MIDLAND INDEPENDENT DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION VERIFICATION PROGRAM MONTHLY STATUS REPORT NUMBER 9 PERIOD JANUARY I, 1984 THROUGH JANUARY 31, 1984

Prepared by:

Manager, Design Verification

Manager, Construction Verification

Project Manager

Approved by:

Reviewed by:

Show W. Beck

Principal-in-Charge

8402210274 840215 PDR ADOCK 05000329 R PDR

MIDLAND INDEPENDENT DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION VERIFICATION PROGRAM (IDCV) MONTHLY STATUS REPORT NUMBER 9 PERIOD JANUARY I, 1984 THROUGH JANUARY 31, 1984

1.0 INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE

Monthly Status Reports have been instituted by agreement between the Consumers Power Company (CPC), the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) and TERA to provide parties external to TERA's IDCV project team with up-todate information relative to program progress and any important issues identified during the reporting period. This report covers the period from January I, 1984 through January 31, 1984. A description of the scope, reporting periods and report issuance dates for Monthly Status Reports, as well as a summary of the background of the IDCV program were presented in the initial Monthly Status Report dated May 27, 1983.

2.0 IDCV PROGRAM STATUS SUMMARY

2.1 Programmatic Activities

Attachment I provides an updated chronology of major project milestones. The project chronology from inception through the beginning of this reporting period can be found in the previous monthly status reports. Several milestones warrant special highlight.

On January 4, 1984, TERA issued a report entitled, "Midland Independent Design and Construction Verification Program: Structural Evaluation of the Diesel Generator Building."

A meeting was held on January 31, 1984 with Stone and Webster representatives to generally discuss coordination and interfacing between their Construction Implementation Overview (CIO) program and the Midland IDCVP. It was agreed to formally place each organization on distribution to enhance efficient execution of both programs. Information transfer existed previously; however, not in a timely fashion. An increased effort will be made in the future to promote feedback between the two organizations and enhance the focus of activities within the CIO and IDCVP.

The sixth OCR Status Review meeting was held on February 1, 1984 at Bechtel's Ann Arbor, Michigan offices. Representatives from TERA, CPC, Bechtel, and the NRC participated at the meeting.

On February 10, 1984, TERA issued a letter that discusses the future direction of the IDCVP. The current status of the Midland project was identified as a major factor affecting the planned progress, this due principally to the fact that a portion of items within scope are in process and, therefore, unready for a "quality of the end product" verification. A limited modification of the methodology was proposed which is considered to provide enhancements to the overall program in meeting the original stated goals. Accordingly, the approach includes:

- Maintaining the existing vertical slice approach to design verification by:
 - reviewing end products for majority of sample;
 - reviewing engineering procedures and action plans and their implementation for the remainder of the sample where items are not complete.
- Postponement of the construction verification until completion of Phase I of the Midland project Construction Completion Program (CCP), thus taking advantage of the assemblage of relevant quality documentation by the Quality Verification Program (QVP). During the period of postponement, conduct a verification review of selected QVP documentation processes to allow expedited documentation and physical verification after Phase I of the CCP.
- Focused review of identified process-related issues resulting from existing Findings and ongoing work.

Thus, the principal alteration involves verification of a limited portion of the design verification sample by reviewing engineering procedures and action plans and their implementation for items not currently completed. It is estimated that approximately 10 to 20 percent of our sample would be verified in this manner, and that 80 to 90 percent of our sample will continue to be verified with emphasis on the quality of the end product.

Proceeding as such, we estimate completion of the design verification on or around July, 1984. We envision a series of topical reports over the interim months. The construction verification will recommence at an appropriate time commensurate with completion of Phase I of the CCP.

We have completed an assessment of status of the IDCVP as measured against the committed scope shown on the review matrices documented in the IDCVP Engineering Program Plan (EPP). Attachment 2 provides a key for designating completion status on the EPP matrices which are included as Attachment 3. The information presented on the EPP matrices served as input to an estimation of project completion status which is tabulated on Table I. The IDV and ICV are estimated to be 64 and 25 percent complete, respectively. A composite percentage completion of the IDCVP is 51 percent. These estimates are based upon the currently defined scope including all OCRs and Findings of record.

2.2 Design Verification Activities

2.2.1 Summary

The engineering evaluation of the diesel-generator building was released as scheduled on January 4. Emphasis continued to be placed on completing work previously initiated and dispositioning of OCRs.

2.2.2 Auxiliary Feedwater System Progress

In January the AFW system review continued, as it had in the previous two months, by concentrating on the disposition of OCRs and completion of supporting engineering evaluations. Lower priority is being given to completion of other

3

TABLE I

PROJECT COMPLETION STATUS MIDLAND IDCV PROGRAM

		Percent Complete				
SYSTEM	IDV	ICV	IDCVP			
AFW	67	37	56			
SEP	51	19	41			
CR-HVAC	<u>78</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>57</u>			
COMPOSITE	64	26	51			

engineering evaluations. No new engineering evaluations were initiated in January.

OCRs were resolved or otherwise dispositioned based upon receipt of additional information. Section 3.0 of this report discusses OCR status.

In the civil/structural area work continued in the completion of engineering evaluations and evaluation of previously received information. No new engineering evaluations were initiated, although several evaluations neared completion pending resolution of OCRs. The OCR meeting on civil/structural items tentatively scheduled for January 31 was postponed until further documentation could be made available to TERA prior to the meeting.

2.2.3 Standby Electric Power System Progress

The engineering evaluation of the diesel generator building (DGB) was documented in a report issued January 4, 1994. The report evaluated the DGB asbuilt condition and concluded that the DGB is capable of meeting its intended performance requirements. The review of the balance of the civil/structural topics continued in January, including the Standby Electric Power system protection features.

The draft engineering evaluations for the diesel generator (DG) electrical load capacity, electrical load sequencing, and electrical load shedding have been completed. Resolution of Confirmed Item C-110, relating to the DG independent load tabulation, was initiated with a review in Ann Arbor of SCN 4082 and related internal documentation during the last week of January. SCN 4082 updates the information in FSAR Table 8.3-1 (List of Loads Supplied by the Class IE AC System), which provides input to electrical calculation QPE-1 on DG sizing. The independent load tab utilized plant single lines, logic diagrams, and motor control center schedules to determine actual load. Confirmed item C-110 identifies differences in load constituents among QPE-1, Table 8.3-1, the plant drawings, and the independent load tab.

In addition, the draft engineering evaluations for the DG system interlocks, control systems, and actuation systems were completed. All diesel generator interlocks were reviewed, as well as the entire DG actuation subsystem. All DG instrumentation and controls required by criteria or commitments were reviewed for implementation. TERA personnel attended the public meeting at the NRC's offices in Bethesda relative to the Delaval diesel generator problem status and the Owners' Group design review and quality reverification efforts.

The draft engineering evaluation of the fire protection associated with the diesel generator building is currently undergoing internal review. The draft engineering evaluation of the DG and dc systems Technical Specifications has been completed, as has that for the consolidated criteria and commitments. The review of the 125Vdc system is progressing, with the draft engineering evaluation anticipated to be completed in February.

2.2.4 Control Room HVAC System Progress

The written response to Confirmed item C-084 provided in the January 19, 1984 Bechtel letter has been reviewed and evaluated, and the item will be further dispositioned in February. The verbal responses to Confirmed items C-097 and C-129 provide a basis for disposition of these items with minor additional information. This activity will be completed in February.

Approximately half of the engineering evaluations for the Control Room HVAC system performance topics are completed. Disposition of OCRs is progressing to support that effort. Of the 19 OCRs which have been issued, 14 are either resolved, classified as observations, or will be dispositioned in February based on information already received. Five OCRs will require additional review effort, one of which has reached confirmed status and the others are open.

The status of the structural review of the Auxiliary Building, which houses the system components, is discussed in Section 2.2.2 of this report. Structural assessment of duct and support qualification is progressing with calculations for individual designs being currently reviewed.

6

2.3 Construction Verification Activities

During the reporting period, the focus of ICV reviewers' efforts was directed upon the disposition of existing Findings and OCRs. Activities necessary to further progress the ICV reviews within the sample boundaries of the IDCVP selected systems have been postponed until completion of Phase I of the Midland project Construction Completion Program (CCP) for selected components and commodities. This subject as well as other modifications to the IDCVP methodology will be discussed with NRC and CPC at the February 29, 1984 status review meeting. Details describing the future direction of the IDCVP were presented in a February 10, 1984 letter from TERA to CPC and NRC.

Principal activities undertaken during the reporting period are as follows:

Meetings with cognizant Midland site personnel were held on Thursday and Friday, January 12 and 33, 1984, for the purpose of obtaining additional information associated with the following reported Findings and Confirmed Items.

Finding/OCR

F-047: Storage & Maintenance

C-093:CR-HVAC Documentation C-094:CR-HVAC Physical Verification C-095:CR-HVAC Zack Welders C-096:CR-HVAC Documentation

F-055: WPSs and PQRs

F-091: Hanger Insp. Training Program

C-092: Qualification of Inspectors

F-049: Cable Separation F-050: Cable Misrouting

F-054: PQCI

F-052: Vendor Document Control

- On Tuesday, January 31, 1984, ICV program management personnel met with CIO management. The purpose of the meeting was to explore and understand how best to use each of the programs' outputs (CIO and IDCVP) so as to maximize information exchange and benefits of program activities. As a result of the meeting, a better understanding evolved concerning how best to integrate each program's efforts. Further discussions with CIO program management will occur periodically.
- Significant information was received from Bechtel and CPC concerning existing Findings OCRs. These data and information are currently being evaluated by ICV program personnel as a first step in determining the ultimate disposition of affected Findings and OCRs.

3.0 SUMMARY OF CONFIRMED AND RESOLVED ITEM REPORTS, FINDINGS REPORTS, AND FINDING RESOLUTION REPORTS

Attachment 4 provides TERA's Tracking System Summary for Open, Confirmed, and Resolved (OCR) Item Reports, Finding Reports, and Finding Resolution Reports. This tool assists TERA in tracking the disposition of issues as they progress through the review process. Items that have changed status or that have been added during the reporting period are noted with an asterisk. Attachment 5 provides retyped copies of current period Resolved Item Reports (that have closed out Confirmed Items), Confirmed Items, Finding Reports, and Finding Resolution Reports. Several Observations are also attached. The following paragraphs discuss items which have changed status in the past month.

During January eight new Confirmed Items were identified, five potential Open Items were resolved as Observations, and seven items were resolved or dispositioned as a result of receiving additional information. Additionally, two new items were identified as Open Items pending further review by TERA.

Of the eight new Confirmed Items, one (C-141) was opened last month, but was confirmed later based upon further review. It is concerned with the air quality for the diesel generator starting system. C-144 discusses concerns resulting from TERA review of piping analysis commitments and their implementation. Confirmed Item C-146 through C-150 are all associated with the Standby Electric Power system review. The subjects of these items are as follows:

C-146	Failure modes and effects for the pneumatic control system for diesel-generators
C-147	Diesel-generator load sequencer
C-148	Fire seals for penetrations
C-149	Compliance with NFPA 12
C-150	Compliance with NFPA 72D

Item C-153 discusses an apparent inconsistency among design documents related to control switches shown on the auxiliary shutdown panel.

Based upon further investigation by TERA two potential Open Items were resolved without issuance of either a Confirmed Item or an Observation.

5

Star and

The following Confirmed Items were resolved based upon the review of additional information provided by the project: C-025, C-039, C-040, C-074 and C-081.

ATTACHMENT I

MIDLAND INDEPENDENT DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION VERIFICATION PROGRAM

TERA PROJECT 3201

PERIOD JANUARY 1, 1984 THROUGH JANUARY 31, 1984

Date

Milestone

January 4, 1984	TERA issues report entitled, "Midland IDCVP: Structural Evaluation of the Diesel Generator Building," which pro- vided an evaluation of settlement induced cracking as it may potentially affect intended performance requirements and serviceabilility of the DGB
January 4, 1984	Fifth OCR Status Review meeting held at Bechtel's Ann Arbor, Michigan offices
January 12-13, 1984	Construction verification personnel on- site to obtain information relative to the disposition of outstanding OCRs/Findings
January 13, 1984	Eighth Monthly Status Report issued
January 18, 1984	TERA transmittal of additional resumes and statements of independence
January 20, 1984	Meeting summary issued for Fifth OCR Status Review meeting which took place on January 4, 1984
January 20, 1984	Meeting notice issued for Sixth OCR Status Review meeting
January 30-February 2, 1984	Design verification personnel at Bechtel's Ann Arbor, Michigan offices in support of the SEP review
January 31, 1984	Meeting with Stone and Webster to discuss coordination and interfacing of the CIO and the IDCVP
February 1, 1984	Sixth OCR Status Review meeting held at Bechtel's Ann Arbor, Michigan offices

ATTACHMENT 2 COMPLETION STATUS (I)

Level	Symbol	Description
I	•	Topic/engineering evaluation essentially complete ⁽²⁾ with no outstanding OCRs.
2A	0	Topic/engineering evaluation substantially complete; ⁽³⁾ one or more OCRs outstanding; no further input required from CPCo/Bechtel; no major design changes known.
2 B	•	Topic/engineering evaluation partially complete; may or may not have outstanding OCRs; may require input from CPCo/Bechtel to disposition the OCRs; TERA has sub- stantially all information to complete; may have minor requests for information outstanding; minor design changes may be in progress.
2C	Ø	Topic/engineering evaluation partially complete; may or may not have outstanding OCRs; may require input from CPCo/Bechtel to disposition the OCRs; responses to out- standing information requests are required or significant additional information requests are expected; design changes may be in progress.
3	D	Topic/engineering evaluation started, but substantial ef- fort required to complete. ⁽⁴⁾
4		Topic/engineering evaluation not started. ⁽⁵⁾

Notes:

(1) The status levels do not reflect Amendment 49 to FSAR. All engineering evaluations will require a check to determine that Amendment 49 has not invalidated them.

- (2) "Essentially complete" means that verification or minor administrative tasks may remain to be completed prior to closeout of the engineering evaluation/topic.
- "Substantially complete" means that, in addition to the items listed in note
 (2), there may be minor additions or clarifications required to documentation as well as dispositioning of OCRs.
- (4) This category includes those topics for which design changes are known to be in progress and those where the number of OCRs indicates that additional sampling may be required.
- (5) In most cases, sufficient information is available to initiate the topic/ engineering evaluation. but the topic has been assigned a low priority.



ATTACHMENT 3 IDCVP REVIEW MATRICES

INITIAL SAMPLE REVIEW MATRIX FOR THE AUXILIARY FEEDWATER SYSTEM MIDLAND INDEPENDENT DESIGN VERIFICATION PROGRAM

	Π		T	50	OPE OF	REVIEW	1
TOPICMIN	DESIGN AREA	REVIEW OF DEC.	RI VIEW OF IL	CIECK OF CLIMENTS	CONFIRMATORY	CHECK OF DO	JECHTCATIONS AND
	AFW SYSTEM PERFORMANCE REQUIREMENTS						
1.1-1	SYSTEM OPERATING LIMITS			0			
1.2-1	ACCIDENT ANALYSIS CONSIDERATIONS						
1.3-1	SINGLE FAILURE	Z	X				
1,4=1	TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS	-	-	11.1	1.1.1		
1.5-1	SYSTEM ALIGNMENT/SWITCHOVER	8	8		1.1		
1.6-1	REMOTE OPERATION AND SHUTDOWN			1			
1.7-1	SYSTEM ISOLATION/INTERLOCKS			-	-		
1.8-1	OVERPRESSURE PROTECTION	•	•	•	•		
1.9-1	COMPONENT FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS	Ø		Q			
1.10-1	SYSTEM HYDRAULIC DESIGN	1 Ø			0	-	
1.11-1	SYSTEM HEAT REMOVAL CAPABILITY	Ø			ā		
1.12-1	COOLING REGUIREMENTS	8	-	-	-		
1.13-1	WATER SUPPLIES	8	0			2.1	
1.14-1	PRESERVICE TESTING/CAPABILITY FOR OPERATIONAL TESTING	A	0	0			
1.15-1	POWER SUPPLIES	1 Ø				0	
1.16-1	ELECTRICAL CHARACTERISTICS		õ	0		-	1
1.17-1	PROTECTIVE DEVICES/SETTINGS		•		1.00	•	
1.18-1	INSTRUMENTATION	0			1.11	61	
1.19-1	CONTROL SYSTEMS				1.1.1	6	
1.20-1	ACTUATION SYSTEMS						
1.21-1	NDE COMMITMENTS	8	Θ	177	1.1	Θ	
1.22-1	MATERIALS SELECTION		9			-	
1.23-1	FAILURE MODES AND EFFECTS	0	0		0		1

KEY

- X INITIAL SCOPE OF REVIEW
- X DELETED SCOPE OF REVIEW
- . ADDED SCOPE OF REVIEW

NOTE

1.

