



**ENTERGY**

Entergy Operations, Inc.

1448 S.R. 333

Russellville, AR 72801

Tel 501 858-3000

March 15, 1995

2CAN039501

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Document Control Desk  
Mail Station P1-137  
Washington, DC 20555

Subject: Arkansas Nuclear One - Unit 2  
Docket No. 50-368  
License No. NPF-6  
Monthly Operating Report

Gentlemen:

The Arkansas Nuclear One - Unit 2 Monthly Operating Report for February 1995 is attached.  
The report is submitted in accordance with ANO-2 Technical Specification 6.9.1.6.

Very truly yours,

*Dwight C. Mims*

Dwight C. Mims  
Director, Licensing

DCM/dwb

Attachments

210113

9503210049 950228  
PDR ADQCK 05000368  
R PDR

JE24

cc: Mr. Leonard J. Callan  
Regional Administrator  
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Region IV  
611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 400  
Arlington, TX 76011-8064

NRC Senior Resident Inspector  
Arkansas Nuclear One  
1448 S. R. 333  
Russellville, AR 72801

Mr. George Kalman  
NRR Project Manager Region IV/ANO-1 & 2  
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
NRR Mail Stop 13-H-3  
One White Flint North  
11555 Rockville Pike  
Rockville, MD 20852

# OPERATING DATA REPORT

DOCUMENT NO: 50-368  
 DATE: March 15, 1995  
 COMPLETED BY: M. S. Whitt  
 TELEPHONE: (501) 858-5560

## OPERATING STATUS

1. Unit Name: Arkansas Nuclear One - Unit 2
2. Reporting Period: February 1-28
3. Licensed Thermal Power (MWt): 2,815
4. Nameplate Rating (Gross MWe): 942.57
5. Design Electrical Rating (Net MWe): 912
6. Maximum Dependable Capacity (Gross MWe): 897
7. Maximum Dependable Capacity (Net MWe): 858
8. If Changes Occur in Capacity Ratings (Items Number 3 Through 7) Since Last Report, Give Reasons: \_\_\_\_\_
9. Power Level To Which Restricted. If Any (Net MWe): 895
10. Reasons For Restrictions. If Any: Self imposed power restriction to ~ 98.4% power based on T-hot limitations and the additional 300 steam generator plugs installed during 2P95-1.

	<u>MONTH</u>	<u>YR-TO-DATE</u>	<u>CUMULATIVE</u>
11. Hours in Reporting Period .....	672.0	1,416.0	130,872.0
12. Number of Hours Reactor was Critical .....	672.0	992.4	101,553.8
13. Reactor Reserve Shutdown Hours .....	0.0	0.0	0.0
14. Hours Generator On-Line .....	672.0	988.0	99,621.1
15. Unit Reserve Shutdown Hours ....	0.0	0.0	0.0
16. Gross Thermal Energy Generated (MWH) .....	1,857,981	2,615,619	264,957,828
17. Gross Electrical Energy Generated (MWH) .....	625,666	877,242	87,246,815
18. Net Electrical Energy Generated (MWH) .....	598,284	831,984	83,029,772
19. Unit Service Factor .....	100.0	69.8	76.1
20. Unit Availability Factor .....	100.0	69.8	76.1
21. Unit Capacity Factor (Using MDC Net) .....	103.8	68.5	73.9
22. Unit Capacity Factor (Using DER Net) .....	97.6	64.4	69.6
23. Unit Forced Outage Rate .....	0.0	7.6	10.4
24. Shutdowns Scheduled Over Next 6 Months (Type, Date, and Duration of Each):			

25. If Shut Down At End of Report Period. Estimated Date of Startup: \_\_\_\_\_

26. Units in Test Status (Prior to Commercial Operation): \_\_\_\_\_

	<u>Forecast</u>	<u>Achieved</u>
INITIAL CRITICALITY	_____	<u>12/05/78</u>
INITIAL ELECTRICITY	_____	<u>12/26/78</u>
COMMERCIAL OPERATION	_____	<u>03/26/80</u>

# AVERAGE DAILY UNIT POWER LEVEL

DOCKET NO: 50-368  
UNIT: Two  
DATE: March 15, 1995  
COMPLETED BY: M. S. Whitt  
TELEPHONE: (501) 858-5560

MONTH February 1995

DAY AVERAGE DAILY POWER LEVEL  
(MWe-Net)

1	888
2	886
3	888
4	890
5	890
6	891
7	890
8	889
9	887
10	889
11	892
12	891
13	892
14	892
15	891
16	892
17	892
18	892
19	891
20	891
21	886
22	891
23	889
24	892
25	892
26	892
27	890
28	893
29	N/A
30	N/A
31	N/A

AVGS: 890

## INSTRUCTION

On this format, list the average daily unit power level in MWe-Net for each day in reporting month. Complete to the nearest whole megawatt.

