70-2885 PDR Return to 39655

August 30, 1983

Mr Harold R. Denton, Director Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

\*83 SEP -9 A9:33

Subject: LaSalle County Station Unit 2

Construction Permit Extension Request NRC Docket No. 50-374

References (a): V. A. Moore letter to B. Lee, Jr. dated September 10, 1973 transmitting Construction Permit No. CPPR-100.

> (b): R. S. Boyd letter to B. Lee, Jr. dated May 31, 1978 transmitting extension to Construction Permit No. CPPR-100.

(c): O. D. Parr letter to D. L. Peoples dated January 17, 1980 transmitting extension to Construction Permit No. CPPR-100.

(d): R. A. Purple letter to L. O. DeGeorge dated May 3, 1982 Transmitting Extension to Construction Permit No. CPPR-100.

(e): L. O. DelGeorge letter to H. R. Denton dated March 2, 1982.

Dear Mr. Denton:

DOCKETED

USNRC

SEP 1 4 1983 >

MAIL SECTION

DOCKET CLERK

Commonwealth Edison requests an amendment to the LaSalle County Station Construction Permit CPPR-100 as issued by Reference (a) and amended by Reference (b), (c), and (d). This request is made pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 50.55(b). For the reasons delineated below, we request that the "latest completion date" be revised to December 31, 1983 for LaSalle County Unit 2. This amendment does not in the judgement of the applicant, involve a significant nazard consideration. The revised completion date reflects a conservative estimate of actual construction and testing completion allowing margin for potential future delays. The specific bases upon which this request for extension is founded, are the following:

- Because Unit 2 Fuel Load is scheduled for September 30, 1983, any slippage would bring us beyond our Construction Permit expiration date.
- Potential delays due to late part delivery has, and continues to, impact Preoperational Testing. As testing on Unit 2 continues requirements for parts for equipment replacement and maintenance continue to show up. As

8309280559 B30830 PDR ADDCK 05000374 A PDR 22793

discussed in Reference (e) paragraph 2, delivery of key parts may impact our schedule of Preoperational Completion. Our primary concerns are instrumentation and valve delivery.

It should again be emphasized that the factors addressed in this request do not involve a significant hazard consideration. There are no major unreviewed safety questions involved as evidenced by the statement of the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards (ACRS) in the letter from J. Carson Mark to J. M. Hendrie dated April 16, 1981. In addition, Supplement 5 to NUREG 0519, the Safety Evaluation Report stated to the operation of LaSalle County Station, Units 1 and 2, states:

"On the basis of its review, the NRC staff concludes that subject to certain license conditions and the favorable resolution of the other items discussed herein, the LaSalle County Station Unit 2 may be operated safely in accordance with the license conditions and Technical Specifications without undue risk to the health and safety of the general public."

Also attached is a proposed "Finding of No Significant Impact" (FONSI) prepared by Commonwealth Edison to address the negative environmental impact of this construction permit extension request.

Three (3) signed originals and thirty-seven (37) copies of this submittal are provided for your review and approval.

Enclosed is a check in the amount of \$1,200.00 submitted in accordance with the fee schedule defined in Section 170.22 of 10 CFR 170, in support of this construction permit extension request.

Director of Nuclear Licensing

1 m

cc: Mr. R. G. Page - Uranium Fuel Licensing Branch-Division of Fuel Cycle and Material Safety

NRC Resident Inspector - LSCS

SUBSCRIBED and SWORN to before me this 30+ day of audiot

Notary Public