INITIAL SAMPLE DOCUMENTED IN REV. 6 AND I OF THIS PLAN MAS BEEN MODIFIED EFFECTIVE 4/13/83

FIGURE 1.2-2a

INITIAL SAMPLE REVIEW MATRIX FOR THE AUXILIARY FEEDWATER SYSTEM MIDLAND INDEPENDENT DESIGN VERIFICATION PROGRAM (CONTINUED)

000 03008	× (\$\$	×	839	888	
000 0000	× (\$\$\$	×	B	0000	
888	×	×			
Contract of the					
0000	XX	X	X	XX	
	•	9			
×	\boxtimes	X			
BBBB	•	۲		⊗	
SEE	S &	888			
	COO OOX BODD BODD SAMP	SAMPLE DOCCU	Image: Sample Documentel S Plan Has Been mode	Image: Sample Documented in Reverse Plan mas been modified effective	Image: Sample Documented in Rev. 0 AND Sample Documented in Rev. 0 AND

FIGURE 1.2-2b

INITIAL SAMPLE REVIEW MATRIX FOR THE AUXILIARY FEEDWATER SYSTEM MIDLAND INDEPENDENT CONSTRUCTION VERIFICATION PROGRAM

TOPIC MUNGED	SYSTEM/COMPONENT	REVIEW OF .	MAINTEVEW OF STON	INSTALLATOR CONTRACTION S	VERIEVEW OF THE VIEW OF THE VI	VERIFICATION ACTIVITIES	TICURATION
.1-1c .2-1c .3-1c	MECHANICAL • EQUIPMENT • PIPING • PIPE SUPPORTS	×	۲	6666	000	×XX	
II.I-Ic II.2-Ic II.3-Ic II.4-Ic	ELECTRICAL • EQUIPMENT • TRAYS AND SUPPORTS • CONDUIT AND SUPPORTS • CABLE	⊗××⊛	8	8008	8008	× × × × ×	
.1-1c .2-1c .3-1c	INSTRUMENTATION AND CONTROL INSTRUMENTS PIPING/TUBING CABLE	⊗×× ×	8	•		× × ×	
IV.1-1c IV.2-1c	HVAC • EQUIPMENT • DUCTS AND SUPPORTS	®×	۲	•	•	x x	
V.I-Ic V.2-Ic V.3-Ic VI.I-Ic	STRUCTURAL • FOUNDATIONS • CONCRETE • STRUCTURAL STEEL NDE/MATERIAL TESTING PROGRAM	XXX		(DE)		× ×	

FIGURE 1.2-3

SCOPE OF REVIEW CONFIRMATORY CALCULATION REVIEW OF DESIGN CHITERIA REVIEW OF IMPLEMENTING CHECK OF CALCULATIONS AND CHECK OF DRAWINGS AN DESIGN AREA TOPIC MUMBER CONTROL ROOM HVAC SYSTEM PERFORMANCE REQUIREMENTS 8898888888 \otimes SYSTEM OPERATING LIMITS 1.1-3 ACCIDENT ANALYSIS CONSIDERATIONS 1.2-3 0 SINGLE FAILURE 1.3-3 TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS 1.4-3 SYSTEM ALIGNMENT/SWITCHOVER 1.5-3 00 88 SYSTEM ISOLATION/#ITERLOCKS 1.7-3 COMPONENT FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS 1.9-3 SYSTEM PNEUMATIC DESIGN 1.10-3 \otimes COOLING/HEATING REQUIREMENTS 1.12-3 PRESERVICE TESTING/CAPABILITY FOR 1.14-3 OPERATIONAL TESTING **88888888** POWER SUPPLIES 1.15-3 888 \odot INSTRUMENTATION/DETECTION 1.18-3 CONTROL SYSTEMS 1.19-3 ACTUATION SYSTEMS 1.20-3 NDE COMMITMENTS 1.21-3 MATERIALS SELECTION 1.22-3 FAILURE MODES AND EFFECTS 1.23-3 \otimes FILTRATION 1.33-3 1 1.34-3 PRESSUR!ZATION 1.35-3 VENTILATION

INITIAL SAMPLE REVIEW MATRIX FOR THE CONTROL ROOM HVAC SYSTEM MIDLAND INDEPENDENT DESIGN VERIFICATION PROGRAM

FIGURE 1.2-60

INITIAL SAMPLE REVIEW MATRIX FOR THE CONTROL ROOM HVAC SYSTEM MIDLAND INDEPENDENT DESIGN VERIFICATION PROGRAM (CONTINUED)



FIGURE 1.2-6b

	ГТ		7	sc	OPE OF	REVIEW	1
TOPIC NUMBED	SYSTEM/COMPORENT	REVIEW OF C	MAINTEVEN OF STORY	REVIEW OF COMENTATION	VERIFICEN OF THEVILATION	VERIFICATION ACTIVITIES	IGURATION SICAL
1.1-3c 1.2-3c 1.3-3c	MECHANICAL • EQUIPMENT • PIPING • PIPE SUPPORTS	() × ×	8	⊛× ×	8	NNN	
11.1-3c 11.2-3c 11.3-3c 11.4-3c	ELECTRICAL • EQUIPMENT • TRAYS AND SUPPORTS • CONDUIT AND SUPPORTS • CABLE	() × × ×		XXXX	۲	X X X	
.1-3c !1.2-3c .3-3c	INSTRUMENTATION AND CONTROL INSTRUMENTS/DETECTORS PIPING/TUBING CABLE	⊗×× ×	•	× × ×	•	× × ×	
IV.2-3c	DUCTS AND SUPPORTS	×	×			×	
V.2-3c V.3-3c V1.1-3c	STRUCTURAL • CONCRETE • STRUCTURAL STEEL NDE/MATERIALS TESTING PROGRAM	XX		88		x x x	

INITIAL SAMPLE REVIEW MATRIX FOR THE CONTROL ROOM HVAC SYSTEM MIDLAND INDEPENDENT CONSTRUCTION VERIFICATION PROGRAM

FIGURE 1.2-7

INITIAL SAMPLE REVIEW MATRIX FOR THE STANDBY ELECTRIC POWER SYSTEM MIDLAND INDEPENDENT DESIGN VERIFICATION PROGRAM

		SCOPE OF REVIEW						
TOPIC NUM	DESIGN AREA	REVIEW OF DESIC.	REVIEW OF INC	CHECK OF CALL	CONFIRMATORY C.	CHECK OF UNATION ATION	ONS AND	
1.1-2	STANDBY ELECTRIC POWER SYSTEM PERFORMANCE REQUIREMENTS SYSTEM OPERATING LIMITS - DG		XX	Ø				
1.2-2	- DG, AC, DC		~					
1.3-2	SINGLE FAILURE - DG, PDS, AC, DC TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS - DG, DC		ô		Ŷ			
1.6-2	LOCAL OPERATION - DG		0					
1.7-2 1.9-2	SYSTEM INTERLOCKS - DG COMPONENT FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS	8						
1.12-2	COOLING/HEATING REQUIREMENTS . DG	8	X	X				
1.14-2	PRESERVICE TESTING/CAPABILITY FOR OPERATIONAL TESTING - DG					Ø		
1.16-2	ELECTRICAL CHARACTERISTICS - DG, PDS, AC, DC							
1.17-2	PROTECTIVE DEVICES/SETTINGS - DG, PDS				1.1.1			
1.18-2	INSTRUMENTATION - DG, AC, DC							
1.19-2	CONTROL SYSTEMS - DG							
1.20-2	FAILURE MODES AND EFFECTS - DG,		X	X		-		
124-2	PDS, AC, DC	8	8	8	8			
	PDS, AC, DC		0					
1.25-2	ELECTRICAL LOAD SEQUENCING - DG, PDS				1000	-		
1.26-2	FUEL OIL SYSTEM - DG		X	X				
1.20 2	LUBE OIL SYSTEM - DG		X			1.00		
1.29-2	STARTING MECHANISM AND AIR SUPPLY SYSTEM - DG		×	×		×		
1.30-2	COMBUSTION AIR SUPPLY - DG		X	X	1			
1.31-2	INDEPENDENCE - DG, PDS, AC, DC	8	X	x	x	X		
1.32-2	CABLE SIZING/ROUTING/SEPARA	-						
KE	Y							
DG	- DIESEL GENERATOR							
DG	B - DIESEL GENERATOR BUILDING							
AC	- PREFERRED 120V AC PT WER SYSTEM							
	SERVICING AFW SYSTEM							
DC	AFW SYSTEM							
	FICI D	E 12 40						

FIGURE 1.2-4a

SCOPE OF REVIEW REVIEW OF DESIGN CRITERIA REVIEW OF IMPLEMENTING DOCUMENTS MING CHECK OF CALCULATIONS AND EVALUATIONS TION AND CONFIRMATORY CALCULAT CHECK OF DRAWINGS AN AND COMMITMENIS DESIGN AREA TOPIC MUMBER STANDBY ELECTRIC POWER SYSTEM PROTECTION FEATURES 9999 999 9999 11.1-2 SEISMIC DESIGN 888 11.2-2 PRESSURE BOUNDARY - DG \otimes 8 PIPE/EQUIPMENT SUPPORT - LG, PDS 11.3-2 EQUIPMENT QUALIFICATION - DG, PDS 11.4-2 HIGH ENERGY LINE BREAK ACCIDENTS 11.5-2 11.6-2 · PIPE WHIP - PDS, AC, DC . JET IMPINGEMENT - PDS, AC, DC 11.7-2 ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 11.8-2 ENVIRONMENTAL ENVELOPES - DG, PDS 11.9-2 X X X . EQUIPMENT QUALIFICATION - DG, PDS 11.10-2 HVAC DESIGN - DG 11.11-2 000 9 0 11.12-2 FIRE PROTECTION - DG 11.13-2 MISSILE PROTECTION - DG X SYSTEMS INTERACTION - DG, PDS, AC, DC 11.14-2 STRUCTURES THAT HOUSE THE STANDBY ELECTRIC POWER SYSTEM 8 8 8 \otimes SEISMIC DESIGN/INPUT TO EQUIPMENT - DGB 111.1-2 WIND & TORNADO DESIGN/MISSILE PROTECTION 111.2-2 - DGB 8 8 \otimes 111.3-2 FLOOD PROTECTION - DGB 111.4-2 HELBA LOADS - DGB CIVIL/STRUCTURAL DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS 111.5-2 8 COOR I 888 . FOUNDATIONS - DGB 111.6-2 \bigotimes CONCRETE/STEEL DESIGN - DGB 111.7-2 0 . TANKS 111.8-2

INITIAL SAMPLE REVIEW MATRIX FOR THE STANDBY ELECTRIC POWER SYSTEM MIDLAND INDEPENDENT DESIGN VERIFICATION PROGRAM (CONTINUED)

KEY

DIESEL GENERATOR

DGB -DIESEL GENERATOR BUILDING

PDS POWER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM .

PREFERRED 120V AC POWER SYSTEM AC SERVICING AFW SYSTEM

125V DC POWER SYSTEM SERVICING DC . AFW SYSTEM

FIGURE 1.2-4b

INITIAL SAMPLE REVIEW MATRIX FOR THE STANDBY ELECTRIC POWER SYSTEM MIDLAND INDEPENDENT CONSTRUCTION VERIFICATION PROGRAM

TOPIC NUME	SYSTEM/COMPONENT	REVIEW	MAINTEVEW OF SUPPLIER	REVEW OF OCCUMENTATION OF	VEREVIEW OCCUMENTATION	VERIFICATION ACTIVITIES	CONFICURATION SICAL
1.1-2c 1.2-2c	MECHANICAL • EQUIPMENT - DG • PIPING - DG	₩ ×	8	××	8	××	
1.3-2c	PIPE SUPPORTS - DG	×		×		×	
	ELECTRICAL						
II.1-2c	EQUIPMENT - DG, PDS, AC, DC TRAYS AND SUPPORTS - PDS	×		×		x	
11.3-2c 11.4-2c	CONDUIT AND SUPPORTS - PDS CABLE - PDS	×	•	× ×		x x	
	INSTRUMENTATION AND CONTROL						
III.1-2c	• INSTRUMENTS - DG	9	Θ	x	Θ	x	
111.2-2c 111.3-2c	PIPING/TUBING - DG CABLE - DG, PDS	××	9	×	•	×	
3.1	HVAC						
IV.1-2c	. EQUIPMENT - DG	Θ				x	
IV.2-2c	DUCTS AND SUPPORTS - DG STRUCTURAL	×				×	
V.1-2c	· FOUNDATIONS - DG			Θ		61.14	
V.2-2c	. CONCRETE - DG	X		Θ		1.12	
	STRUCTURAL STEEL - DG	X		8		1. 16 1.	

DGB -PDS -

AC -

DIESEL GENERATOR DIESEL GENERATOR BUILDING POWER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM PREFERRED 120V AC POWER SYSTEM SERVICING AFW SYSTEM 125V DC POWER SYSTEM SERVICING AFW SYSTEM DC -

FIGURE 1.2-5

ATTACHMENT 4

OCR, FINDING REPORT, AND FINDING RESOLUTION REPORT TRACKING SYSTEM MIDLAND INDEPENDENT DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION VERIFICATION PROGRAM 2/15/84

OCR No.	Resp. LTR	Potential Open Item	Open Item	Confirmed Item	Resolved Item/ Observation	Finding Report	Finding Resolution <u>Report</u>	Topic		Comments
001	RPS	12/21/83	3/4/83	3/4/83	7/12/83			1.4-1	Tech Specs	
002	RPS	12/21/83	3/4/83	3/4/83	7/12/83			1.4-1	Tech Specs	
003	RPS	1/3/83	3/4/83		3/4/83			1.8-1	Overpressure Protection	
004	RPS	1/3/83	3/4/83		3/4/83			1.8-1	Overpressure Protection	
005	FAD	1/4/83	3/4/83	3/4/83				1.1-1	System Operating Limits	
006	RPS	1/12/83	3/4/83		3/4/83			1.2-1	Acc. Anal. Consid.	
007	RPS	1/12/83	3/4/83		3/4/83			1.2-1	Acc. Anal. Consid.	
008	LB	1/19/83	3/4/83		7/12/83			1.19-1	Control Systems	
009	JAM	1/20/83	3/4/83		3/4/83			11.1-1	Seismic Design	
010	FAD	1/20/83	3/4/83	4/14/83	7/12/83			1.10-1	Hydraulic Design	٣
011	LB	1/27/83	3/4/83	3/4/83	8/8/83			1.19-1	Control Systems	
012	LB	2/7/83	3/4/83	3/4/83		7/12/83	9/30/83	1.15-1	Power Supplies	
013	RPS	2/8/83	3/4/83		7/12/83			1.5-1	Syst. Align./Switchover	

* Change in Status During Reporting Period

OCR No.	Resp. LTR	Potential Open Item	Open Item	Confirmed Item	Resolved Item/ Observation	Finding Report	Finding Resolution Report	Topic		Comments
014	RPS	2/8/83	3/4/83		7/12/83			1.5-1	Syst. Align./Switchover	
015	JAM	2/10/83	3/4/83	10/11/83				111.1-1	Seismic Design/Input to Equipment	
016	JAM	2/10/83	3/4/83		2/13/84			111.5-1	Clvil/Str. Design Consid.	
017	FAD	2/17/83	3/4/83	3/4/83	10/5/83			1.11-1	Heat Removal Cap	
018	FAD	2/17/83	3/4/83	3/4/83		11/11/83	11/11/83	1.10-1 1.11-1	Hydraulic Design Heat Removal Cap.	
019	LB	2/21/83	3/4/83		8/8/83			1.18-1	Instrumentation	
020	FAD	2/24/83	3/4/83	3/4/83	11/11/83			1.11-1	Heat Removal Cap.	B-080 Related
								1.9-1	Comp. Func. Req.	
021	FAD	2/24/83	3/4/83					11.10-1	Eq. Qual.	0-21, Rev. 1,
022	LB	2/24/83	3/4/83	8/8/83				1.19-1	Control Syst.	4/14/83
023	LB	2/28/83	3/4/83		8/8/83			1.18-1	Instrumentation	
								1.19-1	Control	
024	RPS	3/1/83	3/4/83		2/13/84			1.2-1	Acc. Anal. Consid.	

-

...

1

OCR No.	Resp. LTR	Potential Open Item	Open Item	Confirmed <u>Item</u>	Resolved Item/ Observation	Finding Report	Finding Resolution <u>Report</u>	Topic		Comments
025	RPS	3/1/83	3/4/83	3/4/83	2/13/84			1.2-1	Acc. Anal. Consid.	
026	FAD	3/1/83	3/4/83	11/11/83				1.8-1	Overpress. Prot.	
027	FAD	3/1/83	3/4/83	3/4/83	11/11/83			1.9-1	Comp. Func. Req.	
								11.9-1	Env. Eng.	
028	FAD	3/2/83	3/4/83	4/14/83	11/11/83			1.9-1	Comp. Func. Reg.	
029	LB	2/22/83	3/4/83		3/4/83			1.18-1	Instrumentation	
								1.19-1	Control System	
030	LB	1/19/83	3/4/83		3/4/83			1.19-1	Control System	
031	DBT	2/11/83	3/4/83	3/4/83		8/30/83		1.3-lc	Pipe Supports	C-31, Rev. 1, 7/12/83
032	DBT	2/11/83	3/4/83	3/4/83		7/12/83	7/12/83	1.3-lc	Pipe Supports	C-32, Rev. 1, 7/12/83
033	DBT	2/11/83	3/4/83	3/4/83		7/12/83	7/12/83	1.3-lc	Pipe Supports	C-33, Rev. 1, 7/12/83
034	DBT	2/11/83	3/4/83	3/4/83		7/12/83	7/12/83	1.3-lc	Pipe Supports	C-34, Rev. 1, 7/12/83
035	DBT	2/11/83	3/4/83	3/4/83		7/12/83	7/12/83	1.3-lc	Pipe Supports	C-35, Rev. 2, 7/12/83
036	JAM	2/11/83	3/4/83	3/4/83		7/12/83		11.2-1	Pressure Boundary	C-36, Rev. 2, 7/12/83

....