**NRC MONTHLY OPERATING REPORT**

**OPERATING SUMMARY**

**FEBRUARY 1995**

**UNIT TWO**

---

The unit operated the entire month of February at 98.3% power.

UNIT SHUTDOWNS AND POWER REDUCTIONS  
REPORT FOR FEBRUARY 1995

DOCKET NO.	50-368
UNIT NAME	ANO Unit 2
DATE	March 15, 1995
COMPLETED BY	M. S. Whitt
TELEPHONE	501-858-5560

<u>NO.</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>TYPE</u> <sup>1</sup>	<u>DURATION</u> <u>(HOURS)</u>	<u>REASON</u> <sup>2</sup>	<u>METHOD OF</u> <u>SHUTTING DOWN</u> <u>REACTOR</u> <sup>3</sup>	<u>LICENSEE</u> <u>EVENT</u> <u>REPORT #</u>	<u>SYSTEM</u> <u>CODE</u> <sup>4</sup>	<u>COMPONENT</u> <u>CODE</u> <sup>5</sup>	<u>CAUSE &amp; CORRECTIVE ACTION TO</u> <u>PREVENT RECURRENCE</u>
------------	-------------	--------------------------	-----------------------------------	----------------------------	---	--	---	--	--

none

<sup>1</sup>  
F: Forced  
S: Scheduled

<sup>2</sup>  
Reason:  
A - Equipment Failure (Explain)  
B - Maintenance of Test  
C - Refueling  
D - Regulatory Restriction  
E - Operator Training & License Examination  
F - Administration  
G - Operational Error  
H - Other (Explain)

<sup>3</sup>  
Method:  
1 - Manual  
2 - Manual Scram.  
3 - Automatic Scram.  
4 - Continuation  
5 - Load Reduction  
9 - Other

<sup>4</sup>  
Exhibit G - Instructions  
for Preparation of Data  
Entry Sheets for Licensee  
Event Report (LER) File (NUREG-0161)

<sup>5</sup>  
Exhibit I - Same Source

### REFUELING INFORMATION

1. Name of facility: Arkansas Nuclear One - Unit 2
2. Scheduled date for next refueling shutdown: September 22, 1995
3. Scheduled date for restart following refueling: November 6, 1995
4. Will refueling or resumption of operation thereafter require a technical specification change or other license amendment? If answer is yes, what, in general, will there be? If answer is no, has the reload fuel design and core configuration been reviewed by your Plant Safety Review Committee to determine whether any unreviewed safety questions are associated with the core reload (Ref. 10CFR Section 50.59)?

Delete requirement for verification of position stops for the high pressure safety injection throttle valves. Revise Technical Specifications to account for the replacement of part-length control element assemblies with full-length control element assemblies. Revise the reference in the Administrative Controls section to allow use of the Modified Statistical Combination of Uncertainties for determining core operating limits. Relocate the value used to decrease the core power operating limit based on DNBR when neither CEAC is operable to the Core Operating Limits Report. Revise containment cooling system response time to account for modification to eliminate water hammer.

5. Scheduled date(s) for submitting proposed licensing action and supporting information:  
March and April 1995
6. Important licensing considerations associated with refueling, e.g., new or different fuel design or supplier, unreviewed design or performance analysis methods, significant changes in fuel design, new operating procedures.

None planned

7. The number of fuel assemblies (a) in the core and (b) in the spent fuel storage pool:  
a) 177                      b) 637
8. The present licensed spent fuel pool storage capacity and the size of any increase in licensed storage capacity that has been requested or is planned, in number of fuel assemblies:  
present 988                      increase size by 0
9. The projected date of the last refueling that can be discharged to the spent fuel pool assuming the present licensed capacity:

DATE: 1997 (Loss of full core off-load capability)