and Public Service Company.

a) 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> Quarters

Collection Date	Sample Location	Gross Beta (pCi/L)	
		CSU	PSC
Jan 14	A-25	12(6.0)*	26.3(10.4)
Jan 14	A-21	5.8(5.8)	16.1(9.6)
Jan 05	E-41	11(5.9)	185(19.3)
Feb 12	A-25	14(6.2)	< 6.67
Feb 12	A-21	14(6.1)	40.9(11.1)
Feb 02	E-41	12(6.0)	< 6.99
Mar 12	A-25	9.6(5.8)	< 6.04
Mar 12	A-21	16(6.2)	13.4(8.92)
Mar 02	E-41	12(5.9)	12.1(8.78)
Apr 09	A-25	15(6.2)	< 6.87
Apr 09	A-21	16(6.4)	< 28.8
Apr 06	E-41	18(6.4)	< 7.32
May 14	A-25	10(6.0)	10.3(7.82)
May 14	A-21	6.8(5.7)	< 5.59
May 11	E-41	7.7(5.9)	< 5.59
Jun 11	A-25	13(5.9)	< 10.1
Jun 11	A-21	6.2(5.7)	< 10.1
Jun 13	E-41	9.7(5.8)	< 10.1

\* - 1.96σ (Due to Counting Statistics)



**Table II.G.3**

**Gross Beta Crosscheck Analyses on Split Water Samples Determined by Colorado State University and Public Service Company.**

**b) 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> Quarters**

Collection Date	Sample Location	Gross Beta (pCi/L)	
		CSU	PSC
Jul 09	A-25	12(6.0)*	15.4(13.6)
Jul 09	A-21	13(6.0)	19(15.5)
Jul 06	E-41	7.3(5.8)	< 10.9
Aug 12	A-25	11(5.9)	12.4(8.29)
Aug 12	A-21	10(6.0)	21.8(9.54)
Aug 03	E-41	8.3(5.9)	< 5.59
Sep 10	A-25	12(6.0)	< 6.82
Sep 10	A-21	7.7(5.8)	< 7.35
Sep 07	E-41	14(6.1)	9.72(8.73)
Oct 08	A-25	6.7(5.8)	15.2(7.85)
Oct 08	A-21	15(6.2)	8.91(3.71)
Oct 12	E-41	10(6.0)	10.6(8.08)
Nov 12	A-25	13(6.1)	19.4(8.83)
Nov 12	A-21	9.5(5.9)	12.1(8.23)
Nov 03	E-41	13(6.1)	20(9.11)
Dec 10	A-25	19(6.4)	16.7(8.54)
Dec 10	A-21	11(6.0)	16.3(8.5)
Dec 07	E-41	8.0(5.9)	10.9(7.51)

\* - 1.96σ (Due to Counting Statistics)

Table II.G.4 Intralaboratory Cross-Check Results (pCi/L). (Replicate Analysis of Same Sample)

Radionuclide	Drinking Water							
	1 <sup>st</sup> Quarter		2 <sup>nd</sup> Quarter		3 <sup>rd</sup> Quarter		4 <sup>th</sup> Quarter	
	A	B	A	B	A	B	A	B
Cs-134	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 1.2	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 1.9	< 2.1
Cs-137	3.0 (2.9)*	< 2.5	4.9 (1.7)	< 2.5	< 2.5	< 2.4	2.7 (2.8)	< 2.5
Zr-95	< 4.6	< 4.7	< 2.7	< 5.5	< 4.7	< 4.7	< 5.4	< 5.4
Nb-95	< 1.8	< 1.8	< 1.1	< 1.8	< 1.8	< 1.9	< 1.7	< 1.8
Co-58	< 2.1	< 1.9	1.2 (1.4)	< 1.9	< 2.0	< 1.8	< 1.7	< 1.9
Mn-54	< 2.0	< 2.0	2.0 (1.4)	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0
Zn-65	< 5.4	< 5.6	< 3.6	< 5.6	< 5.3	< 5.4	< 5.2	< 5.5
Fe-59	< 5.8	< 5.9	4.4 (3.7)	< 4.6	< 4.7	< 5.8	< 5.6	< 4.7
Co-60	< 2.2	< 2.2	< 1.1	< 2.2	< 2.1	< 2.2	< 2.1	< 2.1
Ba-140	< 7.2	< 7.2	< 2.0	< 3.3	< 3.3	8.4 (8.5)	< 3.1	< 3.3
La-140	< 8.2	< 8.3	< 2.3	< 3.8	< 3.8	9.7 (9.8)	< 3.6	< 3.7
Gross Beta	2.0 (2.2)	1.5 (2.2)	3.2 (2.3)	5.5 (2.4)	< 1.7	2.4 (2.3)	2.4 (2.2)	2.5 (2.2)
H-3	< 390	< 410	< 380	< 390	< 380	< 390	< 380	< 390

