NINETY-BOHTH CONGRESS

MORRIS K. UDALL, ARIZ., CHAIRMAN

EDWARD J. MARKEY, MASS. BALTASAR CORRADA, P.R. AUSTIN J. MURPHY, PA. NICK JOE RAHALL B. W. VA. BRUCE F. VENTO, MINN. JERRY HUCKABY, LA. DALE E. KILDEE, MICH. TONY COELHO, CALIF. BEVERLY & BYLON, MD. RON DE LUGO, V.I. SAMUEL GELDENSON, CONN. WILLIAM PATMAN, TEX. PETER H. KOSTMAYER, PA. JAMES MOODY, WIS ALAN B. MOLLOHAN, W. VA JAMES MCCLURE CLARKE, N.C. JAMES F. MCNULTY, JR., ARIZ. RICHARD H. LEHMAN, CALIF

PHILLIP BURTON, CALIF. ARRAHAM KAZEN, JR., TEX. JOHN F SEIBERUNG, OHIO ANTONIO BORJA WON PAT, GUAM JAMES WEAVER, OREG. MON MARIENT, UTAH MANUEL LUGAL ROBERT J. LAGOMARSINO, CALIF. DAN MARIENT, UTAH RON MARIENT, UTAH RICHARD B. CHENEY, WYO. CHARLES PASHAYAN, JR., CALIF. LARRY CRAIO, IDAHO LARRY CRAIG, IDAHO HANK BROWN, COLO. DENNY SMITH, OREG. JAMES V. HANSEN UTAH BILL EMERSON, MO JOHN MCCAIN, ARIZ BARBARA VUCANOVICH. NEV.

COMMITTEE ON INTERIOR AND INSULAR AFFAIRS

U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES WASHINGTON, D.C. 20515

June 29, 1983

STANLEY SCOVILLE STAFF DIRECTOR AND COUNSEL

BOY JONES ASSOCIATE STAFF DIRECTOR

LEE MC ELVAIN GENERAL COUNSEL

TIMOTHY W. GLIDDEN REPUBLICAN COUNSEL

The Honorable Nunzio Palladino Chairman United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Mr. Chairman:

At the Subcommittee's February 22 hearing on the Commission's FY 1984 and 1985 authorization legislation, I said it was important to determine whether the GPU v. B&W trial record contains information bearing on the question of GPU's meeting the competence and character requirements of a holder of a reactor Operating License. I reiterated this concern in a February 22 letter. In the Commission's June 1 response to my letter, Acting Chairman Ahearne stated that the Commission shared my view that " ... the Babcock and Wilcox (B&W) General Public Utilities (GPU) litigation be carefully reviewed as expressed in your February 25, 1983 letter ..."

I have been informed that at a Commission meeting on June 21 the Commissioners and staff engaged in discussion as to whether the trial record contained information that would affect the Commission's determination on the question of GPU management integrity. While I am making no judgement as to the outcome of a review of the trial record, I have been told by my staff that the record does contain significant information bearing on the following questions that relate to the integrity issue:

- Did GPU fulfill its obligation to provide the NRC documents, including analyses of the accident, pertaining to competence and integrity of pre-accident management?
- Did GPU fulfill its obligation to inquire into allegations of willful violations of the Commission's regulations, to take action against persons who might have so violated the regulations, and to report fully to the Commission on such inquiries and actions?

8309280173 830803 PDR COMMS NRCC CORRESPONDENCE PDR

- Did GPU fulfill its obligation to be fully forthcoming in its responses to NRC requests for information pertaining to the accident and its underlying causes?
- Did GPU withhold from the Commission information that might have jeopalized its position in litigation of its claims against B&W and the United States?

In view of the existence of diverse views on the nature of the integrity issue and the manner in which it should be addressed, I am writing to enumerate questions that I believe need to be examined in order to resolve the matter.

Mo Ulau

MORRIS K. UDALL Chairman

. . . .