

# UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

# SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION SUPPORTING AMENDMENT NO. 68 TO PROVISIONAL OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-16

GPU NUCLEAR CORPORATION AND

JERSEY CENTRAL POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

OYSTER CREEK NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION

DOCKET NO. 50-219

### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

By letter dated January 21, 1983 GPU Nuclear Corporation (GPU) and Jersey Central Power & Light Company (the licensees) requested an amendment to Provisional Operating License No. DPR-16 for the Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station. This amendment would authorize changes to the administrative organization at the Oyster Creek plant by allocating personnel between the Maintenance and Construction Division and a newly established Plant Material Department.

A Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment and Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination and Opportunity for Hearing related to the requested action was published in the Federal Register on July 20, 1983 (48 FR 33081). A request for hearing and public comments were not received.

# 2.0 DISCUSSION AND EVALUATION

GPU proposed Technical Specification changes would revise various organizational positions and responsibilities. Specifically preventive maintenance would be the responsibility of the Plant Material Department, and corrective maintenance would be the responsibility of the Maintenance and Construction Division and would include all modifications, repairs and construction activities. The position of Manager Plant Maintenance would be retitled, Manager Plant Material; the position of Preventive Maintenance Manager would be retitled, Operational Maintenance Preventive Maintenance and Surveillance Manager; and the position of Instrument Maintenance Supervisor would be retitled, Area Supervisor Instrument and Computer Maintenance. In each case the qualifications for those positions would remain the same. In addition, the responsibilities and qualifications for the Maintenance and Construction Director would be added. GPU has defined the qualification requirements of this position to be equivalent and identical to that of the position of Manager Plant Material. The number of plan's personnel required to be members of the Plant Operations Review Committee (PCRC) would remain the same.

In addition, GPU proposes changing the required compliance for establishing, implementing, and maintaining written procedures from the 1972 ANSI Standard to the 1976 ANSI Standard. This change would provide continuity in the Technical Specifications for TMI-1, TMI-2 and Oyster Creek.

8310030272 830928 FDR ADDCK 05000219 PDR The guidance used by us in determining acceptability of the plant organization is contained in Regulatory Guide 1.33, Quality Assurance Program Requirements (Operation). The proposed changes are consistent with the criteria stated in the Regulatory Guide. Thus, we have concluded that all of the changes discussed above are administrative in nature, do not reduce the effectiveness for the management or safe operation of the Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station. Therefore, we find the proposed changes acceptable.

### 3.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

We have determined that the amendment does not authorize a change in effluent types or total amounts nor an increase in power level and will not result in any significant environmental impact. Having made this determination, we have further concluded that the amendment involves an action which is insignificant from the standpoint of environmental impact and, pursuant to  $10 \ \text{CFR } \$51.5(d)(4)$ , that an environmental impact statement or negative declaration and environmental impact appraisal need not be prepared in connection with the issuance of this amendment.

### 4.0 CONCLUSION

We have concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that:
(1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner; and (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations and the issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

# 5.0 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This evaluation was prepared by J. Lombardo.

Date: September 28, 1983