Radionuclide	Milk							
	1 <sup>st</sup> Quarter		2 <sup>nd</sup> Quarter		3 <sup>rd</sup> Quarter		4 <sup>th</sup> Quarter	
	A	B	A	B	A	B	A	B
Cs-134	< 2.0	< 2.2	< 1.2	< 1.4	1.6 (1.8)	< 1.3	< 2.0	< 2.6
Cs-137	< 2.4	< 2.6	8.1 (2.1)	6.5 (2.5)	7.4 (2.8)	7.1 (2.4)	< 2.4	< 3.2
Ba-140	< 3.2	< 3.4	< 1.7	3.3 (3.1)	< 2.2	< 1.9	< 3.2	< 4.2
La-140	< 3.6	< 3.9	< 2.0	3.8 (3.6)	< 2.6	< 2.2	< 3.6	< 4.8
H-3	< 390	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 380	< 390

\* - 1.96σ (Due to Counting Statistics)

## II.H. Summary and Conclusions

Table II.H.1 summarizes the radiation and environmental radioactivity measurements conducted during 1994 in the environs of the Fort St. Vrain facility, owned and operated by Public Service Company of Colorado. The values for each sample type may be compared to pre-operational and operational periods for this facility, as well as to the values from other U.S. environmental monitoring programs (e.g., EPA 520). It must be emphasized, however, that the mean values in Table II.H.1 are only the means of the values greater than MDC, the statistically minimum detectable concentration. The range also is given only for detectable measurements. The mean and range values, therefore, are not the true means or ranges if any of the values in the sample population were less than MDC. The format of Table II.H.1 is a requirement of the NRC.

Inspection of Table II.H.1 reveals that there were no individual measurements that exceeded the Reporting Level (RL) (see Table F-3 in ODCM). The Chernobyl world-wide fallout was still observable as Cs-137 in several sample types. Decommissioning activity was observed in Goosequill sediment samples and occasional fish samples.

For the category of gross beta concentrations in drinking water, the mean for the Gilcrest well was again significantly greater than for the reference supply located in Fort Collins. The reason for this observation is solely due to the fact that the town of Gilcrest still does not completely filter its drinking water supply. The procedure was improved in the last two years but it is still not complete and the activity concentration is still elevated due to naturally occurring radioactive materials from soil and fertilizer contamination. The following conclusions seem valid:

- a. None of the individual fission product or activation product radionuclides measured were significantly higher in the Gilcrest drinking water;
- b. Tritium concentrations measured at Gilcrest were not statistically greater than those in Fort Collins; and
- c. The city of Gilcrest does not filter and treat its well water to the same degree as Fort Collins. This has been verified and evidenced by the fact that the gamma-ray spectra of the suspended solids from Gilcrest water samples show only elevated concentrations of the natural radionuclides. It has been concluded in previous reports that the elevated gross beta concentrations in Gilcrest water are due to elevated concentrations of the naturally occurring U-238, and Th-232 decay products.

For the category of tritium in surface water elevated concentrations were noted at station A-25, the outlet of the Farm pond during the last quarter of 1994. A-25 is directly in the principal effluent route and elevated concentrations should be expected when release is significant. Downstream surface water concentrations of tritium have occasionally been elevated, but there is significant dilution before any human use of this water. During 1994 elevated tritium concentrations were not observed downstream and the mean values for the first and second half of 1994 were not significantly different.

Cs-137 was also observed in many environmental samples but is due to the Chernobyl world-wide fallout. The only exception was the elevated Cs-137 in sediment in the liquid effluent pathway. These values, however, are well below NRC guideline values.