OCR No.	Resp. LTR	Potential Open Item	Open Item	Confirmed Item	Resolved Item/ Observation	Finding Report	Finding Resolution <u>Report</u>	Topic		Comments
037	JAM	1/20/83	3/4/83	3/4/83	8/30/83			111.1-1	Selsmic Design/Input to Equipment	
038	FAD	3/1/83	3/4/83	3/4/83				9.1	Component Functional Requirements	
039	LB	3/30/83	4/14/83	8/30/83	2/13/84			11.10-1	Env. Eq. Qual.	•
040	LB	3/8/83	4/14/83	9/30/83	2/13/84			1.16-1	Elec. Characteristics	•
041	LB	3/25/83	4/14/83		9/30/83			1.15-1	Power Supplies	
042	LB	3/31/83	4/14/83		9/30/83			1.10-1	Env. Eq. Qual.	
043	FAD	3/15/83	4/14/83	10/6/83		12/2/83		1.10-1	System Hydraulic Design	10"-2HBD-605
044	FAD	3/15/83	4/14/83		10/6/83			11.10-1	Env. Eq. Qual.	Resolved as Observation
045	DBT .	3/17/83	4/14/83	5/25/83		8/8/83	11/11/83	II.1-IC	Electrical Equipment/ Storoge & Maintenance	Gr.45, Rev. 1, 7/12/83
046	DBT	3/17/83	4/14/83	5/25/83		8/8/83	11/11/83	I.I-IC	Mechanicai Equipment/ Storage & Maintenance	
047	DBT	7/7/83	7/26/83	8/8/83		8/30/83		1.1-IC	Mechanical Equipment/ Storage & Maintenance	C-47, Rev. 1, 8/30/83

. .

OCR No.	Resp. LTR	Potential Open Item	Open Item	Confirmed Item	Resolved Item/ Observation	Finding Report	Finding Resolution <u>Report</u>	Topic		Comments
048	FAD	7/29/83	7/29/83	8/8/83				11.10-1	Environmental Equipment Qualification	
049	RC	8/28/83	8/29/83	8/29/83		11/11/83		11.4-1c	Cable	
050	RC	8/28/83	8/29/83	8/29/83		11/11/83		11.4-1c	Cable	
051	MAL	8/12/83	8/30/83		8/30/83			111.1-1	Selsmic Design/Input to Equipment	
052	OBT	9,'30/83	9/30/83	9/30/83		12/1/83		All ICV	Topics for AFW	Supplier Doc
053	FEP	9/27/83	9/29/83	9/29/83		12/1/83		All ICV	Topics for AFW	Const./Installation
054	FEP	9/27/83	9/29/83	9/29/83		12/1/83		All ICV	Topics for AFW	Const./Installation - PQCIs
055	DBT .	9/19/83	9/29/83	11/11/83		12/1/83		All ICV	Topics	Const./Installation Documentation - WPs & PQRs
056	DBT	9/26/83	9/29/83	11/11/83		12/1/83		All ICV	Topics for AFW & SEP	Supplier/Doc Materials
057	DW	9/29/83	9/30/83		9/30/83			1.34-3	Pressurization	Resolved as Observation

.

.

1

OCR No.	Resp. LTR	Potential Open Item	Open Item	Confirmed Item	Resolved item/ Observation	Finding Report	Finding Resolution <u>Report</u>	Topic		Comments
058	DW	10/6/83	10/6/83					1.12-3	Cooling/Heating Requirements	
059	RPS	8/11/83			9/30/83			1.3-1	Single Failure Failure Modes & Effects	Resolved as
060	DW	9/29/83	9/30/83					1.1-3	System Operating Limits	observeriori
061	DW	9/29/83			9/30/83			1.18-3	Instrumentation	Resolved as Observation
062	FAD	9/30/83	9/30/83					1.9-1	Comp. Func. Reg.	
063	FAD	10/5/83			10/6/83			1.10-1	System Hydraulic Design	Resolved as Observation
064	FAD	10/5/83			10/6/83			1.10-1	System Hydraulic Design	Resolved as Observation
065	FAD	10/4/83	10/6/83					All IDV	Topics	
066	DW .	9/29/83	10/6/83	10/6/83	11/30/83			1.5-3 1.7-3	System A ignment/Switchover System Iso ation/Interlocks	v
067	DW	9/25/83	9/30/83		9/30/83			1.34-3	Pressurization	Resolved as Observation
068	JAM	9/27/83	9/30/83	9/30/83				11.4-1	EQ/Seismic	

.

OCR No.	Resp. LTR	Potential Open Item	Open Item	Confirmed Item	Resolved Item/ Observation	Finding Report	Finding Resolution <u>Report</u>	Tople		Comments
069	JAM	9/27/83	9/20/83	12/14/83				11.4-1	EQ/Seismic	
070	JAM	9/27/83	9/30/83		12/14/83			1.4-1	EQ/Seismic	Consolidated with C-069
071	JAM	9/27/83	9/30/83	12/14/83				111.1-1	Selsmic Design/ Input to Equipment	
072	FAD	9/30/83	10/6/83					1.9-1	Comp. Func. Req.	
								11.2-1	Seismic Design – Pressure Boundary	
073	DW	9/29/83	10/6/83	10/6/83	11/11/93			1.12-3	Cooling/Heating Requirements	OCR-058 related
074	DW	9/29/83	10/6/83	10/6/83	2/13/83			1.1-3 1.2-3 1.15-3	System Operating Limits Acc. Anal. Consid. Power Supplies	•
075	DW .	9/29/83	10/6/83	10/6/83	1/6/84			1.1-3 1.2-3	System Operating Limits Acc. Anal. Consid.	٣
076	DW	9/29/83	10/6/83	10/6/83	1/6/84			1.12-3	Cooling/Heating Requirements	
077	JAM	9/27/83	10/6/83	10/6/83				11.4-1	EQ/Seismic	
078	FAD	9/30/83	10/6/83					1.9-1	Comp. Func. Req.	

.

OCR No.	Resp. LTR	Potential Open Item	Open Item	Confirmed Item	Resolved Item/ Observation	Finding Report	Finding Resolution Report	Topic		Comments
079	JAM	8/29/83	10/6/83					111.5-1	Civil/Structural Design Considerations	
								111.6-1	Foundations	
090	FAD	11/1/83			11/11/83			1.9-1	Comp. Func. Req.	Resolved as Observation
081	FAD	11/1/83	11/11/83	11/11/83	2/13/84			11.2-1 1.9-1	Pressure Boundary Comp. Func. Req.	*See also Observa- tions B-142 and B-143
082	DW	10/18/83	11/11/83					1.9-3	Comp. Func. Req.	Chemical Conc./ Dow Interface
083	DW	10/31/83	11/11/83					1.2-3	Acc. Anal. Consid.	
084	DW	10/31/83	11/11/83	11/11/83				1.2-3	Acc. Anal. Consid.	
085	DW .	10/31/83	11/11/63	11/11/83				All IDV	Topics	Noted issues iden- tified in CR-HVAC
086	FAD	10/13/83			11/11/83			11.12-1	Fire Protection	Revolved as Observation
087	FAD	10/13/83	11/11/83	11/11/83				11.12-1	Fire Protection	
088	FAD	10/13/83	11/11/83	11/11/83				11.12-1	Fire Protection	

....

OCR No.	Resp. LTR	Potential Open Item	Open Item	Confirmed Item	Resolved Item/ Observation	Finding Report	Finding Resolution Report	Topic		Comments
089	FAD	10/13/83	11/11/83	11/11/83				11.12-1	Fire Protection	C-089, Rev. 1
090	FAD	10/13/83			11/11/83			11.12-1	Fire Protection	Resolved as Observation
091	RSC	10/18/83	11/11/83	11/11/83		12/1/83		1.3-1C	Pipe Supports	Overinspection Prog.
092	RSC	10/18/83	11/11/83	11/11/83				1.3-1C	Pipe Supports	Overinspection Prog.
093	DET	11/10/83	11/21/83	11/28/83				IV.2-3C	Const. Doc. Review	HVAC Ducte
094	DBT	11/10/83	11/21/83	11/28/83				IV.2-3C	Physical Verif.	HVAC Ducts
095	DBT	11/10/83	11/21/83	11/28/83				IV.2-3C	Const. Doc. Review	HVAC Welding Doc
096	DBT .	11/10/83	11/11/83	11/28/83				IV.2-3C	Const. Doc. Review	HVAC Ducts
097	LDB	11/30/83	12/5/83	12/9/83				1.3-3 1.5-3	Single Failure System Alignment	
098	DMW	11/7/83	12/5/83					111.1-1	Seismic Design	RG 1.92
099	JAM	11/30/83	12/5/83	12/9/83				111.1-1	Seismic Design	Slab Rotation

. .

OCR No.	Resp. LTR	Potential Open Item	Open Item	Confirmed Item	Resolved Item/ Observation	Finding Report	Finding Resolution Report	Topic		Comments
100	JAM	12/9/83			12/9/83			111.1-1	Seismic Design	Resolved as Observations
101	JAM	11/30/83	12/5/83	12/9/83				111.1-1	Seismic Design	DQ-38(Q)
102	JAM	11/30/83	12/5/83	12/9/83				111.1-1	Seismic Design	Computer Input
103	JAM	11/30/83	12/5/83	12/9/83				111.1-1	Seismic Design	
104	JAM	11/30/83	12/5/83	12/9/83				111.1-1	Seismic Design	Moment of Inertia Calc
105	JAM	11/30/83	12/5/83	12/9/83				111.1-1	Seismic Design	Program CE-207
106	JAM	11/30/83	12/5/83	12/9/83				111.1-1	Seismic Design	Soil Structure Interaction
107	JAM	11/30/83	12/5/83	12/9/83				111.1-1	Seismic Design	Stick Model Assumptions
108	JAM :	11/30/83	12/5/83	12/5/83				111.1-1	Selsmic Design	'Sfick Model Input
109	LDB	12/1/83	12/6/83	12/14/83				1.19-2	DG Control	Fuel Lockout
110	LDB	12/1/83	12/6/83	12/14/83				1.24-2	DG Load Capacity	Load Tabulation
111	GES	12/2/83	12/6/83	12/14/83				1.24-2	DG Load Capacity	Undervoltage

.

0.9

8

OCR No.	Resp. LTR	Potential Open Item	Open Item	Confirmed Item	Resolved Item/ Observation	Finding Report	Finding Resolution <u>Report</u>	Topic		Comments
112	GES	12/9/83	12/14/83	12/14/83				1.30-2	DG Exhaust	
113	JAM	11/3/83	11/7/83	12/14/83				111.7-1	Conc/steel design	
114	JAM	11/3/83	11/7/83	12/14/83				111.7-1	Conc/steel design	
115	JAM	11/10/83	11/10/83	12/14/83				111.7-1	Conc/stee design	
116	JAM	11/10/83	11/10/83	12/14/83				111.7-1	Conc/steel design	
117	JAM	10/31/83	11/10/83	12/14/83				111.6-1	Foundations Conc/steel design	
118	JAM	10/31/83			12/14/83			111.6-1	Foundation	
119	JAM	10/5/83	11/14/83	12/14/83				11.4-1	Seismic Qua .	
120	MAL	10/26/83	11/14/83	12/14/83				11.4-1	Seismic Qual.	
121	JAM	10/26/83	11/14/83	12/14/83				11.4-1	Seismic Qual.	41
122	JAM	10/26/83	11/14/83	12/14/83				11.4-1	Seismic Quel.	
123	DBT	12/20/83	12/28/83					Various	ICV topics	
124	DBT	12/20/83	12/28/83					Various	ICV topics	
125	JAM	12/30/83	1/6/84	1/6/84				111.1-2	Seismic Design	Stick Model

2

111

OCR No.	Resp. LTR	Potential Open Item	Open Item	Confirmed Item	Resolved Item/ Observation	Finding Report	Finding Resolution <u>Report</u>	Topic		Comments
126	DMW	12/19/83			1/6/- 4			1.2-3	Acc. Anol. Consid.	Air Inleakage, Resolved as Observation
127	DMW	12/20/83			1/6/84			1.9.3	Comp. Func. Req.	Resolved as Observation
128	DMW	12/20/83			1/6/84			1.35-3	Ventilation	Resolved as Observation
129	DMW	12/30/83	1/6/84	1/6/84				1.9-3	Comp. Func. Req.	Damper Isolation Time
130	JAM	12/20/83	1/6/84	1/6/84				111.7-2	Conc/steel design	Source of Seismic Forces
131	JAM	12/20/83	1/6/84	1/6/84				111.6-2	Foundations Conc/steel design	Footing Strips
132	GES .	12/9/83	1/6/84	1/6/84				1.26-2	Electrical Load Shedding	Under-Voltage Setpoints
133	GES .	12/21/83	1/6/84	1/6/84				1.19-2	DG Control	Pneumatic Control
134	GES	12/29/83	1/6/84	1/6/84				1.7-2	Interlocks	Cross-unit Interface
135	GES	12/29/83	1/6/84	1/6/84				1.7-2	Interlocks	IEEE 308

. . . .

OCR No.	Resp. LTR	Potential Open Item	Open Item	Confirmed Item	Resolved item/ Observation	Finding Report	Finding Resolution Report	Topic		Comments
136	CES	12/29/83			1/6/84			1.7-2	Interlocks	Resolved as Observation
137	GES	12/29/83			1/6/84			1.7-2	Interlocks	Resolved as Observation
138	GES	12/29/83			1/6/84			1.7-2	Interlocks	Resolved as Observation
139	GES	12/9/83	1/6/84		2/13/84			1.25-2	DG Load Sequencing	 Resolved as Observation
140	GES	12/15/83	1/6/84					111.8-2	Oil Tanks	
141	GES	12/23/83	1/6/84		2/13/84			1.19-2	DG Controls	
142	FAD	1/16/84			2/13/84			All IDV	Topics	*Resolved as Observation, see also C-081
143	FAD	1/26/84			2/13/84			AFW Sy	stem	• Resolved as Observation, see also C-081
144	DW	1/18/84	2/13/84					11.2-1 11.3-1	Pressure Boundary Pipe Support	

.

...

OCR No.	Resp. LTR	Potential Oper. Item	Open Item	Confirmed Item	Resolved Item/ Observation	Finding Report	Finding Resolution <u>Report</u>	Topic		<u>Comments</u>
145	DW	1/25/84	1/25/84					1.19-3	Control Systems	
146	GES	1/20/84	2/13/84	2/13/84				1.19-2 1.29-2	DG Control Systems DG Starting Mechanism and Air Supply System	:
147	GES	1/20/84	2/13/84	2/13/84				1.20-2	DG Actuation Systems	
148	GES	2/7/84	2/13/84	2/13/84				1.12-2	Fire Protection	
149	GES	12/30/83	2/13/84	2/13/84				1.12-2	Fire Protection	
150	GES	12/30/83	2/13/84	2/13/84				11.12-2	Fire Protection	
151	GES	1/10/84			2/13/84			1.4-2	Technical Specs	*Resolved as Observation
152	FAD	1/17/84			2/13/84			1.2-1	Accident Analysis Considerations	*Resolved as Observation
153	LDB .	2/10/84	2/13/84	2/13/84				1.19-1	AFW Control Systems	
154	GES	2/10/84	2/13/84					11.12-2	Fire Protection	

. .

.

ATTACHMENT 5

5

di s

ŝ

.

CURRENT PERIOD CONFIRMED AND RESOLVED ITEM REPORTS, FINDING REPORTS, FINDING RESOLUTION REPORTS, AND OBSERVATIONS

.

.

