TEXAS UTILITIES GENERATING COMPANY

2001 BRYAN TOWER DALLAS, 11 NAS 75201-3050

R. J. GARY EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT AND GENERAL MANAGER

> Mr. G. L. Madsen, Chief Reactor Project Branch 1 U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Office of Inspection and Enforcement 611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 1000 Arlington, TX 76012

Aug 2 6 1983

Docket Nos.: 50-445
50-446

COMANCHE PEAK STEAM ELECTRIC STATION RESPONSE TO NRC NOTICE OF VIOLATION INSPECTION REPORT NO. 83-23, FINDING NO. 2 FILE NO.: 10130

Dear Mr. Madsen:

We have reviewed your letter dated July 27, 1983 on the Special Fuel Building inspection of activities authorized by NRC Construction Permit CPPR-126 for Comanche Peak, Unit 1. The enclosed report is provided as our response to Finding No. 2 contained in Appendix A of that letter. This letter will also serve to document our telephone conversation with T. F. Westerman on August 23, 1983, wherein we requested an extension of the due date for responding to Finding No. 1 of Appendix A. An extension of two weeks, until September 1, 1983 was granted.

To aid in the understanding of our response, we have repeated the requirement and Finding No. 2 followed by our corrective action. We feel the enclosed information to be responsive to the Inspector's finding. If you have any questions, please advise.

P. J. Lary

RJG:1n Encolsures

cc: NRC Region IV - (0 + 1 copy)

Director, Inspection & Enforcement (15 copies)
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

APPENDIX A NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Texas Utilities Generating Company
Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station, Unit 1

Docket No. 50-445/83-23 License No. CPPR-126

Based on the results of an NRC inspection conducted during the period of May 23 through June 10, 1983 and in accordance with the NRC Enforcement Policy (10CFR2, Appendix C) published in the Federal Register Notice (47CFR9987) dated March 9, 1982, the following violations were identified.

1. As stated in the cover letter, a response to this item will be provided no later than September 1, 1983.

2. Instructions

10CFR50, Appendix B, Criterion V states that, "activities affecting quality shall be prescribed by documented instructions, procedures, or drawings, of a type appropriate to the circumstances and shall be accomplished in accordance with these instructions, procedures, or drawings." The Final Safety Analysis Report, Section 17.1.5 states that, "appropriate requirements have been established in the TUGCO/TUSI Quality Assurance Program to assure that quality-related activities for CPSES are accomplished in accordance with such documents; and that approved acceptance criteria are met."

Contrary to the above, procedures for the installation and inspection of the "NPSI" seismic sway strut jam nuts do not appear adequate to insure snugness as required by QI-QP-11 1-28, Revision 20, and as evidenced by the finding of five loose jam nuts on the following large bore ASME pipe supports:

SF-X-011-019-F43K CC-X-040-004-F43K SF-X-024-010-F43R SF-X-002-018-F43R SF-X-006-027-F53R

This is a Severity Level IV Violation. (Supplement II.e) (445/8323-02).

CORRECTIVE STEPS WHICH HAVE BEEN TAKEN AND THE RESULTS ACHIEVED

The five loose jam nuts on the large bore ASME pipe supports identified were reworked and verified by QC to be "snug tight" per QI-QAP-11.1-28, paragraph 6.3.1.1.(c).

CORRECTIVE STEPS WHICH HAVE BEEN TAKEN TO AVOID FURTHER NONCOMPLIANCE

Inspection checklists as implemented by procedure CP-QAP-12.1 have been revised to add specific inspection criteria relative to "snug tight" conditions of sway-strut jam nuts. These checklists are utilized by QC to verify the correctness and integrity of installation of systems prior to N-5 Certification.

THE DATE WHEN FULL COMPLIANCE WILL BE ACHIVED

The checklists were revised immediately after identification of the nonconforming condition. Procedure CP-QAP-12.1 was formally approved August 3, 1983.