Tritium concentrations from well water site F-16 do appear to be less than in

1993 and imply only short term contamination of the aquifer. Typically lateral water movement in western soils is approximately 30 m/year. Weekly sampling was initiated in 1991 to observe the movement more closely, but in any case the well at F-16 is not used for drinking water purposes and elevated tritium concentrations have not been observed in any food chain sample. Residents at the F-16 residence purchase bottled water for their primary consumption.

Table II.H.2 presents an additional summary of mean values for selected sample types. The sample types and radionuclides were chosen on the basis of their importance in documenting possible radiation dose to humans. Air and surface water would be the predominant environmental transport routes and drinking water and milk would be the predominant sources of radiation dose if significant radioactivity release from FSV occurred. Table II.H.2 also allows comparison to the four most recent years of operation.

The arithmetic means and standard deviations in Table II.H.2 were calculated for all sample results. It should be repeated that the tabular data presented in the body of this report contain only positive calculated values above the minimum detectable concentration (MDC) levels. Any calculated values less than zero or less than the minimum detectable concentration are listed as less than the actual MDC for that sample analysis. The actual result in all cases was used in the calculation for the arithmetic mean values for the periods in Table II.H.2. Therefore, all values, negative as well as positive, were included. This procedure is now generally accepted and gives a proper estimate of the true mean value. Because of this procedure, however, the values listed in Table II.H.2 cannot be calculated directly from the tabular values in the report. It

must be emphasized that while it is true that no sample can contain less than zero radioactivity, due to the random nature of radioactive decay, it is statistically possible to obtain sample count rates less than background and hence a negative result. It is equally true that many sample types do in fact have zero concentrations of certain radionuclides. Therefore, to obtain the correct mean value from the distribution of analytical results, all positive results must be averaged with all negative results. If the negative results were omitted, the resulting arithmetic mean would be falsely biased high.

From the values presented in Tables II.H.1 and II.H.2 and the tabular data of the report, the following observations and conclusions may be drawn:

1. As in every previous report, it was again apparent that for most sample types the variability observed around the mean values was great. This variability is partly due to counting statistics and methodological variation, but principally due to true environmental variation (often termed sampling error). It must be recognized and accounted for in analyses of any set of environmental data before meaningful conclusions can be drawn;
2. Tritium was detected in the effluent pathway only during the last quarter of 1994. The release of tritium was indeed much less than in operational years. Since the tritium is released as tritiated water, the dilution by the surrounding hydrosphere is great and consequently the mean values of downstream surface water were not statistically greater than upstream concentrations. No tritium was detected in ground water or any food samples;



3. The Chernobyl world-wide fallout has totally obscured what fission product debris has remained in the FSV environs from the October 1980 Chinese atmospheric nuclear weapon test. The biosphere will contain the Chernobyl fallout, particularly Cs-137, for an equally long period. Nuclear weapon test fallout has, since the inception of the project, been noted to be the predominant source term above natural background. The Chernobyl reactor fire debris was superimposed on the weapons test fallout from 1986 to present. It is the variation in fallout deposition, in addition to the variation in naturally occurring radionuclides, that mandates the large number of environmental samples to detect any possible radioactivity due to facility effluents. A simple comparison of pre-operational and operational values is of little value, for most sample types, because the fallout deposition was considerably greater during the pre-operational period due to world-wide fallout;
4. The prompt and sensitive detection of the Chinese weapon test fallout and the Chernobyl fallout in the past assures that the environmental monitoring program is of adequate scope and sensitivity to detect any accidental releases from the FSV decommissioning; and
5. Release of decommissioning radioactive waste was evident in the Goosequill sediment. Since this is primarily Cs-137 in sediment, its transfer to plant and animal food chains will be minimal.

It can be concluded from the data collected by the environmental monitoring program that the radiation dose commitments calculated for the closest human inhabitants



or other parts of the nearby ecosystems due to current facility effluents are negligible. Natural background radiation and the dose commitment from atmospheric fallout are the only known significant sources of radiation dose to the residents of the area.

During the current decommissioning phase of the facility it is concluded that this Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program is more than adequate to detect and quantify any possible routine or accidental release of radioactivity.