OPE	INDEPENDENT DESIGN	AND CONSTRUCT ESOLVED (OCR)	ITEM REPORT
TYPE OF REPORT: OPEN			FILE NO. 3201-008 DOC NO. 3201-008 -R-025 REV. NO.
DATES REPORTED TO:	TR 1/27/84 SRT	14/84 CPC/DESIGN	AM/PROJECT MGR. 2/13/84
STRUCTURE(S), SYSTEM(S), OR COMPONENT(S) INVOLV	ED:	
DCV PROGRAM AREA OF	TASK (IF APPLICABLE):		
Accident Analysis (Considerations (1.2-1)		
DESCRIPTION OF CONCE	RN:		
See attached			
and the second se			a and the set of the s
SIGNIFICANCE OF CONCE	RN:		
SIGNIFICANCE OF CONCE Failure of operator	<pre>rn: to take action quick</pre>	ly could result	in total loss of AFW (takin
SIGNIFICANCE OF CONCE Failure of operator into account single	rto take action quick failure).	ly could result	in total loss of AFW (takin
SIGNIFICANCE OF CONCE Failure of operator into account single	rn: to take action quick failure).	ly could result	in total loss of AFW (takin
SIGNIFICANCE OF CONCE Failure of operator into account single	rn: to take action quick failure).	ly could result	in total loss of AFW (takin
SIGNIFICANCE OF CONCE Failure of operator into account single	rn: to take action quick failure).	ly could result	in total loss of AFW (takin
SIGNIFICANCE OF CONCE Failure of operator into account single RECOMMENDATION	to take action quick failure). OR RESOLUTION X	ly could result	in total loss of AFW (takin
SIGNIFICANCE OF CONCE Failure of operator into account single RECOMMENDATION Ref. 1 provides a c	The take action quick failure).	ly could result	in total loss of AFW (takin
SIGNIFICANCE OF CONCE Failure of operator into account single RECOMMENDATION Ref. 1 provides a cominutes to take compensator action will	The take action quick failure). OR RESOLUTION X alculation which demo rective action. This	ly could result	in total loss of AFW (takin he operator has over 30 e basis for assuming that steam generator
SIGNIFICANCE OF CONCE Failure of operator into account single RECOMMENDATION Ref. 1 provides a cominutes to take cor operator action will	The take action quick failure). OR RESOLUTION X alculation which demo rective action. This 1 prevent excessive o	ly could result 	in total loss of AFW (takin he operator has over 30 e basis for assuming that steam generator.
SIGNIFICANCE OF CONCE Failure of operator into account single RECOMMENDATION Ref. 1 provides a cominutes to take cor operator action will	The take action quick failure). OR RESOLUTION X alculation which demo rective action. This 1 prevent excessive o	ly could result 	in total loss of AFW (takin he operator has over 30 e basis for assuming that steam generator.
SIGNIFICANCE OF CONCE Failure of operator into account single RECOMMENDATION Ref. 1 provides a cominutes to take cor operator action will	The take action quick failure).	ly could result 	in total loss of AFW (takin he operator has over 30 e basis for assuming that steam generator.
SIGNIFICANCE OF CONCE Failure of operator into account single RECOMMENDATION Ref. 1 provides a cominutes to take cor operator action will COMMENTS BY SRT (IF RE	The take action quick failure). OR RESOLUTION X alculation which demo rective action. This 1 prevent excessive o	ly could result	in total loss of AFW (takin he operator has over 30 e basis for assuming that steam generator.
RECOMMENDATION Ref. 1 provides a cominutes to take comoperator action will	The take action quick failure). OR RESOLUTION X alculation which demo rective action. This 1 prevent excessive o	ly could result	in total loss of AFW (takin he operator has over 30 e basis for assuming that steam generator.
RECOMMENDATION Ref. 1 provides a cominutes to take comperator action will COMMENTS BY SRT (IF RE	The take action quick failure). OR RESOLUTION X alculation which demo rective action. This 1 prevent excessive o	ly could result	in total loss of AFW (takin he operator has over 30 e basis for assuming that steam generator.
RECOMMENDATION Ref. 1 provides a comperator action will COMMENTS BY SRT (IF RE REFERENCES (INCL. REL)	TED OCR ITEM REPORT NO.	ly could result	in total loss of AFW (takin he operator has over 30 e basis for assuming that steam generator.
SIGNIFICANCE OF CONCE Failure of operator into account single RECOMMENDATION Ref. 1 provides a component Ref. 1 provides a component references to take component COMMENTS BY SRT (IF RE REFERENCES (INCL. RELA 1. Memo Hamm (CPCo)	TED OCR ITEM REPORT NO. to Gibson (CPCo), 9/	ly could result nstrates that the is a reasonable verfill of the s 15/83, File B10.	in total loss of AFW (takin he operator has over 30 e basis for assuming that steam generator.
RECOMMENDATION Ref. 1 provides a comperator action will COMMENTS BY SRT (IF RE REFERENCES (INCL. RELA 1. Memo Hamm (CPCo) SIGNATORE(S)	TED OCR ITEM REPORT NO. to Gibson (CPCo), 9/	ly could result is a reasonable verfill of the s 15/83, File B10.	in total loss of AFW (takin he operator has over 30 e basis for assuming that steam generator.
RECOMMENDATION Ref. 1 provides a cominutes to take comperator action will COMMENTS BY SRT (IF RE REFERENCES (INCL. RELA 1. Memo Hamm (CPCo) SIGNATORE(S) THE PORT	TED OCR ITEM REPORT NO. to Gibson (CPCo), 9/	ly could result	in total loss of AFW (takin he operator has over 30 e basis for assuming that steam generator. .4.9, Serial 25654
RECOMMENDATION Ref. 1 provides a cominutes to take corroperator action will COMMENTS BY SRT (IF RE REFERENCES (INCL. REL 1. Memo Hamm (CPCo) SIGNATORE(S) THE MEPORT ORIGINATOR 1/27/94	TED OCR ITEM REPORT NO. to Gibson (CPCo), 9/	ly could result	in total loss of AFW (takin he operator has over 30 e basis for assuming that steam generator. 4.9, Serial 25654 JWB PRINCIPAL- N-CHARGE 2/14/84 SRT (IF REQUIRED)

ATTACHMENT TO 3201-008-R-025

Description of Concern: The "Feed Only Good Generator" system may perform in a detrimental manner under conditions of steam generator tube failure followed by loss of offsite power. Its design would force it to direct feed to the "bad" steam generator only because FOGG logic directs feed to the steam generator with the higher pressure based upon a delta pressure measurement between the two SGs. Without prompt operator action, the steam-driven pump could be flooded and rendered inoperable as a result of leaking primary coolant. The FSAR analysis assumes operator action (no time delay mentioned) to "invert" FOGG and send flow to good generator such that the SG tube rupture is recognized and mitigated in sufficient time. The basis for this assumption is not clear. With a single failure of the motor driven AFW pump, all AFW may be rendered inoperable.



MIDLAND INDEPENDENT DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION VERIFICATION OPEN, CONFIRMED AND RESOLVED (OCR) ITEM REPORT

OPEN, CONFIRMED	AND RESOLVED (OC	CR) ITEM REPOR	RT
TYPE OF REPORT: OPCN CONFI RESOLVED X IT	RMED	FILE NO. DOC NO. REV. NO.	3201-008 3201-008-R - 039
PATES REPORTED TO: LTR 1/19/84 S	RT PROJEC	T TEAM/PROJECT N	IGR. 2/13/84
STRUCTURE(S), SYSTEM(S), OR COMPONENT(Instrumentation Cable B28-C, 105 (E-60A) S09-C, S10	5) INVOLVED: -C, 115-C, 116-C, 1 -C	17-C, 118-C, 12	≥ 26-C,
IDCV PROGRAM AREA OR TASK (IF APPLICAL AFW Review - Equipment Qualificat	BLE): ion Topic II.10-1		
DESCRIPTION OF CONCERN: The equip E-60A is shown as being applica E-60A provides data for a twisted to twisted shielded pairs, trip basis for this application is IEE are shielded pairs, triple or no clear justification in the re ded pair test data to these other	ment qualification ble to the above can shielded pair test le or quad from mu E-383-1974, Table 1 quad from multi-co port E-60A for the cable types.	test data pr ables as well sample which i lti-conductor . None of the nductor signal application of	resented in report as others. Report is normally applied signal cable. The above cable types cable. There is the twisted shiel-
SIGNIFICANCE OF CONCERN: The cable types listed above may data may more appropriately apply	not be adequately to these cable typ	qualified or o	other qualification
RECOMMENDATION OR RESOLUTION	ON:		
Bechtel in letter No. 131328 dat or aging, DBE testing and post-L in question. Later, in letter MSLB analysis results to #20 AW analysis) and also justified the ded cable. These responses hav qualification of the above cable	ed 10/12/83 satisfa SE operability test No. 136792, Becht G cable (#16 AW. ca e application of s e adequately resolv types.	ctorily justifi t data to all el justified t able was used a ubmergence test ed TERA's conc	ied the application of the cable types the application of as a basis for the t data to unshiel- erns regarding the
COMMENTS BY SRT (IF REQUIRED):			
REFERENCES (INCL. RELATED OCR ITEM RE	PORT NO.):		
SIGNATURE(S):			
LDB LDB OCR ITEM REPORT LTR	HAL PROJECT MANAGER FOR PROJECT TEAM	JWB PRINCIPAL- IN CHARGE	SRT (IF REQUIRED)
	 	 	DATE

MIDLAND INDEPENDENT DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION VERIFICATION OPEN, CONFIRMED AND RESOLVED (OCR) ITEM REPORT

-

4

-

TYPE OF REPORT: OPEN	CONFIRMED	- Fil DC RE	LE NO. <u>3201-008</u> DC NO. <u>3201-008-R - 040</u> EV. NC.
DATES REPORTED TO:	TR 1/19/84 SRT 2/14/84	PROJECT TEAM/PRO	JECT MGR. 2/13/84
STRUCTURE(S), SYSTEM(S), OR COMPONENT(S) INVOLVED		
AFW Cable 2AB5526A			•
IDCV PROGRAM AREA OF AFW Electrical Char	R TASK (IF APPLICABLE): racteristics 1.16-1		
DESCRIPTION OF CONCE valve 2MO-3970A) u (408 ft) that is 1 Circuit Schedule d The calculation of current in the 3/C to be satisfactory	RN: A check of the maximum sing the procedure of QPE- ess than the stated actual ated September 1983. The maximum cable length was #14 AWG cable. Several o	cable length for 8 Rev. 2 resulted installed length cable 2AB5526A ap performed using 5 ther cable length	cable 2AB5526A (power to in a maximum length (435 ft) shown on the pears to be undersized. .8 amps (Ref.1) for max. s were checked and found
	2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	a nined man ltime	in anachasting and
degraded performan schedule did not i cables which are u	dentify the undersized cab ndersized.	y sized resulting check of cable l le. There may be	in overheating and engths in the circuit other safety related
degraded performan schedule did not i cables which are u	OP RESOLUTION	y sized resulting check of cable 1 le. There may be	in overheating and engths in the circuit other safety related
RECOMMENDATION Tera agrees with t the specific MOV a length control pro was applied to sch schedule cable len circuit to functio available under fu 1-26-1983.) The cab	OR RESOLUTION X: Concerning the minimum voltage OR RESOLUTION X: OR RESOLUTION X: Concerning the minimum voltage On was determined to be 400 on was determined to be 400 on load running conditions on le lengths were satisfacto	y sized resulting check of cable 1 le. There may be calculation QPE- further review t "Motor Starter Co 57 (Q) sheet 1 Re required at the N volts. The appro- was 426 voits (f ory. This OCR is	8 is conservative for the cable routing and ontrol Circuit Sizing" ev. 6. Using the circuit ACC for the control opriate MCC voltage from VOLTANAL RUN dated therefore resolved.
RECOMMENDATION Tera agrees with t the specific MOV a length control pro was applied to sch schedule cable len circuit to functio available under fu 1-26-1983.) The cab	OR RESOLUTION X : OR RESOLUTION X : COR RESOLUTION X : COR RESOLUTION OF A second s	y sized resulting check of cable 1 le. There may be calculation QPE- o further review t "Motor Starter Co 57 (Q) sheet 1 Re required at the N o volts. The appro- was 426 volts (for y. This OCR is	engths in the circuit other safety related 8 is conservative for the cable routing and ontrol Circuit Sizing" ev. 6. Using the circuit MCC for the control opriate MCC voltage from VOLTANAL RUN dated therefore resolved.
RECOMMENDATION Tera agrees with t the specific MOV a length control pro was applied to sch schedule cable len circuit to functio available under fu 1-26-1983.) The cab	OR RESOLUTION X: OR RESOLUTION X: OR RESOLUTION X: Constrained to be 400 on was determined to be 400 on was determined to be 400 on load running conditions on le lengths were satisfactor REQUIRED):	y sized resulting check of cable 1 le. There may be calculation QPE- o further review t "Motor Starter Co 57 (Q) sheet 1 Re required at the N 0 volts. The appro was 426 volts (f ory. This OCR is	8 is conservative for the cable routing and ontrol Circuit Sizing" ev. 6. Using the circuit MCC for the control opriate MCC voltage from VOLTANAL RUN dated therefore resolved.
RECOMMENDATION Tera agrees with t the specific MOV a length control pro was applied to sch schedule cable len circuit to function available under fur 1-26-1983.) The cab COMMENTS BY SRT (IF F	OR RESOLUTION X : OR RESOLUTION X : DOR RESOLUTION X : The Bechtel assessment that application cited here. To cess, calculation QPE-17, neme OAB4509 on diagram E-4 ngths, the minimum voltage on was determined to be 400 all load running conditions ole lengths were satisfacto REQUIRED): LATED OCR ITEM REPORT NO.): 1 21 21 31	y sized resulting check of cable 1 le. There may be calculation QPE- further review t "Motor Starter Co 57 (Q) sheet 1 Re required at the N) volts. The appro- was 426 volts (f bry. This OCR is) Dwg. E-18 (Q) Sh) Dwg. E-18 (Q) Sh) Dwg E-37, Circui) 600V Cable Ampac	 a in overheating and engths in the circuit e other safety related 8 is conservative for the cable routing and ontrol Circuit Sizing" ev. 6. Using the circuit ACC for the control opriate MCC voltage from VOLTANAL RUN dated therefore resolved.
RECOMMENDATION Tera agrees with t the specific MOV a length control pro was applied to sch schedule cable len circuit to function available under fur 1-26-1983.) The cab COMMENTS BY SRT (IF F	OR RESOLUTION X : OR RESOLUTION X : DOR RESOLUTION X : The Bechtel assessment that application cited here. To cess, calculation QPE-17, here OAB4509 on diagram E-4 highs, the minimum voltage on was determined to be 400 all load running conditions ble lengths were satisfactor REQUIRED): LATED OCR ITEM REPORT NO.): 1 2 3	y sized resulting check of cable 1 le. There may be calculation QPE- further review t "Motor Starter Co 57 (Q) sheet 1 Re required at the N volts. The appro- was 426 volts (f bry. This OCR is) Dwg. E-18 (Q) Sh Dwg E-37, Circui) 600V Cable Ampac	A in overheating and engths in the circuit is other safety related 8 is conservative for the cable routing and ontrol Circuit Sizing" ev. 6. Using the circuit ACC for the control opriate MCC voltage from VOLTANAL RUN dated therefore resolved. h.9, Rev. 14 it Schedule Re. 67 city, Sizing: OPE-8, Re
RECOMMENDATION Tera agrees with t the specific MOV a length control pro was applied to sch schedule cable len circuit to function available under fur 1-26-1983.) The cable COMMENTS BY SRT (IF FR REFERENCES (INCL. REAL SIGNATURE(S): DB OCR ITEM REPORT	OR RESOLUTION X :	y sized resulting check of cable 1 le. There may be calculation QPE- further review t "Motor Starter Co 57 (Q) sheet 1 Re required at the N volts. The appro- was 426 volts (f bry. This OCR is) Dwg. E-18 (Q) Sh Dwg E-37, Circui) 600V Cable Ampace 	 a in overheating and engths in the circuit other safety related 8 is conservative for the cable routing and ontrol Circuit Sizing" ev. 6. Using the circuit ACC for the control opriate MCC voltage from VOLTANAL RUN dated therefore resolved.
RECOMMENDATION Tera agrees with t the specific MOV a length control pro was applied to sch schedule cable len circuit to function available under fur 1-26-1983.) The cab COMMENTS BY SRT (IF F REFERENCES (INCL. REA SIGNATURE(S): 	CAN: Cable may be improperly the over life. The Bechtel dentify the undersized cab undersized. OR RESOLUTION X_:: A set of the bechtel assessment that application cited here. To be bechtel assessment that application cited here. To be becked here on the determined to be 400 and the minimum voltage on was determined to be 400 and the set of the becked by the minimum voltage on was determined to be 400 and the set of the becked by the minimum voltage on was determined to be 400 and the set of the becked by the minimum voltage on was determined to be 400 and the set of the becked by the set of the becked by the set of the becked by the set of the set of the set of the becked by the becked by the becked by the set of the becke	y sized resulting check of cable 1 le. There may be calculation QPE- further review t "Motor Starter Co 57 (Q) sheet 1 Re required at the N volts. The appro- was 426 volts (f bry. This OCR is by Dwg. E-18 (Q) Sh Dwg E-37, Circui 600V Cable Ampace JWB ANAGER PRINCING T TEAM IN-CHA 3/84 2/14/	A in overheating and engths in the circuit other safety related 8 is conservative for the cable routing and ontrol Circuit Sizing" ev. 6. Using the circuit ACC for the control opriate MCC voltage from VOLTANAL RUN dated therefore resolved. A.9, Rev. 14 it Schedule Re. 67 city, Sizing: QPE-8, Re PAL- SK (UF XEQUIRED) RGE

