

COMMITTEE TO BRIDGE THE GAP

1637 BUTLER AVENUE #203
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90025
(213) 478-0829

October 25, 1981

John H. Frye, III, Chairman
Administrative Judge
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dr. Glenn C. Bright
Administrative Judge
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dr. Emmeth A. Iuske
Administrative Judge
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

DOCKETED
OCT 31 11:23
OFFICE OF SECRETARY
DOCKETING & SERVICE
BRANCH

In the Matter of
The Regents of the University of California
(UCLA Research Reactor)
Docket No. 50-142
(Proposed Renewal of Facility License)

Dear Administrative Judges:

At the close of the last set of inherent safety hearings, CBG made a motion that rebuttal testimony at the upcoming November 29 hearing be taken, at least in part, on a roundtable basis, in keeping with the Commission's May 20, 1981, Statement of Policy on Conduct of Licensing Proceedings (paragraph 1). Because certain arrangements would have to be made soon, CBG requests an early ruling on that motion.

As CBG will not be able to obtain the transcript of the last hearing from the Public Document Room for some time yet, we also request clarification of the schedule ordered during the last day of the last session. Is rebuttal testimony by UCLA (or Staff) to be in CBG's hands by November 7, or to be served by November 7? CBG, of course, prefers the former, but if the latter, CBG would request that service by UCLA be in person on November 7 and by next day express to Ben Lomond so that all materials are in hand by November 8 at the latest. CBG would make available a messenger on November 7 to go to UCLA and receive delivery by hand at the close of the business day or other such time on the 7th convenient to UCLA.

Lastly, there was considerable discussion at the first set of safety hearings over UCLA's attempt to late-file certain exhibits and calculations. CBG requests that the requirement be made explicit now that all such materials are to be filed on the 7th. Specifically, any calculations, measurements, or exhibits relied upon in the testimony should be included in detail in the material provided on the 7th. Should the material not be fully provided, further delays in these long-delayed proceedings may result, and some relief along the lines outlined by the Board during the last day of the last hearing may be necessary. The Board has repeatedly indicated the limited scope of permissible rebuttal by UCLA or Staff, so filing the calculational basis or other supporting exhibits on time should pose no hardship.

Respectfully submitted,

David Hirsch
David Hirsch

cc: service list

8311010310 831025
PDR ADOCK 05000142
G PDR

D503