Table II.H.1 Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program Annual Summary Fort St. Vrain Station, Platteville, Colorado

Medium or Pathway Samples (Unit of measurement)	Type and Total Number of Analyses Performed	Facility Locations Mean (f) <sup>1</sup> Range	Adjacent Locations Mean (f) <sup>1</sup> Range	Locations with Highest Annual Mean Name Distance & Direction Mean Range		Reference Locations Mean (f) <sup>1</sup> Range	Number of Nonroutine Reported Measurements
Direct Radiation (mR/day)	TLD(164)	0.42(72/72) 0.27-0.59	0.41(72/72) (0.27-0.59)	A-8 CO 66 4.7 km Sec 8	0.50(4/4) (0.41-0.56)	0.39(20/20) (0.29-0.60)	0
Air, Particulates (fCi/m <sup>3</sup> )	Gross Beta(362)	28.4(208/208) (11-64)	---	F-16 3-Bar Ranch 1.2 km Sec 1	29(52/52) (14-64)	24(155/156) (10-39)	0
<b>Gamma Spectrometry</b>							
	Cs-134(28)	1.2(2/16) (0.39-2.1)	---	F-7 CR 21 & 34 1.5 km Sec 7	2.1(1/4)	---	0
	Cs-137(28)	0.89(4/16) (0.51-1.2)	---	R-11 Johnstown Services Bldg 10.5 km	1.3(3/4) (0.62-1.9)	1.0(6/12) (0.46-1.9)	0
Air, Atmospheric Water Vapor (pCi/m <sup>3</sup> )	H-3(364)	515(6/208) (450-590)	---	R-11 Johnstown Services Bldg 10.5 km	700(3/52) (520-900)	630(4/156) (430-900)	0
Drinking Water (pCi/L)	Gross Beta(52)	2.6(26/26) (1.2-5.1)	---	R-6 Gilcrest City Water 9.3 km	2.6(26/26) (1.2-5.1)	1.2(26/26) (0.65-1.6)	0
	H-3(52)	410(1/26)	---	R-6 Gilcrest City Water 9.3 km	410(1/26)	---	0
<b>Gamma Spectrometry</b>							
	Cs-134(52)	1.7(1/26)	---	R-6 Gilcrest City Water 9.3 km	1.7(1/26)	---	0
	Cs-137(52)	4.2(12/26) (2.0-6.1)	---	R-6 Gilcrest City Water 9.3 km	4.2(12/26) (2.0-6.1)	3.2(18/26) (2.0-6.0)	0

Table II.H.1 Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program Annual Summary Fort St. Vrain Station, Platteville, Colorado

Medium or Pathway Samples (Unit of measurement)	Type and Total Number of Analyses Performed	Facility Locations Mean (f) <sup>1</sup> Range	Adjacent Locations Mean (f) <sup>1</sup> Range	Locations with Highest Annual Mean		Reference Locations Mean (f) <sup>1</sup> Range	Number of Nonroutine Reported Measurements
				Name Distance & Direction	Mean Range		
Drinking Water (pCi/L)	Zr-95(52)	---	---	---	---	---	0
	Nb-95(52)	1.8(3/26) (1.7-1.9)	---	R-6 Gilcrest City Water 9.3 km	1.8(3/26) (1.7-1.9)	1.8(1/26)	0
	Co-58(52)	1.5(2/26) (1.2-1.8)	---	R-3 Fort Collins City Water 45.1 km	1.8(1/26)	2.3(1/26)	0
	Mn-54(52)	2.1(6/26) (0.85-4.2)	---	R-3 Fort Collins City Water 45.1 km	2.3(6/26) (1.6-3.2)	2.3(6/26) (1.6-3.2)	0
	Zn-65(52)	---	---	---	---	---	0
	Fe-59(52)	4.6(5/26) (3.0-6.9)	---	R-6 Gilcrest City Water 9.3 km	4.6(5/26) (3.0-6.9)	4.2(1/26)	0
	Co-60(52)	---	---	R-3 Fort Collins City Water 45.1 km	2.2(4/26) (1.1-3.4)	2.2(4/26) (1.1-3.4)	0
	Ba-140(52)	8.4(4/26) (3.8-13)	---	R-6 Gilcrest City Water 9.3 km	8.4(4/26) (3.8-13)	7.5(3/26) (3.9-10)	0
	La-140(52)	9.7(4/26) (4.4-15)	---	R-6 Gilcrest City Water 9.3 km	8.4(4/26) (4.4-15)	8.5(3/26) (4.5-11)	0
Surface Water (pCi/L)	H-3(60)	< 390	1155(2/24) (710-1600)	A-25 Farm Pond 2.2 km Sec 1	1155(2/24) (710-1600)	< 380	0