	OPEN CONFIR	RMED	FILE NO. 3201-008 DOC NO. 3201-008 - R -07	1
DATES REPORTED	TO: LTR 2/13/84	RT PROJE	CT TEAM/PROJECT MOR 2/13/84	
	TENEL OF CONDOLENTI	100 2714704 CICID		
Control Room H	VAC System - Applic	cable to all mechan	ical and electrical aspects	
DEV PROGRAM AR	EA OR TASK (F APPLICA	BLE):		
Topic Numbers	I.1-3, I.2-3, and 1	1.15-3		
DESCRIPTION OF C	ONCERNE			
The specific of blackout are r whether a temp	riteria for control ot identified. The erature limit exist	l room environmenta system's function ts.	l conditions during a statio al requirements may depend u	n pon
SIGNIFICANCE OF	CONCERN:			
RECOMMENDATIO	NOR RESOLUTI	10N X	for determining on upp	
RECOMMENDATION The referent bound tempe calculations firmed that letter with is the subj of this OCR a calculati	N CR RESOLUT ced letter ident rature during bl FM-4321-46 (2). the calculation one exception: ect of OCR 3201- . It is conclud on and that the	ION X_: ified the bases ackout conditio These bases we addressed the the heat loads. 008-0-058, and led that the Bec methods for add	for determining an upper ns which are documented re reviewed and it was of issue as described in the Confirmation of heat 1 need not be addressed as htel response is support ressing station blackout	er in con- he loads s part ted by t are
RECOMMENDATION The referent bound temper calculation firmed that letter with is the subj of this OCR a calculati	CR RESOLUTION ced letter ident rature during bl FM-4321-46 (Q). the calculation one exception: ect of OCR 3201- . It is conclud on and that the T (F REQUIRED):	ION X : ified the bases ackout conditio These bases we addressed the the heat loads. 008-0-058, and led that the Bec methods for add	for determining an upper ns which are documented re reviewed and it was of issue as described in the Confirmation of heat 1 need not be addressed as htel response is support ressing station blackout adequate.	er in con- loads s part ted by t are
RECOMMENDATIC The referen bound tempe calculation firmed that letter with is the subj of this OCR a calculati COMMENTS BY SP REFERENCES IN 1. Bechtel 1 2. OCR 3201	CR RESOLUTION ced letter idention rature during bl FM-4321-46 (Q). the calculation one exception: ect of OCR 3201- . It is conclude on and that the T (F REOURED): CL RELATED OCR ITEM RU etter BLC-18457 to 008-0-058	ION X_: ified the bases ackout conditio These bases we h addressed the the heat loads. 008-0-058, and led that the Bec methods for add EPORT NO.: Consumers Power, d	for determining an uppens which are documented re reviewed and it was of issue as described in the Confirmation of heat 1 need not be addressed as htel response is support ressing station blackout adequate.	er in con- loads s part ted by t are
RECOMMENDATIC The referen bound tempe calculation firmed that letter with is the subj of this OCR a calculati COMMENTS BY SP REFERENCES IN 1. Bechtel 1 2. OCR 3201- SIGNATURE(5):	CR RESOLUTION ced letter idention rature during bl FM-4321-46 (2). the calculation one exception: ect of OCR 3201- . It is conclude on and that the T (F REQUIRED): CL RELATED OCR ITEM RU etter BLC-18457 to 008-0-058	ION X_: ified the bases ackout conditio These bases we h addressed the the heat loads. 008-0-058, and led that the Bec methods for add EPORT NO.h Consumers Power, d	for determining an uppens which are documented re reviewed and it was of issue as described in the Confirmation of heat 1 need not be addressed as htel response is support ressing station blackout adequate.	er in con- loads part ted by t are
REFERENCESSING NEFERENCESSING 1. Bechtel 1 2. OCR 3201- SIGNATURE(5): 	CR RESOLUTION ced letter idention rature during bl FM-4321-46 (Q). the calculation one exception: ect of OCR 3201- . It is conclude on and that the T (IF REOURED): CL RELATED OCR ITEM RU etter BLC-18457 to 008-0-058	ION X_: ified the bases ackout conditio These bases we h addressed the the heat loads. 008-0-058, and led that the Bec methods for add EPORT NO.h Consumers Power, d HAL PROJECT MANAGER	for determining an uppens which are documented re reviewed and it was of issue as described in the Confirmation of heat 1 need not be addressed as htel response is support ressing station blackout adequate.	er in con- loads part ted by t are

MIDLAND		ESIGN AND CONSTR	RUCTION VERIFI	CATION
TYPE OF REPORT: OPE			FILE NO. DOC NO. REV. NO.	3201-008 3201-008-R-081
DATES REPORTED TO:	LTR 1/25/84 SRI PRINCIPAL-IN-CHARC	2/14/84 CPC/DE	T TEAM/PROJECT M	GR. 2/13/84
STRUCTURE(S), SYSTEM	S), OR COMPONENT(S)	INVOLVED:		
Review of Calcula I.9-1 Component F	R TASK ((* APPLICABL tions unctional Require	E): ments II.2	2-1 Pressure Bou	undary
DESCRIPTION OF CONC	ERN:			
See attached				
SIGNIFICANCE OF CONC	ERN:		und in nining	analysis if the
analyst did not r	esolve these prob	lems.		
RECOMMENDATION	OR RESOLUTION	4 <u>X</u> s		
Calculation FM-41 confirmed item wa viously identifie no significant er documented in an o	17-28(Q), Rev. 2, s based. The cal d were corrected rors were found; observation.	replaces the Rev. culation review in in Rev. 2. Additi some minor discre	. O version on w ndicated that th ional sampling w pancies were no	which the original he concerns pre- was performed and oted and are
COMMENTS BY SRT (IF	REQUIRED):			
	4.4.4			
REFERENCES (INCL. RE OCR - 3201-008-B- Bechtel calculati	142; OCR 3201-008	REV. 2		
SIGNATURE(S)	- tan		THE	
OCR ITEM REPORT	LTR	PROJECT MANAGER	PRINCIPAL-	SRT (IF REQUIRED)

ATTACHMENT TO 3201-008-R-081

Description of Concern: Calculation FM-4117-28(Q) appears to contain errors which could affect subsequent analyses.

- A. Sheet 18 lists hanger nodes 985-786 as corresponding to section 2 shown on sheet 11; however, this combination of nodes does not appear on sheet 23.
- B. Sheet 23 refers in two places to node 936, which appears neither on sheets 11-12 nor on sheets 18-22.
- C. Nodes 415-401 are listed on sheet 18 and on sheet 23. Sheet 18 states that these nodes are in section 1, but a comparison with sheets 11-12 shows that node 415 is in section 5 (see sheets 21 and 32). On sheet 23, nodes 415-401 are listed in mode 1 as 108⁰/85 psig, whereas on sheet 32 nodes 415-401 are listed in mode 1 as 295⁰/1875 psig.
- D. Node 401 appears on both sheets 11 and 12.



1

		AND RESULVED (UCH	TIEM REPORT	
TYPE OF REPORT: OPEN		MED	FILE NO. 3 DOC NO. 3 REV. NO.	201-008 201-008- B- 139
DATES REPORTED TO: LT	R 2/7/84 SF	PROJECT	TEAM/PROJECT MG	R 2/13/84
PR	INCIPAL-IN-CHAR	NVOLVED:		.
STRUC , URE(S), STSTEM(S),				
Standby Electri	c Power: Dei	sel Generator		
DCV PROGRAM AREA OR	TASK (IF APPLICAB	LE):		
Topic 1.25-2:	DG Load Seque	ncing		
DESCRIPTION OF CONCER	N:			
The LOP and ECC margin is defin	AS load seque	nces operate in incr	ements of 5 sec	conds. No
SIGNIFICANCE OF CONCEP	ŧN:			
TOP/ETTAS load	Portioncor acc	war and voreatabil	itu in not dafi	nod Detential
LOP/ECCAS load for over lappin implies an accu 3.5 seconds as margin may not	sequencer acc ng loads exist aracy requirem the accelerat exist elsewhe	uracy and repeatabil: s. ESFAS Material R ent of \pm 0.1 seconds ion time. Therefore re.	ity is not defi equisition Sect . AFW motor da , margin is ava	ned. Potential tion 5.m(16) pg.1 ata illustrates milable for pump;
LOP/ECCAS load for over lappin implies an accu 3.5 seconds as margin may not RECOMMENDATION	sequencer acc ing loads exist iracy requirem the accelerat exist elsewher OR RESOLUTI	uracy and repeatabil: s. ESFAS Material R ent of <u>+</u> 0.1 seconds ion time. Therefore re.	ity is not defi equisition Sect . AFW motor da , margin is ava	ned. Potential tion 5.m(16) pg.1 ata illustrates milable for pump;
LOP/ECCAS load for over lappin implies an accu 3.5 seconds as margin may not RECOMMENDATION This item is re	sequencer acc og loads exist iracy requirem the accelerat exist elsewhe OR RESOLUTI esolved by class	uracy and repeatabil: s. ESFAS Material Re ent of <u>+</u> 0.1 seconds ion time. Therefore re. ON <u>X</u> : ssification as an obs	ity is not defi equisition Sect . AFW motor da , margin is ava	ned. Potential tion 5.M(16) pg.1 ata illustrates milable for pump;
LOP/ECCAS load for over lappin implies an accu 3.5 seconds as margin may not RECOMMENDATION This item is re	sequencer acc ig loads exist iracy requirem the accelerat exist elsewhen OR RESOLUTI esolved by classical	uracy and repeatabil: s. ESFAS Material Re ent of <u>+</u> 0.1 seconds ion time. Therefore re. ON <u>X</u> : ssification as an obs	ity is not defi equisition Sect . AFW motor da , margin is ava	ned. Potential tion 5.M(16) pg.1 ata illustrates milable for pump;
LOP/ECCAS load for over lappir implies an accu 3.5 seconds as margin may not RECOMMENDATION This item is re COMMENTS BY SRT (IF RE	sequencer acc ig loads exist iracy requirem the accelerat: exist elsewher or RESOLUTI solved by classical GUIRED):	uracy and repeatabil: s. ESFAS Material Re ent of <u>+</u> 0.1 seconds ion time. Therefore re. ON <u>X</u> : ssification as an obs	ity is not defi equisition Sect . AFW motor da , margin is ava	ned. Potential tion 5.m(16) pg.1 ata illustrates milable for pump; cess per PQAP.
LOP/ECCAS load for over lappir implies an accu 3.5 seconds as margin may not RECOMMENDATION This item is re COMMENTS BY SRT (F RE	sequencer acc ig loads exist iracy requirem the accelerat exist elsewher OR RESOLUTI resolved by class GUIRED):	uracy and repeatabil: s. ESFAS Material Re ent of <u>+</u> 0.1 seconds ion time. Therefore re. ON <u>X</u> : ssification as an obs	ity is not defi equisition Sect . AFW motor da , margin is ava	ned. Potential tion 5.m(16) pg.1 ata illustrates milable for pump;
LOP/ECCAS load for over lappir implies an accu 3.5 seconds as margin may not RECOMMENDATION This item is re COMMENTS BY SRT (IF RE	sequencer acc ig loads exist: iracy requirem the accelerat: exist elsewher OR RESOLUTI resolved by classical GUIRED):	uracy and repeatabil: s. ESFAS Material Re ent of <u>+</u> 0.1 seconds ion time. Therefore re. ON <u>X</u> : ssification as an obs	ity is not defi equisition Sect . AFW motor da , margin is ava	ned. Potential tion 5.m(16) pg.1 ata illustrates milable for pump; cess per PQAP.
LOP/ECCAS load for over lappir implies an accu 3.5 seconds as margin may not RECOMMENDATION This item is re COMMENTS BY SRT (IF RE	sequencer acc ing loads exists inacy requirem the accelerat: exist elsewher OR RESOLUTI esolved by class GUIRED :	uracy and repeatabil: s. ESFAS Material Re ent of <u>+</u> 0.1 seconds ion time. Therefore re. ON <u>X</u> : ssification as an obs	ity is not defi equisition Sect . AFW motor da , margin is ava	ned. Potential tion 5.M(16) pg.1 ata illustrates milable for pump; cess per PQAP.
LOP/ECCAS load for over lappin implies an accu 3.5 seconds as margin may not RECOMMENDATION This item is re COMMENTS BY SRT (IF RE REFERENCES (INCL. REL FSAR, PH 8.3-2	sequencer acc ing loads exists inacy requirem the accelerat: exist elsewher OR RESOLUTI esolved by class COURED): ATED OCR ITEM RE 1, Rev 39; J-2	uracy and repeatabil: s. ESFAS Material Re ent of <u>+</u> 0.1 seconds ion time. Therefore re. ON <u>X</u> : ssification as an obs EPORT NO.): 207, Attachment B, Se	ity is not defi equisition Sect . AFW motor da , margin is ava servation. Pro	ned. Potential ion 5.M(16) pg.1 ata illustrates milable for pump; cess per PQAP.
LOP/ECCAS load for over lappir implies an accu 3.5 seconds as margin may not RECOMMENDATION This item is re COMMENTS BY SRT (IF RE REFERENCES (INCL. REL FSAR, PH 8.3-2 SIGNATURE(S):	Sequencer acc ing loads exists inacy requirem the accelerat: exist elsewher OR RESOLUTH colved by class COURED): ATED OCR ITEM RE 1, Rev 39; J-2	uracy and repeatabil: s. ESFAS Material Re ent of <u>+</u> 0.1 seconds ion time. Therefore re. ON <u>X</u> : ssification as an obs ssification as an obs 207, Attachment B, Se	ity is not defi equisition Sect . AFW motor da , margin is ava servation. Pro	ned. Potential tion 5.M(16) pg.1 ata illustrates milable for pump; cess per PQAP.
LOP/ECCAS load for over lappir implies an accu 3.5 seconds as margin may not RECOMMENDATION This item is re COMMENTS BY SRT (IF RE REFERENCES (INCL. REL FSAR, PH 8.3-2 SIGNATURE(S): GR OCR ITEM REPORT ORIGINATOR	Sequencer acc ag loads exists aracy requirem the accelerat: exist elsewher OR RESOLUTH solved by class GUIRED): ATED OCR ITEM RE 1, Rev 39; J-2 GES LTR	URACY AND REPEATABIL: S. ESFAS Material Re- ent of ± 0.1 seconds ion time. Therefore re. ONX: ssification as an obs EPORT NO.): 207, Attachment B, Se <u>HAL</u> PROJECT MANAGER FOR PROJECT TEAM	AFW motor da , margin is ava servation. Pro	ned. Potential tion 5.M(10) pg.1 ata illustrates milable for pump; cess per PQAP. cess per PQAP.

-

-

.

-

.

5

	U-Di, CU				
TYPE OF REPORT:			ED X	FILE NO. DOC NO. REV. NO	<u>3201-008</u> <u>3201-008-C-141</u>
DATES REPORTED T	PRINCIPA	22/83 SRT	2/14/84 CPC/	ECT TEAM/PROJECT	MGR. 2/13/84
TRUCTURE(S), SYST	EM(S), OR COM	PONENT(S)	WOLVED:		
Standby Elec	tric Power	Diesel	Generator		•
DCV PROGRAM ARE	A OR TASK (IF	APPLICALLE	D:		
Topic 1.19-2	; DG Contro	ol System	(Pneumatic)		
DESCRIPTION OF CO	NCERN:				
air quality :	requirement	ts for the	DG pneumatic c	ontrol system.	
IGNIFICANCE OF CO	DNCERN:				
" where the second					
					a tom the term
Poor air qua	lity may ca	ause pneum	atic control sy	stem malfunction	ns leading to
poor air qua a DG breaker	lity may ca trip & lo	ause pneum ckout or a	atic control sy n engine shutdo	stem malfunction wn.	ns leading to
poor air qua a DG breaker	lity may ca trip & lo	ause pneum ckout or a	atic control sy n engine shutdo	stem malfunction wn.	ns leading to
poor air qua a DG breaker	lity may ca trip & loo	ause pneum ckout or a	atic control sy n engine shutdo	stem malfunction wn.	ns leading to
poor air qua a DG breaker	lity may ca trip & lo	ause pneum ckout or a	atic control sy n engine shutdo	stem malfunction wn.	ns leading to
POOT air qua a DG breaker	lity may ca trip & loo	ause pneum ckout or a RESOLUTION	atic control sy n engine shutdo	stem malfunction	ns leading to
POOT air qua a DG breaker	lity may ca trip & loo OR	RESOLUTION	atic control sy n engine shutdo	stem malfunction	ns leading to
Poor air qua a DG breaker RECOMMENDATION Process per	lity may ca trip & loo OR OR	RESOLUTION	atic control sy n engine shutdo	stem malfunction	ns leading to
POOT air qua a DG breaker RECOMMENDATION Process per	lity may ca trip & loo OR OR	RESOLUTION	atic control sy n engine shutdo	stem malfunction	ns leading to
Poor air qua a DG breaker RECOMMENDATION Process per	lity may ca trip & loo OR OR	RESOLUTION	atic control sy n engine shutdo	stem malfunction	ns leading to
poor air qua a DG breaker RECOMMENDATION Process per	lity may ca trip & loo OR OR	ause pneum ckout or a	atic control sy n engine shutdo	stem malfunction	ns leading to
Poor air qua a DG breaker RECOMMENDATION Process per	lity may ca trip & loo OR OR	ause pneum ckout or a	atic control sy n engine shutdo	stem malfunction	ns leading to
POOT air qua a DG breaker RECOMMENDATION Process per	lity may ca trip & loo OR OR PQAP.	RESOLUTION	atic control sy n engine shutdo	stem malfunction	ns leading to
POOT air qua a DG breaker RECOMMENDATION Process per	lity may ca trip & loo OR OR PQAP.	RESOLUTION	atic control sy n engine shutdo	stem malfunction	ns leading to
POOT air qua a DG breaker RECOMMENDATION Process per	lity may ca trip & loo OR OR PQAP.	RESOLUTION	atic control sy n engine shutdo	stem malfunction	ns leading to
POOT air qua a DG breaker RECOMMENDATION Process per	lity may ca trip & loo OR OR PQAP.	RESOLUTION	atic control sy n engine shutdo	stem malfunction	ns leading to
POOT air qua a DG breaker RECOMMENDATION Process per	lity may ca trip & loo OR OR PQAP.	RESOLUTION	atic control sy n engine shutdo	stem malfunction	ns leading to
POOT air qua a DG breaker RECOMMENDATION Process per COMMENTS BY SRT	Lity may ca trip & loo X OR PQAP.	RESOLUTION	atic control sy n engine shutdo	stem malfunction	ns leading to
POOT AIT QUA A DG breaker RECOMMENDATION Process per COMMENTS BY SRT COMMENTS BY SRT REFERENCES (INCL. Vendor DWG.	Lity may ca trip & loo X OR PQAP. (IF REQUIRED) RELATED OC M18-22-12	RESOLUTION RESOLUTION R ITEM REPO OCR'S C-1	Atic control sy n engine shutdo	stem malfunction	ns leading to
POOT AIT QUA A DG breaker RECOMMENDATION Process per COMMENTS BY SRT COMMENTS BY SRT REFERENCES (INCL. Vendor DWG. SIGNATURE(S):	Lity may ca trip & loo X OR PQAP. (IF REQUIRED) RELATED OC M18-22-12	RESOLUTION RESOLUTION R ITEM REPOR	RT NO.): .33 and C-146.	stem malfunction	ns leading to
POOT AIT QUA A DG breaker RECOMMENDATION Process per COMMENTS BY SRT COMMENTS BY SRT REFERENCES (INCL Vendor DWG. SIGNATURE(S): GR	Lity may ca trip & loo X OR PQAP. (IF REQUIRED) RELATED OC M18-22-12	RESOLUTION RESOLUTION RESOLUTION CCR'S C-1 GES	RT NO.): .33 and C-146. HAL	JWB	SPT (IF REQUIRE
POOT AIT QUA A DG breaker RECOMMENDATION Process per COMMENTS BY SRT COMMENTS BY SRT REFERENCES (INCL. Vendor DWG. SIGNATURE(S): GR OCR ITEM REPORT ORIGINATOR	LT	RESOLUTION RESOLUTION RITEM REPOR OCR'S C-1	RT NO.): .33 and C-146. HAL PROJECT MANAGER FOR PROJECT TEAM	JWB PRINCIPAL- IN-CHARCE	s leading to
POOR AIR QUA A DG breaker RECOMMENDATION Process per COMMENTS BY SRT COMMENTS BY SRT	LT LT LT LT LT LT LT LT	RESOLUTION RESOLUTION RESOLUTION CCR'S C-1 GES R	RT NO.): .33 and C-146. HAL PROJECT MANAGER FOR PROJECT TEAM 2/13/84	JWB PRINCIPAL- IN-CHARCE 2/14/84	SRT (IF REQUIR

