

FEB 7 1984

Docket Nos. 50-324  
and 50-325

Carolina Power & Light Company  
ATTN: Mr. E. E. Utley  
Executive Vice President  
Post Office Box 1551  
Raleigh, North Carolina 27602

Gentlemen:

The following applications were filed with the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation (ONRR) for review in connection with the Brunswick Steam Electric Plant Unit Nos. 1 and 2. Fees pursuant to 10 CFR 170.22 were not remitted with these requests.

1. A December 13, 1982 application, as supplemented, proposing changes relating to the Radiological Effluent Technical Specifications (TS). The December 13 application superseded one dated May 31, 1979. In the May 31 application, your Company stated that fees were not required since this application was as result of a written Commission request.
2. A May 2, 1983 request for exemption from certain requirements of Appendix R of 10 CFR Part 50.

Based on information provided by the ONRR staff as the result of their final review of these requests, it has been determined that:

1. A Class III fee (\$4,000) and a Class I fee (\$400) are appropriate for Item 1 since the review for this application involved consideration of a single safety issue and a duplicate unit. By letter dated December 27, 1983, the ONRR staff completed their review of this application and issued Amendment Nos. 62 and 68 to Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-71 and DPR-62.

When applications are requested in connection with compliance with the Commission's regulations, they are not exempt under Footnote 2 of 10 CFR 170.22. Although the USNRC provided model TS to licensees for guidance, the requested plans and TS changes were for the purpose of assuring that releases of radioactive materials to unrestricted

8402230420 840207  
PDR ADOCK 05000324  
P PDR

FEB 7 1984

areas during normal reactor operations are kept as low as is reasonably achievable as required by 10 CFR 50.36a.

2. A Class III fee (\$4,000) and a Class I fee (\$400) are appropriate for the review of those portions of your May 2 application relating to the exemptions from Appendix R that were denied by letter dated July 27, 1983. This review involved consideration of a single safety issue and a duplicate unit. For those portions of your application which were approved pursuant to 10 CFR 50.12, we have concluded that an exemption, pursuant to 10 CFR 170.11(b), from the fee requirements is authorized by law and such exemption is otherwise in the public interest and is hereby granted for them. The ONRR staff notified your Company of their action on these requests by letter dated July 27, 1983. As a matter of providing information to your Company on our fee procedures for fire protection reviews and approvals, enclosed is a copy of a letter we sent to the Virginia Electric and Power Company dated December 5, 1983, on Surry Unit Nos. 1 and 2. Similar letters have been sent to other licensees who have received reviews relating to alternate safe shutdown.

In summary, you are requested to remit the sum of \$8,800 to our office for the items referred to above.

Sincerely,

Original Signed by  
Wm. O. Miller

William O. Miller, Chief  
License Fee Management Branch  
Office of Administration

Enclosure:

Copy of letter dated 12/5/83  
(NRC to VEPCO)

DISTRIBUTION:

Docket Files

PDR

LPDR

SMacKay, ORB-2

SNorris, ORB-2

RMDiggs, LFMB

LFMB Reactor File (2)

LFMB Pending Check File

LFMB R/F (2)

*WOM*

OFFICE	LFMB:ADM	LFMB:ADM	LFMB:ADM	LFMB:ADM		
SURNAME	LTremper/RMDiggs:rej	CJHolloway	WOMiller			
DATE	2/7/84	2/1/84	2/7/84			