Table II.H.1 Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program Annual Summary Fort St. Vrain Station, Platteville, Colorado

Medium or Pathway Samples (Unit of measurement)	Type and Total Number of Analyses Performed	Facility Locations Mean (f) <sup>1</sup> Range	Adjacent Locations Mean (f) <sup>1</sup> Range	Locations with Highest Annual Mean Name Distance & Direction	Mean Range	Reference Locations Mean (f) <sup>1</sup> Range	Number of Nonroutine Reported Measurements
<u>Gamma Spectrometry</u>							
Surface Water (pCi/L)	Cs-134(60)	2.1(2/24) (1.4-2.7)	2.1(1/24)	F-20 St. Vrain Creek 1.5 km Sec 16	2.7(1/20)	< 1.4	0
	Cs-137(60)	4.5(10/24) (2.3-7.3)	4.3(12/24) (2.0-6.9)	F-20 St. Vrain Creek 1.5 km Sec 16	5.3(4/12) (3.5-7.3)	4.2(10/12) (1.8-5.5)	0
	Zr-95(60)	---	2.3(1/24)	A-25 Farm Pond 2.2 km Sec 1	2.3(1/24)	---	0
	Nb-95(60)	1.8(2/24) (1.8-1.8)	1.5(1/24)	R-10 S. Platte @ CO 60 10.1 km	2.4(4/12) (1.3-3.5)	2.4(4/12)	0
	Co-58(60)	2.6(2/24) (2.0-3.2)	2.3(3/24) (1.5-2.9)	A-21 St. Vrain Creek 2.4 km Sec 11	2.9(1/12)	< 1.3	0
	Mn-54(60)	2.6(1/24)	1.6(5/24) (0.81-2.4)	F-19 S. Platte 1.2 km Sec 4	2.6(1/12)	2.0(2/12) (1.4-2.6)	0
	Zn-65(60)	---	---	---	---	---	0
	Fe-59(60)	5.3(1/24)	4.6(2/24) (4.3-4.9)	F-19 S. Platte 1.2 km Sec 4	5.3(1/12)	3.3(2/12)	0
	Co-60(60)	1.3(1/24)	---	F-19 S. Platte 1.2 km Sec 4	1.3(1/12)	---	0
	Ba-140(60)	9.0(2/24) (8.0-10)	21(1/24)	A-21 St. Vrain Creek 2.4 km Sec 11	21(1/12)	2.8(1/12)	0
	La-140(60)	11(2/24) (9.2-12)	24(1/12)	A-21 St. Vrain Creek 2.4 km Sec 11	24(1/12)	3.3(1/12)	0

Table II.H.1 Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program Annual Summary Fort St. Vrain Station, Platteville, Colorado

Medium or Pathway Samples (Unit of measurement)	Type and Total Number of Analyses Performed	Facility Locations Mean (f) <sup>1</sup> Range	Adjacent Locations Mean (f) <sup>1</sup> Range	Locations with Highest Annual Mean Name                      Mean Distance &                      Range Direction		Reference Locations Mean (f) <sup>1</sup> Range	Number of Nonroutine Reported Measurements
Ground Water (pCi/L)	H-3(8)	< 390	---	---	---	< 390	0
<u>Gamma Spectrometry</u>							
Ground Water (pCi/L)	Cs-134(8)	---	---	---	---	---	0
	Cs-137(8)	4.2(2/4) (3.5-4.9)	---	R-5 Milliken 9.5 km	4.5(3/4) (3.3-3.6)	4.5(3/4) (3.6-6.3)	0
	Zr-95(8)	---	---	---	---	---	0
	Nb-95(8)	---	---	---	---	---	0
	Co-58(8)	---	---	---	---	---	0
	Mn-54(8)	---	---	R-5 Milliken 9.5 km	2.9(1/4)	2.9(1/4)	0
	Zn-65(8)	---	---	---	---	---	0
	Fe-59(8)	---	---	R-5 Milliken 9.5 km	8.8(1/4)	8.8(1/4)	0
	Co-60(8)	---	---	R-5 Milliken 9.5 km	2.5(2/4)	2.5(2/4)	0
	Ba-140(8)	---	---	R-5 Milliken 9.5 km	6.2(1/4)	6.2(1/4)	0
Sediment (pCi/kg, dry)							
<u>Gamma Spectrometry</u>							
	Cs-134						
	Cs-137						