MIDLAND INDEPENDENT DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION VERIFICATION

1

-

OPEN, CONFIRMED AND RE	SOLVED (OCR) ITEM REPORT
TYPE OF REPORT: OPENCONFIRMED Observation RESOLVEDXITEM	FILE NO. 3201-008 DOC NO. 3201-008-B- 142 REV. NO.
DATES REPORTED TO: LTR 1/16/84 SRT PRINCIPAL-IN-CHARGE 2714	PROJECT TEAM/PROJECT MGR. 2/13/84 CPC/DESIGN ORG.
STRUCTURE(S), SYSTEM(S), OR COMPONENT(S) INVOLVED):
IDVP (general)	
IDCV PROGRAM AREA OR TASK (IF APPLICABLE):	
DESCRIPTION OF CONCERN: In performing the (especially drawings) are difficult to re space to provide information, and poor qu generic to the industry and Midland is fa is that users of these documents could ma information. One of the calculations rev according to project personnel, were due was compounded by the failure of the check within the calculation. SIGNIFICANCE OF CONCERN:	IDVP it was noted that some documents ad because of small lettering size, limited ality reproduction. These problems are r from unique in this regard. The concern ke errors as a result of misreading the riewed in the IDVP contained errors that, to this problem. In this case the error ker to notice internal inconsistencies
when the calculation was revised in the n possible that significant errors could ex	because the specific error was corrected ormal design revision process. It is ist due to this problem.
RECOMMENDATION OR RESOLUTION Classify this item as an Observation. Th the concern to the attention of CPC/Becht	because the specific error was corrected ormal design revision process. It is ist due to this problem. -: e purpose of this observation is to bring el.
RECOMMENDATION OR RESOLUTIONX Classify this item as an Observation. Th the concern to the attention of CPC/Becht	because the specific error was corrected ormal design revision process. It is ist due to this problem.
RECOMMENDATION OR RESOLUTIONX Classify this item as an Observation. Th the concern to the attention of CPC/Becht	because the specific error was corrected ormal design revision process. It is ist due to this problem.
RECOMMENDATIONOR RESOLUTIONX Classify this item as an Observation. Th the concern to the attention of CPC/Becht COMMENTS BY SRT (IF REQUIRED): REFERENCES (INCL. RELATED OCR ITEM REPORT NO.):	because the specific error was corrected ormal design revision process. It is ist due to this problem.
RECOMMENDATION OR RESOLUTION Classify this item as an Observation. Th the concern to the attention of CPC/Becht COMMENTS BY SRT (IF REQUIRED): REFERENCES (INCL. RELATED OCR ITEM REPORT NO.): SIGNATURE(S): EAD CRICENATOR FAD FAD PROJECT	because the specific error was corrected ormal design revision process. It is ist due to this problem. ist due to this problem. _: e purpose of this observation is to bring el. _: AL

MIDLAND INDEPENDENT DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION VERIFICATION OPEN, CONFIRMED AND RESOLVED (OCR) ITEM REPORT			
TYPE OF REPORT: OPEN CONFIRMED	FILE NO. 3201-008 DOC NO. 3201-006-8-143		
Observation RESOLVED X TTEM	REV. NO.		

DATES REPORTED TO: LTR 1/26/84 SRT	PROJECT TEAM/PROJECT MGR. 2/13/84 CPC/DESIGN ORG.
STRUCTURE(S), SYSTEM(S), OR COMPONENT(S) INVOLVED:	
AFW System	
IDCV PROGRAM AREA OR TASK (IF APPLICABLE):	
Review of Calculations (general)	
DESCRIPTION OF CONCERN: OCR 3201-008-C-085 noted a series of errors tion FM-4117-28(Q), Rev. 2, a few minor erro simple transcription errors and therefore th Observation. See attachment for list of err	in calculations. In reviewing calcula- rs were noted. They all appear to be is item should be considered as an ors.
SIGNIFICANCE OF CONCERN:	
The significance of the concern is more in t than in the error itself.	he apparent lack of adequate checking
RECOMMENDATION OR RESOLUTION X : This specific item is considered resolved by however, Bechtel should:	classification as an Observation;
(a) Make the appropriate corrections to the revised or when required by CPC procedure	calculation when the calculation is next es.
(b) Consider this item in formalizing a resp	onse to C-085.
COMMENTS BY SRT (IF REQUIRED):	
-*	
REFERENCES (INCL. RELATED OCR ITEM REPORT NO.):	
SIGNATUREISI	
CRITEM REPORT LTR PROJECT MA	NAGER PRINCIPAL- SRT (IF REQUIRED)
<u>1/25/84</u> <u>1/26/84</u> <u>2/13/8</u> DATE DATE DATE	4 2/14/84 DATE DATE

ATTACHMENT TO 3201-008-8-143

DISCREPANCIES NOTED IN CALCULATION FM-4117-28(Q), REV. 2

Calc Sheet No.	Referenced Bechtel Drawing	Hanger Nodes Listed on Calc Sheet	Hanger Nodes Listed on Calc Sheets 11-12	Calc Sheet	Listed Hanger Nodes
18	H633-sh3(Q)	165-550	165-550	23	160-550
21	H634-sh5(Q)	310-395	310-395	30	310-397
22	H634-sh7(Q)	605-588	605-588	32	605-589



			N TIEM REPOR	(1
TYPE OF REPORT: OP	ENCON	FIRMED X	FILE NO. DOC NO. REV. NO.	3201-008 3201-008-C-144
DATES REPORTED TO:	LTR 1/18/84 PRINCIPAL-IN-CH	SRT PROJEC	T TEAM/PROJECT N	GR.2/13/84
STRUCTURE(S), SYSTEM	S), OR COMPONEN	T(S) INVOLVED:		•
AFW Piping a	nd pipe suppor	ts		
DCV PROGRAM AREA C	R TASK (IF APPLIC	ABLE).		
Topic II.2-1	Pressure Bour	dary and IL 3-1 Pipe	Support	
DESCRIPTION OF CONC	ERN:			
See attached				
	C DNI		es cars e pa	
BUNIFICANCE OF CONC	-Enix			
See attached				
RECOMMENDATION	OR RESOLU			
RECOMMENDATION	OR RESOLU	TION X_2		
RECOMMENDATION	OR RESOLU	TION <u>X</u> 2		
RECOMMENDATION	OR RESOLU	TION X_2		
RECOMMENDATION	OR RESOLU	TION X		
RECOMMENDATION	OR RESOLU	TION X		
RECOMMENDATION Process per 1	OR RESOLU PQAP.	TION <u>X</u> :		
RECOMMENDATION Process per 1	OR RESOLU PQAP . REQUIRED):	TION <u>X</u> :		
RECOMMENDATION Process per 1 COMMENTS BY SRT (IF 1	OR RESOLU PQAP . REQUIRED):	TION		
RECOMMENDATION Process per 1 COMMENTS BY SRT (IF 1	OR RESOLU PQAP . REQUIRED):	TION X		
RECOMMENDATION Process per 1 COMMENTS BY SRT (IF 1 E REFERENCES (INC' RE Bechtel Calci	OR RESOLU PQAP. REQUIRED): LATED OCR ITEM P ulation SC-10-	TION 2 REPORT NO.): 639-14(Q) Rev.2		
RECOMMENDATION Process per 1 COMMENTS BY SRT (IF 1 E REFERENCES (INC' RE Bechtel Calcu SIGNATURE(S):	PQAP. REQUIRED):	TION 2 REPORT NO.): 639-14(Q) Rev.2		
RECOMMENDATION Process per 1 COMMENTS BY SRT (IF 1 E REFERENCES (INC' RE Bechtel Calcu SIGNATURE(S): DMW	OR RESOLU PQAP. REQUIRED): LATED OCR ITEM P ulation SC-10- FAD TA	TION	JWB	
RECOMMENDATION Process per 1 COMMENTS BY SRT (IF 1 E REFERENCES (INC' RE Bechtel Calcu SIGNATURE(S): 	OR RESOLU PQAP. REQUIRED): LATED OCR ITEM P ulation SC-10- EAD TAC	TION X_: REPORT NO.): 639-14(Q) Rev.2 HAL PROJECT MANAGER FOR PROJECT TEAM		SRT (IF REQUIRED)

DESCRIPTION OF CONCERN:

A confirmatory seismic analysis for the piping analyzed in the referenced calculation was performed by TERA. The approach taken differed from the referenced calculation in three significant ways:

- The Regulatory Guide 1.92 grouping method for modal summation of closely spaced modes was utilized instead of SRSS.
 - As-built stiffnesses were utilized for supports in the 6 inch piping.
 - The static load equal to the zero period acceleration (ZPA) times the system weight was combined with the dynamic load up to 33 Hz by SRSS instead of selecting the larger of the two loads.

Then to check the modeling, another analysis was performed using the same methods in these three areas as those used in the referenced calculation.

The analysis using the same methods produced very similar results to the referenced calculation. The analysis using the methods stated above resulted in significantly different results. In particular, the inertial seismic reaction loads at certain supports increase by over 50%. Each of the three differences in methods contributed to the differences observed at some support, but the most prevalent cause of differences was the third item, i.e., combination of static ZPA and dynamic loads.

The magnitude of seismic local is very small in the piping analyzed and the stresses are well below allowable limits; therefore, it is unlikely that this particular piping system would ever require modification due to the increases discussed. The concern is that these types of increases could have an adverse effect in other piping systems.

SIGNIFICANCE OF CONCERN:

For the magnitude of seismic load in this piping system, there is no concern that the results will significantly affect the support design. For other piping, similar percentage increases are a concern. It is recognized that the method used here to account for higher frequency contribution is in general very conservative. Any method used to correct the static analysis to reflect only the mass participation not included in the dynamic analysis would substantially reduce many loads; however, the SRSS combination is a realistic approximation in gome cases, in particular for axial nozzle loads or axial supports in long runs. It is possible that such loads are underestimated using the referenced calculation methods. The effects of closely spaced modes and support stiffnesses also need to be included as possible accumulative contributors to the total seismic response.

1

DMW:cas



TYPE OF REPORT: DATES REPORTED I		and the second se		N IICM NET ON	a barran a state a sure a
DATES REPORTED	And the second se		<u>x</u>	FILE NO. DOC NO. REV. NO.	201-008 201-008-C-146
	O: LTR 1	/20/84 SRT	2/14/84 CPC/DE	T TEAM/PROJECT MG	R. 2/13/84
STRUCTURE(S), SYS	TEM(S), OR CO	MPONENT(S) INV	OLVED:		
Standby El	ectric Pow	er - Diesel	Generator		
DCV PROGRAM AR	EA OR TASK (APPLICABLE):			
Topic 1.19	-2 - DG Co	ntrol System	s	mlu Sustam	
Topic 1.25	DAICEPHL TH	arcing Mecha	chonce to NDC O	estion 010 15 o	0 000 000 0 2 1
re not include t available. output.	d in this r The OCR's r	response and referenced be	other documenta elow are example	tion addressing s of failures t	this subject was hat may terminate
RECOMMENDATIO	NOF	RESOLUTION_			
RECOMMENDATIO	N OF	RESOLUTION_			
RECOMMENDATIO	N <u>x</u> Of er PQAP	RESOLUTION_			
RECOMMENDATIO	N <u>X</u> OF er PQAP	RESOLUTION_	1		
RECOMMENDATIO	N <u>X</u> OF er PQAP	RESOLUTION_	*		
RECOMMENDATIO	N <u>x</u> Of er PQAP	RESOLUTION_			
RECOMMENDATION Process po	N <u>x</u> OF	RESOLUTION _	1		
RECOMMENDATION Process pa	N OF er PQAP	RESOLUTION _	3		
RECOMMENDATION Process pa	N <u>x</u> of er PQAP	RESOLUTION _			
RECOMMENDATION Process po COMMENTS BY SR	N <u>x</u> of er PQAP	RESOLUTION _	1		
RECOMMENDATION Process pa COMMENTS BY SR	N <u>x</u> of er PQAP	RESOLUTION _	3		
RECOMMENDATION Process po COMMENTS BY SR	N OF er PQAP T (IF REQUIRE	RESOLUTION _	1		
RECOMMENDATION Process po COMMENTS BY SR REFERENCES (INC As indica	N OF er PQAP T (IF REQUIRES L RELATED O ted in "Des	CR ITEM REPOR	T NOJ: bove and:		
RECOMMENDATION Process parts COMMENTS BY SR	N OF er PQAP T (IF REQUIRED L RELATED O ted in "Des - DG Conta	CR ITEM REPOR	T NOJ: bove and: PneuMatic), OCR	C-141 - DG Cont	rol System (Pneuma
RECOMMENDATION Process po COMMENTS BY SR	N OF er PQAP T (F REQUIRES L RELATED O ted in "Des - DG Conta	CR ITEM REPOR	T NOJ: bove and: Pneumatic), OCR	<u>C-141 - DG Cont</u>	rol System (Pneum
RECOMMENDATION Process po COMMENTS BY SR REFERENCES (INC As indica OCR C-133 SIGNATURE(S): GES OCR ITEM REPOR ORIGINATOR	N OF er PQAP T (IF REQUIRED L RELATED O ted in "Des - DG Conta	CR ITEM REPOR Scription" al col System ()	T NOJ: bove and: Pneumatic), OCR HAL ROJECT MANAGER OR PROJECT TEAM	C-141 - DG Cont JB PRINCIPAL- IN-CHARGE	rol System (Pneum SRT (IF REQUIRED)

