

U. S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
REGION III

Report No: 50-358/83-13

Docket No. 50-358

License No. CPPR-88

Licensee: Cincinnati Gas and Electric Company  
139 East 4th Street  
Cincinnati, OH 45201

Facility Name: Wm. H. Zimmer Nuclear Power Station

Inspection At: Wm. H. Zimmer Site, Moscow, OH

Inspection Conducted: August 8-12, and August 29-September 2, 1983

Inspectors: *RFW for*  
T. P. Gwynn

9/15/83  
(Date)

*RFW for*  
C. H. Scheibelhut

9/15/83  
(Date)

*RFW for*  
R. N. Gustafson

9/15/83  
(Date)

*RFW for*  
Approved By: W. L. Forney, Chief  
Section 1, Zimmer

9/15/83  
(Date)

Inspection Summary

Inspection during the period of August 8-12, and August 29-September 2, 1983 (Report No. 50-358/83-13(OSC))

Areas Inspected: Routine safety inspection by resident and regional personnel of licensee action on previously identified items, the safety-related equipment maintenance program, and on QCP tasks VI and IX activities. This inspection involved a total of 108 inspector-hours onsite by two NRC Region III inspectors, including 3 inspector-hours onsite during off-shift.

Results: Of the three areas inspected, no items of noncompliance or deviations were identified.

## DETAILS

### 1. Persons Contacted

#### Cincinnati Gas and Electric Company

J. Williams, Jr., Senior Vice President  
G. F. Cole, Assistant Vice President Nuclear Projects  
E. J. Wagner, Assistant Vice President Engineering  
J. R. Schott, Plant Manager  
G. C. Ficke, Licensing Manager, Nuclear Licensing Department  
J. F. Shaffer, Manager, Quality Assurance  
D. K. Hyster, Director, Quality Confirmation Program  
G. M. Orlov, Assistant Director, QCP  
B. K. Culver, Manager, Generation Construction Department  
G. A. Brown, Licensing Engineer, NLD  
D. J. Berkland, Licensing Engineer, NLD  
R. N. Taylor, Licensing Engineer, NLD  
D. J. Schulte, Director, Quality Engineering  
H. C. Brinkmann, Manager, Nuclear Engineering Department  
C. G. Foster, Jr., Manager, Administration & Training  
R. C. Rahn, Engineer, NED  
J. A. Mundo, Engineer, NED  
R. E. Donnellon, Preventive Maintenance Supervisor  
J. W. Anderson, Operations, Quality Control  
R. A. Kaer, QCP, Task 7  
B. A. Long, QCP, Task 6  
D. Stringer, QCP, Task 1  
C. W. Vincent, QCP, Civil/Structural

#### Sargent and Lundy

R. J. Pruski, Project Manager  
B. Larson, Structural Engineer  
B. L. Weinhold, Mechanical Engineer

#### Bechtel

J. D. Kloosterman, Licensing Engineer  
J. R. Pfefferle, Licensing Engineer  
R. K. Kelly, Civil Engineer

#### H. J. Kaiser

C. H. Stanfield, Construction Manager  
M. L. Goedecke, Welding Manager

#### Stone and Webster

A. Van Dyk, Engineer

And others of the station and construction project staffs.

All above attended the exit meeting.

2. Licensee Action on Previously Identified Items

(Closed) Open Item (358/81-28-03) "Surveillance report IM-50 dated August 6, 1981 was still open (no response) on October 30, 1981."

Further inspection revealed that the report was closed on November 4, 1981. A procedure has been approved, QA.NP.09, Rev. 1, on August 24, 1983 entitled "QA Surveillance Program." This procedure details the surveillance program activities and sets forth the actions to be taken if surveillance reports are not closed within a 30-day period. The procedure satisfies the concerns expressed by the open item.

No items of noncompliance or deviations were noted.

3. Safety Related Equipment Maintenance

Owner's Project Procedure 8.2 (Preventive Maintenance Program) has been drafted to address preventive maintenance, preservative maintenance, and protective maintenance of components, equipment, and systems. The procedure has not been approved as yet, and no comment will be made until review of the approved procedure.

Discussions with personnel who will implement the program indicate that considerable work is underway to determine the status of various systems to the extent currently possible and in developing master PM Program Sheets for the various systems. Plans are also being formulated to determine the internal condition of pumps, heat exchangers, and piping systems.

No items of noncompliance or deviations were noted.

4. Review of QCP Task IX Activities and Nonconformance Reports

a. Procedure Review

A review was made of the new QCP procedure addressing Task IX activities. The procedure number is QCPP 9.15, "Confirmation of Inspections for Essential Design Document Changes," Rev. 0, dated July 26, 1983. The review revealed that the QCP task IX personnel are being required to perform a judgment that appears to be inappropriate.

Attachment 7.3 to the procedure is a flow chart to guide the review of generic DDCs. The first block asks the question, "Does DDC relax or provide equal requirements or provide alternate design." We believe that a question of this type can only be answered by the design organization (S&L). Another block asks the question, "Does DDC provide first time requirements." Again, a question of this type can only be answered by S&L.

Presumably, questions of this type would be addressed by S&L during their review of DDCs to remain in consonance with the FSAR commitments or to amend the FSAR.

This is an open item pending clarification by the licensee. (358/83-13-01)

b. Nonconformance Reports - Disposition Review

A total of 118 Task IX closed NRs were reviewed for acceptability of dispositions. None were withheld for further study.

No items of noncompliance or deviations were noted.

5. Review of Task VI Nonconformance Reports

A total of 99 additional Task VI NRs were reviewed for acceptability of dispositions. Twenty-two were withheld for further study.

No items of noncompliance or deviations were noted.

6. Open Items

Open items are matters which have been discussed with the licensee, which will be reviewed further by the inspector, and which involve some action on the part of the NRC or licensee or both. An open item disclosed during the inspection is discussed in Paragraph 4.a.

7. Exit Interview

The inspectors met with the licensee representatives indicated in Section 1 on September 2, 1983 and summarized the scope and findings of the inspection activities. The licensee acknowledged the inspectors' findings.