Table II.H.1 Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program Annual Summary Fort St. Vrain Station, Platteville, Colorado

Medium or Pathway Samples (Unit of measurement)	Type and Total Number of Analyses Performed	Facility Locations Mean (f) <sup>1</sup> Range	Adjacent Locations Mean (f) <sup>1</sup> Range	Locations with Highest Annual Mean Name Mean Distance & Range		Reference Locations Mean (f) <sup>1</sup> Range	Number of Nonroutine Reported Measurements
Milk (pCi/L)	H-3(102)	---	760(2/85) (420-1100)	R-8 Borba Dairy 23 km	550(1/17)	550(1/17)	0
<u>Gamma Spectrometry</u>							
Milk (pCi/L)	Cs-134(102)	---	2.8(5/85) (1.6-4.9)	A-6 Henrikson Dairy 7.1 km Sec 6	3.6(2/17) (2.3-4.9)	---	0
	Cs-137(102)	---	5.6(45/85) (2.9-8.5)	A-6 Henrikson Dairy 7.1 km Sec 6	6.2(7/17) (2.9-8.1)	4.3(10/17) (2.5-6.7)	0
	Ba-140	---	7.0(10/85) (3.3-9.6)	A-26 Docheff Dairy 7.8 km Sec 11	9.0(2/17) (8.5-9.6)	---	0
	La-140	---	7.4(10/85) (3.8-11)	A-26 Docheff Dairy 7.8 km Sec 11	10(2/17) (9.8-11)	---	0
<u>Gamma Spectrometry</u>							
Food Products (pCi/kg, wet)	Cs-134	---	---	---	---	---	0
	Cs-137	---	4.3(1/4)	A-27 WCR 36 4.3 km Sec 4	4.3(1/4)	---	0

1. Mean and Range based upon detectable measurements only.

Fraction (f) of detectable measurements at specified locations is indicated in parentheses.

Table II.H.2 Summary Table of Arithmetic Means and Standard Deviations for Selected Sample Types

	1990		1991		1992		1993		1994	
	$\bar{X}$	$1.96\sigma$	$\bar{X}$	$1.96\sigma$	$\bar{X}$	$1.96\sigma$	$\bar{X}$	$1.96\sigma$	$\bar{X}$	$1.96\sigma$
<b>H-3</b>	<u>Atmospheric Water Vapor (pCi/L)</u>									
Facility	< 260	290	< 9.4	400	980	830	430	130	520	90
Reference	< 300	290	< 80	400	780	530	420	50	630	350
<b>Gross Beta</b>	<u>Air (fCi/m<sup>3</sup>)</u>									
Facility	23	12	29	31	25	15	24	14	28	9.2
Reference	23	12	25	23	25	12	22	14	24	5.3
<b>I-131</b>										
Facility	1.5	3	1.6	13	25	9.0	<sup>1</sup>	-	-	-
Reference	1.4	9	0.52	14	23	6.9	-	-	-	-
<b>Cs-137</b>										
Facility	0.55	0.92	0.12	0.69	1.0	0.22	1.6	2.9	0.89	0.61
Reference	0.22	0.66	0.98	1.3	1.1	0.40	1.3	2.3	0.98	0.95
<b>H-3</b>	<u>Drinking Water (pCi/L)</u>									
Gilcrest	< 240	320	30	410	550	90	< 430	50	410	680

<sup>1</sup> No longer analyze for I-131.



Table II.H.2 Summary Table of Arithmetic Means and Standard Deviations for Selected Sample Types