TYPE OF REPORT:	OPEN	CONFIRMEDX	FILE NO DOC NO	. 3201-008 3201-008- C- 147
	RESOLVED_	ITEM	REV. NO	
DATES REPORTED	TO: LTR 1 PRINCIP/	720/84 SRT AL-IN-CHARGE 2/14/84	PROJECT TEAM/PROJECT CPC/DESIGN ORG.	MGR. 2/13/04
STRUCTURE(S), SYS	TEM(S), OR CO	MPONENT(S) INVOLVED:		2
Standby Ele	ectric Power	r - Diesel Generator		
DCV PROGRAM AF	EA OR TASK (I	F APPLICABLE):		-
Topic 1.10-	2, DG Actu	ation Systems		
DESCRIPTION OF C	ONCERN			
millisecond out of spec events tabl	to rated to reference	ore, the total start frequency and voltage ced below utilized 10	time from detecting is 10.5 seconds. T 0.0 seconds.	a process variable he sequence of
	and the second second			and the party of the second state of the secon
SIGNIFICANCE OF	CONCERN:			
The impact	of the ESE	AS response time in d	etermining total DG	start time needs
SIGNIFICANCE OF The impact to be confi or evaluate	of the ESF inmed for the the value of the va	AS response time in d he IDCVP systems as w arious accident scena	etermining total DG ell as the significa rios affecting other	start time needs nce accounted for systems.
SIGNIFICANCE OF The impact to be confi or evaluate RECOMMENDATIO	of the ESF med for the d in the va	AS response time in d he IDCVP systems as w arious accident scena	etermining total DG ell as the significa rios affecting other	start time needs nce accounted for systems.
SIGNIFICANCE OF The impact to be confi or evaluate RECOMMENDATIO Process per	of the ESF med for the d in the va	AS response time in d he IDCVP systems as w arious accident scena	etermining total DG ell as the significa rios affecting other	start time needs nce accounted for systems.
SIGNIFICANCE OF a The impact to be confi or evaluate RECOMMENDATIO Process per	of the ESF med for the d in the va N X OR PQAP.	AS response time in d he IDCVP systems as w arious accident scena	etermining total DG ell as the significa rios affecting other	start time needs nce accounted for systems.
SIGNIFICANCE OF a The impact to be confi or evaluate RECOMMENDATIO Process per	of the ESF med for the d in the va N X OR PQAP.	AS response time in d he IDCVP systems as w arious accident scena	etermining total DG ell as the significa rios affecting other	start time needs nce accounted for systems.
SIGNIFICANCE OF a The impact to be confi or evaluate RECOMMENDATIO Process per	of the ESF med for the d in the va N X OR PQAP.	AS response time in d he IDCVP systems as w arious accident scena	etermining total DG ell as the significa rios affecting other	start time needs nce accounted for systems.
SIGNIFICANCE OF a The impact to be confi or evaluate RECOMMENDATIO Process per	of the ESF med for the d in the va <u>X</u> OR PQAP.	AS response time in d he IDCVP systems as w arious accident scena	etermining total DG mell as the significa rios affecting other	start time needs nce accounted for systems.
SIGNIFICANCE OF O The impact to be confi or evaluate RECOMMENDATIO Process per COMMENTS BY SR	ONCERN: of the ESF imed for the d in the va N X OR PQAP.	AS response time in d he IDCVP systems as w arious accident scena RESOLUTION:	etermining total DG mell as the significa rios affecting other	start time needs nce accounted for systems.
SIGNIFICANCE OF O The impact to be confi or evaluate RECOMMENDATIO Process per COMMENTS BY SR	ONCERN: of the ESF med for the d in the van N X OR PQAP. T (IF REQUIRED	AS response time in d he IDCVP systems as w arious accident scena RESOLUTION:	etermining total DG mell as the significa rios affecting other	start time needs nce accounted for systems.
SIGNIFICANCE OF O The impact to be confi or evaluate RECOMMENDATIO Process per	ONCERN: of the ESF imed for the d in the vi- N X OR PQAP.	AS response time in d he IDCVP systems as w arious accident scena RESOLUTION:	etermining total DG mell as the significa rios affecting other	start time needs nce accounted for systems.
SIGNIFICANCE OF a The impact to be confi or evaluate RECOMMENDATIO Process per	ONCERN: of the ESF ind for the d in the vi-	AS response time in d he IDCVP systems as w arious accident scena RESOLUTION:	etermining total DG mell as the significa rios affecting other	start time needs nce accounted for systems.
SIGNIFICANCE OF O The impact to be confi or evaluate RECOMMENDATIO Process per COMMENTS BY SR REFERENCES (INC	ONCERN: of the ESF med for the d in the van N X OR PQAP. T (IF REQUIRED	AS response time in d he IDCVP systems as w arious accident scena RESOLUTION:	etermining total DG rell as the significa rios affecting other	start time needs nce accounted for systems.
SIGNIFICANCE OF A The impact to be confi or evaluate RECOMMENDATIO Process per COMMENTS BY SR REFERENCES (INC FSAR pg 8.1 and FSAR Ta	ONCERN: of the ESF med for the d in the van N X OR PQAP. T (IF REQUIRED L RELATED OK 3-11, Rev. ables 10.4-	AS response time in d he IDCVP systems as w arious accident scena RESOLUTION: CR ITEM REPORT NO.): 47, ESFAS material re 12, Rev. 47 and 15.2-	etermining total DG rell as the significa rios affecting other quisition 7220-J-207 3, Rev. 33.	start time needs nce accounted for systems.
SIGNIFICANCE OF A The impact to be confi or evaluate RECOMMENDATIO Process per Process per COMMENTS BY SR COMMENTS BY SR REFERENCES (INC FSAR pg 8.1 and FSAR Ta SIGNATURE(S):	ONCERN: of the ESF med for the d in the van N X OR PQAP. T (IF REQUIRED L RELATED OC 3-11, Rev. ables 10.4-	AS response time in d he IDCVP systems as w arious accident scena RESOLUTION: CR ITEM REPORT NO.): 47, ESFAS material re 12, Rev. 47 and 15.2-	etermining total DG rell as the significa rios affecting other quisition 7220-J-207 3, Rev. 33.	start time needs nce accounted for systems.
RECOMMENDATIO Process per COMMENTS BY SR REFERENCES (INC FSAR pg 8.3 and FSAR Ta SIGNATURE(S): GAR	ONCERN: of the ESF med for the d in the van N X OR PQAP. T (IF REQUIRED L RELATED OC 3-11, Rev. ables 10.4-	AS response time in d he IDCVP systems as w arious accident scena RESOLUTION: CR ITEM REPORT NO.): 47, ESFAS material re 12, Rev. 47 and 15.2- S HAL REO ECT MAN	etermining total DG rell as the significa rios affecting other quisition 7220-J-207 3, Rev. 33. JWB	start time needs nce accounted for systems. (Q), Rev. 11, SRT (IF REQUIRED)
SIGNIFICANCE OF O The impact to be confi or evaluate RECOMMENDATIO Process per Process per COMMENTS BY SR COMMENTS BY SR REFERENCES (INC FSAR pg 8.3 and FSAR Ta SIGNATURE(S): GAR OCR ITEM REPOR ORIGINATOR	ONCERN: of the ESF med for the d in the van N X OR PQAP. (IF REQUIRED L RELATED OC 3-11, Rev. ables 10.4- T L	AS response time in d he IDCVP systems as w arious accident scena RESOLUTION: CR ITEM REPORT NO.): 47, ESFAS material re 12, Rev. 47 and 15.2- CR ITEM REPORT NO.): HAL PROJECT MAN FOR PROJECT	etermining total DG rell as the significa rios affecting other quisition 7220-J-207 3, Rev. 33. JWB JAGER PRINCIPAL- IN-CHARGE	start time needs nce accounted for systems. (QJ, Rev. 11, SRT (IF REQUIRED)

MIDLA	ND INDEPENDENT DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION VERIFICATION	
	OPEN, CONFIRMED AND RESOLVED (OCR) ITEM REPORT	

TYPE OF REPORT: OP	ENCONFI	IRMED X	FILE NO. DOC NO. REV. NO.	3201-008 3201-008-C-148
DATES REPORTED TO:	LTR 2/7/84 PRINCIPAL-IN-CHA	SRT PROJEC	TTEAM/PROJECT M	GR. 2/13/84
STRUCTURE(S), SYSTEM Diesel generati	(S), OR COMPONENT	(5) INVOLVED: e barriers.	1	2
DCV PROGRAM AREA 11.12-2 Fire	OR TASK (IF APPLICA Protection for	ABLE): Standby Electric Pow	ver Diesel Gener	rators
DESCRIPTION OF CONC	ERN:			
specified. Sin scheduled for electrical dwg seals in the A (7220-A-873(Q)	milar penetration a fire seal in s. (7220-E-696(rchitectural Pene).	ons in the other fue the penetration. Al Q) & 7220-E-2696(Q)) netration Sealing Sc	are not identi chedule, Diesel	rooms are all ns shown on ified for fire Generator Bldg.
Unsealed penet	rations degrade	the integrity of the	ne 3-hour rated	fire barriers.
Unsealed penet	X OF RESOLUT	the integrity of the	ne 3-hour rated	fire barriers.
Unsealed penet RECOMMENDATION Assure these p and revise dra	X OR RESOLUT enetrations are wing A-873. Pr	TION: adequately sealed to cocess per PQAP.	ne 3-hour rated	fire barriers.
Unsealed penet	X OR RESOLUT enetrations are wing A-873. Pr	TION: adequately sealed to coess per PQAP.	ne 3-hour rated	fire barriers.
Unsealed penet RECOMMENDATION Assure these p and revise dra COMMENTS BY SRT (IF REFERENCES (INCL. R Bechtel docume	X OR RESOLUT enetrations are wing A-873. Pr REQUIRED): ELATED OCR ITEM R nts 7220-E696 (0	EPORT NO.): EPORT NO.):	ne 3-hour rated to provide a 3-h	fire barriers.
Unsealed penet RECOMMENDATION Assure these p and revise dra COMMENTS BY SRT (IF REFERENCES (INCL. RI Bechtel docume SIGNATURE(S):	X OR RESOLUT enetrations are wing A-873. Pr REQUIRED): ELATED OCR ITEM RI nts 7220-E696 (Q	EPORT NO.): (), - 2696(Q), and A	ne 3-hour rated to provide a 3-h	fire barriers.
Unsealed penet RECOMMENDATION Assure these p and revise dra COMMENTS BY SRT (IF REFERENCES (INCL. R Bechtel docume SIGNATURE(S): JBM OCR ITEM REPORT	X OR RESOLUT enetrations are wing A-873. Pr REQUIRED): ELATED OCR ITEM R nts 7220-E696 (Q GES LTR	EPORT NO.): (), - 2696 (Q), and A HAL PROJECT MANAGER	ne 3-hour rated to provide a 3-h 373(Q) 	fire barriers.
RECOMMENDATION Assure these p and revise dra COMMENTS BY SRT (IF REFERENCES (INCL. R Bechtel docume SIGNATURE(S): JBM OCR ITEM REPORT ORIGINATOR 2/7/94	X OR RESOLUT enetrations are wing A-873. Pr REQUIRED): ELATED OCR ITEM R nts 7220-E696 (Q GES LTR 2/7/94	EPORT NO.): (), - 2696 (Q), and Al HAL PROJECT MANAGER FOR PROJECT TEAM	to provide a 3-1 373 (Q)	fire barriers.

MIDLAND INDEPENDENT DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION VERIFICATION OPEN, CONFIRMED AND RESOLVED (OCR) ITEM REPORT

TYPE OF REPORT: OP		TRMED X	FILE NO. DOC NO.	3201-008 3201-008- C. 149
DATES REPORTED TO:	LTR 12/30/83 PRINCIPAL-IN-Ch	SRT PROJE	CT TEAM/PROJECT N ESIGN ORG.	AGR. 2/13/84
STRUCTURE(S), SYSTEM	S), OR COMPONENT	T(S) INVOLVED:		2
CO ₂ Fire Suppr Oil Trans	ession System	for Diesel Generator	Bldg., Diesel	Generator Fuel
IDCV PROGRAM AREA	OR TASK (IF APPLIC	ABLE):		
11.12-2, Fire	Protection of	Standby Electric Pow	er Diesel Gener	ators
DESCRIPTION OF CONC	ERN			
NFPA-12, 1-83. de-energized b tions that CO ₂	8 requires that actuation of actuation ten	t equipment which co the fire suppressio minates fuel oil tra	ntributes to th n system. Ther nsfer pump oper	e fire hazard be e are no indica- ation.
SIGNIFICANCE OF CON	CERN:			
				the second se
Lack of compli	ance with NFPA	12 could increase s	everity of pote	ntial fire.
Lack of compli	ance with NFPA	12 could increase s	everity of pote	ntial fire.
Lack of compli	Ance with NFPA	12 could increase s	everity of pote	ntial fire.
Lack of compli	AP.	12 could increase s	everity of pote	ntial fire.
Lack of compli	AP.	12 could increase s	everity of pote	ntial fire.
Lack of compli	AP.	12 could increase s	everity of pote	ntial fire.
Lack of compli	AP.	12 could increase s	everity of pote	ntial fire.
Lack of compli	AP.	12 could increase s	everity of pote	ntial fire.
Lack of compli	AP.	12 could increase s	everity of pote	ntial fire.
Lack of compli	AP.	12 could increase s	everity of pote	ntial fire.
Lack of compli	AP.	12 could increase s	everity of pote	ntial fire.
Lack of compli	X OR RESOLUT AP.	12 could increase s	everity of pote	ntial fire.
Lack of compli	AP.	12 could increase s	everity of pote	ntial fire.
Lack of compli	AP. REQUIRED): ELATED OCR ITEM R	12 could increase s	everity of pote	ntial fire.
Lack of compli	AP. REQUIRED):	12 could increase s	everity of pote	ntial fire.
Lack of compli	AP. REQUIRED):	12 could increase s	everity of pote	ntial fire.
Lack of compli	AP. REQUIRED): CES LTR	12 could increase s	JWB PRINCIPAL-	sRT (IF REQUIRED)
Lack of compli	AP. REQUIRED): LATED OCR ITEM R GES LTR	12 could increase s	JWB PRINCIPAL- IN-CHARGE	sRT (IF REQUIRED)

MIDLAND I	NDEPENDENT	DESIGN AND CONST AND RESOLVED (O	RUCTION VERIFI	CATION T
TYPE OF REPORT: OPEN	VED	RMED	FILE NO. DOC NO. REV. NO.	3201-008 3201-008-C-150
DATES REPORTED TO: L	TR 12/30/83	RCE 2/14/84 CPC/D	CT TEAM/PROJECT M	CR2/13/84
STRUCTURE(S), SYSTEM(S) Fire Detection in D	iesel Generato	s) NVOLVED: or Rooms		
DCV PROGRAM AREA OR Topic II.12-2: Fir	TASK (F APPLICA	BLE: for Standby Electri	c Power	
DESCRIPTION OF CONCEPT system complies with the balance-of-plant supply be provided to power supply automation of the primary power one of the following: generator with storage tors. Fire detection devices that provide fire detection device	NFPA 72D and batteries. NFI the primary cally transfe supply. NFPA a storage b be batteries; for the dies alarm as well es receive bac	ion 9A.3.E.1 states is connected to an PA 72D requires tha fire detection powe r to operate the sy 72D allows the sec attery with a 24-ho or multiple automat el generator rooms as automatic actua kup power from the	that the Midlah emergency power t a secondary (s r supply, & that stem within 30 s ondary power sup ur capacity; an ic starting engi is provided by f tion of the CO2 diesel generator	supply provided by tandby) power the secondary seconds of the loss oply to consist of engine driven ine driven genera- fire detection system. These rs, but only if
manually added to the sign arrangement does SIGNIFICANCE OF CONCE been manually added t	RN: With an the alarm capabil	ESFAS-DG START sign ow voltage condition	PA 72D. mal, or if CO ₂ sy on starts the die generator rooms	vstem has not esels, there is
RECOMMENDATION X	OR RESOLUT			
COMMENTS BY SRT (F F	EQUIRED):			
÷.				
REFERENCES (INCL. REL As above and FSAR	ATED OCR ITEM R Table 8.3-1	Sheet 14 (Rev. 47)	-	
SIGNATURE(S):			TLT	
OCR ITEM REPORT	LTR 1/31/84	PROJECT MANAGER	PRINCIPAL- IN-CHARGE	SRT (IF REQUIRED)
12/30/03	DATE	DATE	DATE	DATE

