

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT

PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ALL REQUIRED INFORMATION

CONTROL BLOCK: _____ ①

0 1 | M | S | G | S | S | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | _____ ⑤
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34
LICENSEE CODE LICENSE NUMBER LICENSE TYPE 57 CAT 58

CON'T
0 1 | R | E | P | O | R | T | S | O | U | R | C | E | L | 8 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 9 | 8 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 9
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34
REPORT SOURCE DOCKET NUMBER EVENT DATE REPORT DATE

EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES ⑭

0 2 | During performance of an ECCS surveillance test, the "B" Standby Fresh
0 3 | Air Unit to the CR was intentionally lost with a simulated loss of
0 4 | power. The "B" unit was required to be operating per T.S.3.7.2, Action
0 5 | (b.1) because "A" unit was inoperative. With both units inoperative, the
0 6 | requirements of T.S.3.7.2, Action (b.2) were met. This had no affect on
0 7 | the health and safety of the public and did not constitute a threat to
0 8 | plant safety. This is a final report. _____ 50

0 9 | SYSTEM CODE | CAUSE CODE | CAUSE SUBCODE | COMPONENT CODE | COMP. SUBCODE | VALVE SUBCODE
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16
S | G | X | Z | Z | Z | Z | Z | Z | Z | Z
17 | LER NO REPORT NUMBER | EVENT YEAR | SEQUENTIAL REPORT NO. | OCCURRENCE CODE | REPORT TYPE | REVISION NO.
18 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 3 | X | 1
19 | ACTION TAKEN | FUTURE ACTION | EFFECT ON PLANT | SHUTDOWN METHOD | HOURS | ATTACHMENT SUBMITTED | NRC-4 FORM SUB. | PRIME COMP. SUPPLIER | COMPONENT MANUFACTURER
20 | X | Z | Z | Z | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | N | N | Z | Z
21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | N | N | Z | Z
22 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 36 | 37 | 38 | 39 | 40 | 41 | 42 | 43 | 44 | 45 | 46 | 47 | 48 | 49 | 50
CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS ⑰

1 0 | The "B" unit was shed from the ESF bus and did not restart because no
1 1 | LOCA signal existed. The "A" unit was inoperative because of A/C com-
1 2 | pressor maintenance. The momentary loss of the ESF bus was caused by the
1 3 | performance of an ECCS loss of power surveillance test. The unit was
1 4 | restarted four hours later after the successful completion of the test. _____ 80

1 5 | FACILITY STATUS | % POWER | OTHER STATUS | METHOD OF DISCOVERY | DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION
28 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | NA | 30 | B | 31 | Surveillance Testing
1 6 | ACTIVITY CONTENT RELEASED OF RELEASE | AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY | LOCATION OF RELEASE
33 | Z | 34 | NA | 35 | NA | 36
1 7 | PERSONNEL EXPOSURES NUMBER | TYPE | DESCRIPTION
37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | NA
1 8 | PERSONNEL INJURIES NUMBER | DESCRIPTION
40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 | NA
1 9 | LOSS OF OR DAMAGE TO FACILITY TYPE | DESCRIPTION
42 | Z | 43 | NA
2 0 | PUBLICITY ISSUED | DESCRIPTION
44 | N | 45 | NA
8310030438 830920
PDR ADOCK 05000416
S PDR
NRC USE ONLY
68 69 _____ 80

GPO 81-7-926



MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

Helping Build Mississippi

P. O. BOX 1640, JACKSON, MISSISSIPPI 39205

93 SEP 23 Ag:

NUCLEAF. PRODUCTION DEPARTMENT

September 20, 1983

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Region II
101 Marietta St., N.W., Suite 2900
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Attention: Mr. J. P. O'Reilly, Regional Administrator

Dear Mr. O'Reilly:

SUBJECT: Grand Gulf Nuclear Station
Unit 1
Docket No. 50-416
License No. NPF-13
File: 0260/L-835.0
Update Report - LCO Entered Due to
Intentional Loss of SBFA Unit B
to Allow Performance of an ECCS
Surveillance Test
LER 83-113/03 X-1
AECM-83/0599

This letter submits an update to a previous report submitted on August 29, 1983. The event for which the report was submitted occurred on July 29, 1983, when during performance of an ECCS surveillance test, Control Room Standby Fresh Air Unit "B" was intentionally lost with a simulated loss of power. The "B" unit was required to be operating per Action (b.1) of Technical Specification 3.7.2 because the "A" unit was inoperative at the time. With both units inoperative, the requirements of Action (b.2) of Technical Specification 3.7.2 were met. The "B" unit was returned to operation immediately upon successful completion of the test (four hours later). This was reported pursuant to Technical Specification 6.9.1.13.b.

In our initial report we stated the event was reported pursuant to Technical Specification 6.9.1.13.b. We have since determined the event was not reportable as a reportable occurrence since all actions required by the Limiting Conditions for Operation were met. Attached is LER 83-113/03 X-1 which is a final report.

Yours truly,

L. F. Dale

for L. F. Dale
Manager of Nuclear Services

EBS/SHH:rg

Attachment

cc: See next page

Member Middle South Utilities System

IE 22
11

MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

cc: Mr. J. B. Richard (w/a)
Mr. R. B. McGehee (w/o)
Mr. T. B. Conner (w/o)
Mr. G. B. Taylor (w/o)

Mr. Richard C. DeYoung, Director (w/a)
Office of Inspection & Enforcement
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D. C. 20555

Document Control Desk (w/a)
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D. C. 20555