	1990		1991		1992		1993		1994	
	$\bar{X}$	$1.96\sigma$	$\bar{X}$	$1.96\sigma$	$\bar{X}$	$1.96\sigma$	$\bar{X}$	$1.96\sigma$	$\bar{X}$	$1.96\sigma$
Ft. Collins	< 220	290	< 50	350	500	50	< 420	40	< 380	10
<b>Gross Beta</b>	<b>Drinking Water (pCi/L)</b>									
Gilcrest	4.5	1.8	5.8	2.4	4.5	2.3	3.2	2.9	2.6	1.7
Ft. Collins	0.86	0.39	0.95	0.35	0.90	0.31	0.92	0.72	1.2	0.48
<b>I-131</b>										
Gilcrest	0.017	0.19	< 0.0028	0.16	0.29	0.14	<sup>1</sup>	-	-	-
Ft. Collins	0.046	0.24	< 0.022	0.20	0.35	0.15	-	-	-	-
<b>Cs-137</b>										
Gilcrest	1.3	1.4	2.2	1.6	3.4	1.1	3.8	3.1	4.2	2.3
Ft. Collins	2.4	1.8	1.7	1.2	3.4	0.88	3.4	2.3	3.7	2.4
<b>H-3</b>	<b>Surface Water (pCi/L)</b>									
Effluent	300	620	1500	2500	770	590	< 420	20	1500	640
Downstream	< 370	400	6.2	430	990	860	< 420	50	390	550
Upstream	< 420	350	20	420	640	0	< 450	200	570	770
<b>Cs-137</b>										
Effluent	1.4	1.9	1.7	2.0	3.6	1.4	3.6	2.8	4.3	2.3

<sup>1</sup> No longer analyze for I-131

Table II.H.2 Summary Table of Arithmetic Means and Standard Deviations for Selected Sample Types

	1990		1991		1992		1993		1994	
	$\bar{X}$	$1.96\sigma$	$\bar{X}$	$1.96\sigma$	$\bar{X}$	$1.96\sigma$	$\bar{X}$	$1.96\sigma$	$\bar{X}$	$1.96\sigma$
Downstream	2.1	1.9	2.3	1.7	3.5	1.2	3.8	2.2	4.5	2.5
Upstream	2.2	1.9	2.0	1.9	3.8	0.89	3.6	2.3	4.1	2.8
<b>H-3</b>	<u>Milk (pCi/L)</u>									
Adjacent	< 280	330	< 130	360	760	590	< 430	140	760	660
Reference	< 290	340	< 110	400	590	90	< 420	20	550	450
<b>I-131</b>										
Adjacent	0.53	2.0	0.070	0.39	< 0.32	0.13	<sup>1</sup>	-	-	-
Reference	0.0060	0.33	< 0.0028	0.24	< 0.37	0.13	-	-	-	-
<b>Cs-137</b>										
Adjacent	1.5	2.0	1.9	1.8	5.0	1.5	4.9	4.5	5.6	3.4
Reference	16	2.3	2.4	1.9	4.6	0.68	5.4	3.6	4.3	2.9

<sup>1</sup> No longer analyze for I-131

**Table II.J.1a Tritium Concentrations in F-16 Well Water**

Date Collected	Concentration (pCi/L)
1/7	< 390
1/14	< 390
1/22	< 390
1/29	< 390
2/5	490(350)*
2/12	< 390
2/19	< 390
2/26	< 390
3/5	< 410
3/12	< 380
3/19	< 380
3/26	< 380
4/2	< 380
4/9	< 380
4/16	< 380
4/23	< 380
4/30	< 380
5/7	< 380
5/14	< 380
5/21	< 390
5/28	< 430
6/4	< 390
6/11	< 390
6/18	< 380
6/25	< 380
7/2	< 370

\* 1.96 $\sigma$  - Due to counting statistics

**Table II.J.1b Tritium Concentrations in F-16 Well Water (continued)**

Date Collected	Concentration (pCi/L)
7/9	< 390
7/16	< 380
7/23	< 380
7/30	< 380
8/5	< 380
8/12	< 380
8/20	< 380
8/27	< 380
9/3	< 370
9/10	< 380
9/17	< 380
9/24	< 380
10/1	< 380
10/8	< 380
10/15	< 380
10/22	< 380
10/29	< 380
11/5	< 400
11/12	< 380
11/19	< 380
11/26	< 390
12/3	< 380
12/10	< 380
12/17	< 390
12/24	< 380
12/31	< 420

### III. Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program

#### A. Sample Collection and Analysis Schedule

Table F-1 in the Offsite Dose Calculation Manual (ODCM) outlines the sampling design, the collection frequency and the type of analysis for all environmental samples. It should be repeated that this schedule was adopted January 1, 1984, and while different in certain aspects from the previous schedule, has as its intent the same objective. That objective is to document the radiation and radioactivity levels in the critical pathways of possible dose to humans. Such data is necessary to demonstrate Fort St. Vrain radioactive effluents produce environmental concentrations that are within appropriate environmental protection limits and at the same time are as low as reasonably achievable. During 1994, there were no changes in the sampling program. Iodine-131 analysis was dropped at the beginning of 1993 for milk and air samples. The operational phase of the facility ended permanently in August of 1989 and due to the short half-life of I-131 (8.05 days), there is no longer any inventory of I-131 present.

