

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

APAC - Virginia, Inc.
Danville, Virginia

Docket No. 030-28852
License No. 45-24814-01

During an NRC inspection conducted February 14, 1995, a violation of NRC requirements was identified. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions," 10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C, the violation is listed below:

License Condition 13 (A) requires that sealed sources containing licensed material be tested for leakage at intervals not to exceed six months.

Contrary to the above, Moisture density gauges containing sealed sources (Approximately eight millicuries of cesium-137, and 40 millicuries of americium-241) were not tested for leakage at the required frequency. Specifically sources contained in gauge No. 397 were not tested for leakage between May 10, 1993 and April 7, 1994; Gauge No. 398 Between April 23, 1993 and March 14, 1994; and gauge No. 399 between April 13, 1993 and March 14, 1994, intervals which exceed six months.

This is a Repeat Severity Level IV Violation (Supplement VI).

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, APAC - Virginia, Inc. is hereby required to submit a written statement or explanation to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington, D.C. 20555 with copies to the Regional Administrator, Region II, and Chief, Nuclear Materials Inspection Section, Region II, within 30 days of the date of the letter transmitting this Notice of Violation (Notice). This reply should be clearly marked as a "Reply to a Notice of Violation" and should include: (1) the reason for the violation, or, if contested, the basis for disputing the violation, (2) the corrective steps that have been taken and the results achieved, (3) the corrective steps that will be taken to avoid further violations, and (4) the date when full compliance will be achieved. If an adequate reply is not received within the time specified in this Notice, an order or demand for information may be issued as to why the license should not be modified, suspended, or revoked, or why such other action as may be proper should not be taken. Where good cause is shown, consideration will be given to extending the response time.

Dated at Atlanta, Georgia
This 3rd day of March 1995

Enclosure