TYPE OF REPORTI OPEN_	CONFIRME	D	FILE NO. 320 DOC NO. 320	01-008 01-008- B- 151
Observation RESOLV		PROFILI	FAM/PROJECT MGR	2/13/04
DATES REPORTED TO: LTP	NCIPAL-IN-CHARGE	2/14/84 CPC/DESIG	N ORG.	
STRUCTURE(S), SYSTEM(S), C Technical Specificat	ions for the SE	P System		
DCV PROGRAM AREA OR T	ASK (IF APPLICABLE)1		
Topic 1.4-2, Technic	al Specificatio	ons		
DESCRIPTION OF CONCERN	*			
B&W Standard Technic The variances are in	cal Specificati dentified on At	ons (STS) (NUREG-01 tachment 1 to this	03, Rev. 4, Fal report.	11 1900).
the STS, lack setpo	Thes are special	anfatu analucic ac	sumptions are m	aintained.
the STS, lack setpo required to assure This should be corre- proper attention is no for invalidating some RECOMMENDATION	that design and ected during fu ot placed on Te design and saf	safety analysis as ture licensing acti chnical Specificati ety analysis assump	sumptions are m vities; nowever ons, the potent tions.	aintained. if the tial exists
the STS, lack setpo required to assure This should be corre- proper attention is no for invalidating some RECOMMENDATION Because of the dra- issues should be re- be resolved hy cla- is provided for in Specs are finalize	that design and ected during fu ot placed on Te design and saf OR RESOLUTION ft nature of th esolved during ssification as formation to NR d.	safety analysis as ture licensing acti chnical Specificati ety analysis assump <u>X_X_</u> e Technical Specifi the normal NRC revi an Observation. Ac C and CPC and may s	sumptions are m vities; nowever ons, the potent tions. cations and the ew and approval cordingly, the erve as input a	aintained. if the tial exists fact that these t, this OCR will noted Observations the Tech
the STS, lack setpo required to assure This should be corre- proper attention is no or invalidating some RECOMMENDATION Because of the dra- issues should be r be resolved hy cla- is provided for in Specs are finalize	that design and ected during fu ot placed on Te design and saf OR RESOLUTION ft nature of th esolved during ssification as formation to NR d.	safety analysis as ture licensing acti chnical Specificati ety analysis assump <u>X</u> e Technical Specifi the normal NRC revi an Observation. Ac C and CPC and may c	sumptions are m vities; nowever ons, the potent tions. cations and the ew and approval cordingly, the erve as input a	aintained. if the tial exists fact that these l, this OCR will noted Observations the Tech
the STS, lack setpo required to assure This should be corre- proper attention is no or invalidating some RECOMMENDATION Because of the dra- issues should be re- be resolved hy cla- is provided for in Specs are finalize COMMENTS BY SRT (F RE-	that design and ected during fu ot placed on Te design and saf OR RESOLUTION ft nature of th esolved during ssification as formation to NR d.	safety analysis as ture licensing acti chnical Specificati ety analysis assump <u>X</u> e Technical Specifi the normal NRC revi an Observation. Ac C and CPC and may	sumptions are m vities; nowever ons, the potent tions. cations and the ew and approval cordingly, the erve as input a	aintained. if the tial exists fact that these l, this OCR will noted Observations the Tech
the STS, lack setpo required to assure This should be corre- proper attention is no or invalidating some RECOMMENDATION Because of the dra- issues should be re- be resolved hy cla- is provided for in Specs are finalize	that design and ected during fu ot placed on Te design and saf OR RESOLUTION ft nature of th esolved during ssification as formation to NR d.	safety analysis as ture licensing acti chnical Specificati ety analysis assump <u>X</u> e Technical Specifi the normal NRC revi an Observation. Ac C and CPC and may c	sumptions are m vities; nowever ons, the potent tions. cations and the ew and approval cordingly, the erve as input a	aintained. if the tial exists a fact that these l, this OCR will noted Observations the Tech
the STS, lack setpo required to assure This should be corre- proper attention is no for invalidating some RECOMMENDATION Because of the dra- issues should be r be resolved hy cla- is provided for in Specs are finalize COMMENTS BY SRT (F RE	that design and ected during fu ot placed on Te design and saf OR RESOLUTION ft nature of th esolved during ssification as formation to NR d.	safety analysis as ture licensing acti chnical Specificati ety analysis assump <u>X</u> e Technical Specifi the normal NRC revi an Observation. Ac C and CPC and may s	sumptions are m vities; nowever ons, the potent tions. cations and the ew and approval cordingly, the erve as input a	aintained. if the tial exists a fact that these 1, this OCR will noted Observations the Tech
the STS, lack setpo required to assure This should be corre- proper attention is no or invalidating some RECOMMENDATION Because of the dra- issues should be re- be resolved hy cla- is provided for in Specs are finalize COMMENTS BY SRT (F RE-	that design and ected during fu ot placed on Te design and saf OR RESOLUTION ft nature of th esolved during ssification as formation to NR d.	safety analysis as ture licensing acti chnical Specificati ety analysis assump <u>X</u> e Technical Specifi the normal NRC revi an Observation. Ac C and CPC and may co	sumptions are m vities; nowever ons, the potent tions. cations and the ew and approval cordingly, the erve as input a	aintained. if the tial exists a fact that these 1, this OCR will noted Observations the Tech
the STS, lack setpo required to assure This should be corre- proper attention is ne for invalidating some RECOMMENDATION Because of the dra- issues should be re- be resolved hy cla- is provided for in Specs are finalize COMMENTS BY SRT (F RE- SIGNATURE(S):	that design and ected during fu ot placed on Te design and saf OR RESOLUTION ft nature of th esolved during ssification as formation to NR d. EQUIRED:	safety analysis as ture licensing acti chnical Specificati ety analysis assump <u>X</u> e Technical Specifi the normal NRC revi an Observation. Ac C and CPC and may s PORT NO.	sumptions are m vities; nowever ons, the potent tions. cations and the ew and approval cordingly, the erve as input a	aintained. if the tial exists e fact that these l, this OCR will noted Observations the Tech
the STS, lack setpo required to assure This should be corre- proper attention is ne for invalidating some RECOMMENDATION Because of the dra- issues should be re- be resolved hy cla- is provided for in Specs are finalize COMMENTS BY SRT (IF RE- SIGNATURE(S): JDR OCR ITEM REPORT	that design and ected during fu ot placed on Te design and saf OR RESOLUTION ft nature of th esolved during ssification as formation to NR d. EQUIRED: ATED OCR ITEM REP GES LTR	safety analysis as ture licensing acti chnical Specificati ety analysis assump <u>X</u> e Technical Specifi the normal NRC revi an Observation. Ac C and CPC and may C and CPC and may HAL HAL	JWB	aintained. if the tial exists e fact that these l, this OCR will noted Observations the Tech SRT (# REQUIRED
the STS, lack setpo required to assure This should be corre- proper attention is ne for invalidating some RECOMMENDATION Because of the dra- issues should be re- be resolved hy cla- is provided for in Specs are finalize COMMENTS BY SRT (F RE- SIGNATURE(S): JDR OCR ITEM REPORT ORIGINATOR	that design and ected during fu ot placed on Te design and saf OR RESOLUTION ft nature of th esolved during ssification as formation to NR d. EQUIRED: ATED OCR ITEM REP GES LTR	safety analysis as ture licensing acti chnical Specificati ety analysis assump <u>X</u> e Technical Specifi the normal NRC revi an Observation. Ac C and CPC and may C and CPC and may HAL <u>HAL</u> <u>HAL</u> <u>HAL</u> <u>HAL</u> <u>HAL</u> <u>HAL</u> <u>HAL</u> <u>HAL</u> <u>1</u> <u>1</u> <u>1</u> <u>1</u> <u>1</u> <u>1</u> <u>1</u> <u>1</u>	JWB PRINCPAL- N-CHARSE 2/14/84	aintained. if the tial exists e fact that these l, this OCR will noted Observations the Tech SRT (IF REQUIRED

ATTACHMENT 1

	Technical Specification (TS)	Description of Discrepancy
1)	16.3.8.1.1.b.4	The Midland TS includes a requirement for jacket water and lube oil minimum temperatures when the outside air tempera- ture is below some value. This specification is in addition to those in the STS. Because of limits on the diesel engine, the specifica- tions should be included but not correlated to outside air temperature.
2)	16.4.8.1.1.2.a	The specification references Table 16.4.8-1 which is not included.
3)	16.4.8.1.1.2.a.5	The specification differs from the STS in that it allows loading to 525 KW in 60 seconds after synchronization. The NRC requirement is that it be synchronized and loaded within 60 seconds.
4)	16.4.8.1.1.2.b	The specification contains references to ASTM standards which are different from those in the STS. Although, later versions are committed to, there are additional standards that have been required of recently issued operating licensees such as ASTM-D2274-70.
5)	16.4.8.1.1.2.c.9	The specification does not define "short time" as 2000 hours as in the STS.
6)	16.4.8.1.1.2.c	There is no specification (16.4.8.1.1.2.c.14 in the STS) for verifying capability of the fuel transfer pump to transfer from each storage tank to day tank via installed lines. The STS includes cross-connect lines which are not part of Midland design but normally it is still re- quired to verify capability of the fuel transfer system periodically.
7)	16.4.8.1.1.2.d	The Midland TS do not include this specifica- tion which requires tests to verify indepen- dence every 10 years or after modifications.

	Technical Specification (TS)	Description of Discrepancy
8)	16.4.8.1.1.3	The Midland TS do not include this specification on reporting diesel generator failures.
9)	16.3.8.1.2.b.4	This specification for minimum jacket water and lube oil temperature appear more appropriate than those of specification 16.3.8.1.1.b.4.
10)	16.3.8.1.2.b.1 and 2	The values for minimum fuel requirements of the day tanks and storage tanks are included in this shutdown specification but not in the operating specifications (16.3.8.1.1.b). In addition, these numbers appear to be very high such that there is not much margin for operational and test conditions before the tanks must be refilled to maintain operability (\simeq 7 gpm diesel consumption requires \approx 8 hours to drop below the minimum requirement if the tanks were full). In addition, it is not clear if these values account for unusual portions of the storage as well as water retention allowable values in the storage tanks. Additionally, Calculation FM-4210-22 Rev.1,Page 6 indicates 32128.5 gallons are required. These numbers should be verified.
11)	16.4.8.1.2	The Midland TS do not include a reference to 4.8.1.1.3 like the STS. As indicated in comment 8 above, the Midland TS do not include a 4.8.1.1.3 section.
12)	16.3/4.8.1.3	These specifications are to be provided by later amendment and were not available for review.
13)	16.3/4.8.2.1	The specification does not include Applica- bility, Action or Surveillance Requirements.
14)	16.3/4.8.2.2	No specification is provided for AC Distribu- tion - Shutdown.
15)	16.4.8.2.3.2.C.3	The wording "20% above the average at installation time" is not included in STS or other recent OLs. The NRC requires a maximum which is also much easier for the operating staff to verify.

30

.

4 4

.

16) 16.4.8.2.4.1

17) 16.3/4.8.3

The specification does not include the required minimum voltage as per the STS.

The specification for Electrical Equipment Protective Devices are not included in the Midland TS.

General

The Midland TS has several variances from the NRC's STS, but most of these will be corrected during NRC negotiations prior to receipt of the operating license. Besides the limits, setpoints, and operational values not being available, most of the Technical Specifications lack some of the plant specificity above the STS required to ensure valid design and safety analysis assumptions are maintained. Examples are requirements for the Diesel Generator Air Start systems and more specific requirements for verifying battery load profile requirements. Because of this, attached is a more recent set of approved Technical Specifications which have recently received much review and attention from the NRC and even though they are BWR Technical Specifications should be considered by CPCo prior to a final "Proof and Review" copy of Technical Specifications.

0	The core in the			
TYPE OF REPORT: O Observation X R		TRMED	FILE NO. DOC NO. REV. NO.	3201-008 3201-008- B - 152
DATES REPORTED TO	LTR 1/17/84 PRINCIPAL-IN-CHA	SRT PROJEC	T TEAM/PROJECT M	GR. 2/13/84
STRUCTURE(S), SYSTE	M(S), OR COMPONENT	T(S) INVOLVED:		
Auxiliary Feed	water System			•
IDEV PROGRAM AREA	OR TASK (IF APPLIC	ABLE):		
Accident Analy	sis Consideratio	ons (1.2-1)		
DESCRIPTION OF CON	CERN			
. It appears that based upon jude be good engine the design base ance with ANSI	t justification gement prior to ering practice t es. Accordingly, N45.2.11 and at	for reliance on oper initiation of the re to document items suc , calcs of this natur fforded appropriate d	ator action as ferenced calc. th as this with re should be down lesign control.	a design basis wa We consider it to in calcs supporting cumented in accord
EE ATTACHMENT				
None of these disposition of	items has a sign C-025.	nificant impact on th	e calculation n	results or on the
None of these disposition of RECOMMENDATION_	items has a sign C-025.	nificant impact on th	e calculation n	results or on the
None of these disposition of RECOMMENDATION_	items has a sign C-025. OR RESOLUT Observation.	nificant impact on th	e calculation :	results or on the
None of these disposition of RECOMMENDATION	items has a sign C-025. OR RESOLUT Observation.	nificant impact on th	e calculation m	results or on the
None of these disposition of RECOMMENDATION	items has a sign C-025. OR RESOLUT Observation.	nificant impact on th	e calculation 1	results or on the
None of these disposition of RECOMMENDATION	items has a sign C-025. OR RESOLUT Observation.	nificant impact on th	e calculation n	results or on the
None of these disposition of RECOMMENDATION	items has a sign C-025. OR RESOLUT Observation.	nificant impact on th	e calculation n	results or on the
None of these disposition of RECOMMENDATION	OR RESOLUT Observation.	nificant impact on th	e calculation n	results or on the
None of these disposition of RECOMMENDATION	OR RESOLUT Observation.	TION X_:	e calculation r	results or on the
None of these disposition of RECOMMENDATION _ Resolve as an COMMENTS BY SRT (M	OR RESOLUT Observation.	TION X_:	e calculation r	results or on the
None of these disposition of RECOMMENDATION Resolve as an COMMENTS BY SRT (IF	OR RESOLUT Observation.	TION X_:	e calculation r	results or on the
None of these disposition of RECOMMENDATION Resolve as an COMMENTS BY SRT (IF REFERENCES (INCL. F	C-025. C-025. OR RESOLUT Observation.	TION X_:	e calculation :	results or on the
None of these disposition of RECOMMENDATION Resolve as an COMMENTS BY SRT (M REFERENCES (INCL. F 1. Memo, Hammer	OR RESOLUT Observation. REQUIRED): RELATED OCR ITEM R to Gibson (9/15,	TION X : REPORT NO.): /83), File B10.4.9, S	e calculation n	results or on the
None of these disposition of RECOMMENDATION Resolve as an COMMENTS BY SRT (M REFERENCES (INCL. F 1. Memo, Hamm	OR RESOLUT Observation.	TION X : REPORT NO.): /83), File B10.4.9, S HAL	e calculation n erial 26564 JWB	results or on the
None of these disposition of RECOMMENDATION Resolve as an COMMENTS BY SRT (M REFERENCES (INCL. F 1. Memo, Hamm SIGNATURE(S) FAD OCR ITEM REPORT	OR RESOLUT Observation. Observation. REQUIRED): RELATED OCR ITEM R to Gibson (9/15) FAD TR	TION X : TION X : REPORT NO.): /83), File Bl0.4.9, S HAL PROJECT MANAGER FOR PROJECT MANAGER	e calculation n erial 26564	SRT (IF REQUIRED)
RECOMMENDATION RESOLVE AS AN RESOLVE AS AN COMMENTS BY SRT (IF REFERENCES (INCL. F 1. Memo, Hamm f SIGNATURE(S) FAD OCR ITEM REPORT ORIGINATOR 1/17/84	OR RESOLUT Observation. Observation. REQUIRED): REQUIRED): TAD TO LTR 1/17/84	TION X : TION X : EPORT NO.): /83), File B10.4.9, S HAL PROJECT MANAGER FOR PROJECT TEAM 2/13/84	e calculation n e calculation n erial 26564 JWB PRINCIPAL- IN-CHARGE 2/14/84	SRT (IF REQUIRED)

DESCRIPTION OF CONCERN (Continued):

10

- B. Reference 1 is to a Jan. 1980 version of BAW 1612, whereas as a minimum, Rev. 1
- (3/31/80) is known to exist.
 C. The conversion factor for ft³ to gal is incorrect. The correct value is 7.48 gal/ft³.
 D. Typographical error: "26.8³ ft/min" should be "26.8 ft³/min.

-

OP	INDEPENDENT I	AND RESOLVED (0	RUCTION VERIFI	CATION T
TYPE OF REPORT: OPE RES		RMED X	FILE NO. DOC NO. REV. NO.	<u>3201-008</u> 3201-008-C-153 0
DATES REPORTED TO:	LTR 2/10/84 SI	RT PROJE RGE 2/14/84 CPC/D	CT TEAM/PROJECT MO	GR. 2/13/84
STRUCTURE(S), SYSTEM Auxiliary Shutdown	s), OR COMPONENT(S Panel (20114)	5) INVOLVED:		
DCV PROGRAM AREA O	R TASK (IF APPLICAE	BLE):		
AFW Control System	ns (Topic 1.19-1)			
generator B. This 3970B isolating st generator B. A si	s implication co ceam generator A milar apparent	onflicts with P&ID and valves 3965B conflict exists on	M-439 which show and 3970A isolat control board 3	vs valves 3965A ar ting steam Cll in Unit No.1.
plant operator and exists on the main	result in oper control board	to C-11. (Drawing	supected that a swere not avail	a like problem lable to confirm
RECOMMENDATION Process per PQAP.	x OR RESOLUTION	ON:	supected that a s were not avail	lable to confirm
The apparent confi plant operator and exists on the main this.) RECOMMENDATION Process per PQAP. COMMENTS BY SRT (F M SWITCH POSITION ON	X OR RESOLUTION	ON: *3965A *3970A *3970B *3965A	supected that a swere not avail	A like problem Lable to confirm
The apparent confi plant operator and exists on the main this.) RECOMMENDATION Process per PQAP. COMMENTS BY SRT (F M SWITCH POSITION ON	X OR RESOLUTION REQUIRED): N PANEL 2C114: * Denot	ON: *3965A *3970A *3970B *3965A *3970B *3965A	position on the	a like problem Lable to confirm
The apparent confi plant operator and exists on the main this.) RECOMMENDATION Process per PQAP. Process per PQAP. COMMENTS BY SRT (IF F SWITCH POSITION OF E REFERENCES (INCL. RE P&ID M-439, Rev. Drawings J-909, R	REQUIRED): N PANEL 2C114: * Denot LATED OCR ITEM REJ 10, M-438, Rev. ev.10, J-727, Rej	<pre> *3965A *3970A *3970A *3965A *3970B *3965A *3970B *3965A *3970B *3965A *3965A *3970B *3965A *3065A *30</pre>	position on the	a like problem Lable to confirm
The apparent confi plant operator and exists on the main this.) RECOMMENDATION Process per PQAP. Process per PQAP. COMMENTS BY SRT (F M SWITCH POSITION ON E SWITCH POSITION ON E SWITCH POSITION ON E SWITCH POSITION ON SWITCH POSITION ON SUGNATURE(S):	REQUIRED): N PANEL 2C114: * Denot LATED OCR ITEM RED 10, M-438, Rev. ev.10, J-727, Red	ON: *3965A *3970A *3970A *3970B *3965A *3970B *3965A *3970B *3965A *3970B *3965A *3965A *3965A *3970B *3965A *3965A *3970B *3965A *3970B *3965A *3965A *3970B *3965A *3970B *3965A *3970B *3965A *3970B *3965A *3970B *3965A *3970B *3965A *3970B *3965A *3970B *3965A *3970B *3965A *3970B *3965A *3970B *3965A	position on the	A like problem Lable to confirm
The apparent confi plant operator and exists on the main this.) RECOMMENDATION Process per PQAP. Process per PQAP. Process per PQAP. COMMENTS BY SRT (IF F SWITCH POSITION OF SWITCH POSITION OF REFERENCES (INCL. RE P&ID M-439, Rev. Drawings J-909, R SIGNATURE(S): LDB OCR ITEM REPORT ORIGINATOR	REQUIRED): N PANEL 2C114: * Denot LATED OCR ITEM REI 10, M-438, Rev. ev.10, J-727, Rei LDB LTR	ON: *3965A *3970A *3970A *3970B *3965A tes relative switch POR'I NO.): 10 ev.12 HAL PROJECT MANAGER FOR PROJECT TEAM	position on the JWB PRINCIPAL- IN-CHARGE	sRT (IF REQUIRED)

4

.

10

*