Table III.A.2 lists the LLD concentration values for each sample type and radionuclide measured in this report. These LLD values are the actual values pertinent to the sample sizes, counting yields, and counting times used in the project. Typical decay periods were used in the calculations. It should be noted that the LLD values are in all cases equal to or less than those required by the ODCM.

Table F-3 of the ODCM lists the USNRC reporting level for each sample type and radionuclide. No results exceeded the reporting level in 1994.

Table F-4 of the ODCM gives the description of each sampling location by number, sector and distance from the reactor. Each of these sampling locations (except certain reference locations) can be identified on scale maps (Figures III.B.1 and III.B.2). Topographical maps showing greater detail, as well as photographs of principal sampling sites are on file in the CSU laboratory.

During August 1994 the land-use census was again conducted to determine the locations of the nearest residence, the nearest milk animal, and the nearest garden producing broad leaf vegetation in each of the 16 meteorological sectors around the reactor. These locations are shown in Table III.C.1. Figure III.C.1 shows these locations in each sector. At the time of the 1994 census it was verified that the closest permanent residence in Sector 16 was the critical receptor with regards to mean annual dose commitment and is at the residence at F-16.

A few residents in the sampling sectors up to a distance of 8 km from the plant have cows or goats that could be used for personal milk consumption. However, from direct discussion with these persons, this is not a common practice and all cow milk produced is transported to commercial processors. The milk produced locally is diluted by a large milk shed, processed and distributed over a large area for consumption. Elevated radionuclide concentrations in milk samples due to Fort St. Vrain station effluents have never been detected during either the operational or decommissioning phases.



TABLE III.A.1  
DETECTION CAPABILITIES FOR ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLE ANALYSIS  
LOWER LIMIT OF DETECTION (LLD)

Analysis	Water (pCi/l)	Airborne Particulate or Gas (fCi/m <sup>3</sup> )	Fish (pCi/kg,wet)	Milk (pCi/l)	Food Products (pCi/kg,wet)	Sediment (pCi/kg,dry)
Gross Beta	4	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
H-3	2000	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cs-134	15	9	130	15	60	150
Cs-137	18	8	150	18	80	180
Mn-54	15	N/A	130	N/A	N/A	N/A
Co-60	15	N/A	130	N/A	N/A	N/A
Zn-65	30	N/A	260	N/A	N/A	N/A

NOTE: This list does not mean that only these nuclides are to be detected and reported. Other peaks which are measurable and identifiable, together with the above nuclides, shall also be identified and reported.



Figure III.B.1 Close-In Sampling Locations




Figure III.B.2 Adjacent and Reference Sampling Locations



**Table III.C.1 1994 Land Use Census\***

Sector	Nearest Residence	Nearest Garden	Nearest Milk Animal
1	17578 CR 19½	9102 CR 44	**
2	18311 CR 23	18999 CR 23	11258 CR 40
3	11100 CR 38	11100 CR 38	**
4	11247 CR 36	11247 CR 36	11777 CR 36
5	16543 CR 23	16134 CR 23	16134 CR 23
6	11056 CR 32½	11056 CR 32½	11585 CR 32
7	9999 CR 34	***	**
8	15883 CR 21	15225 CR 21	15225 CR 21
9	9379 CR 34	9379 CR 34	9033 CR 26
10	9061 CR 34	15449 CR 19	7388 CO 66
11	8745 CR 34	6769 CR 32	4513 CR 32
12	Aristocrat Ranch	16202 CR 15	4665 CR 34
13	17038 CR 17	17038 CR 17	**
14	8896 CR 19	17666 CR 17	**
15	18100 CR 19	18100 CR 19	**
16	17926 CR 19½	17296 CR 19½	**

\* Census Date: August 19, 1994

\*\* No milk animals

\*\*\* No garden found

Figure III.C.1 Land Use Census, 1994.

