

FROM Chairman Palladino	ACTION CONTROL	DATES	CONTROL NO.
	COMPL DEADLINE	2/2/84	14029
TO Dircks	INTERIM REPLY	878784	DATE OF DOCUMENT
	FINAL REPLY	<i>15/Dircks</i>	2/2/84
	FILE LOCATION	<i>2884</i>	PREPARE FOR SIGNATURE OF:
			<input type="checkbox"/> CHAIRMAN
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
			OTHER

DESCRIPTION LETTER MEMO REPORT OTHER

Questions concerning Byron Plant

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS OR REMARKS

PRIORITY

ASSIGNED TO	DATE	INFORMATION ROUTING
Denton, HRR	2/3/84	Dircks Case/Denton
Eisenhut	2/6/84	Roe 1. PPAS
		Refo 2. Speis
		Stello 3. Mattson
		Keppier 4. Vollmer
		DeYoung 5. Thompson
		GCunningham 6. Grace
		7. Snyder

NRC FORM 232
(6-80)

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR FOR OPERATIONS
PRINCIPAL CORRESPONDENCE CONTROL

UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

FEB 2 1984

OFFICE OF THE
CHAIRMAN

NOTE FOR: William J. Dircks, EDO

FROM: Nunzio J. Palladino
Chairman

Bill --

Could you please prepare an "A" for the attached "Q".

cc: Commissioner Gilinsky
Commissioner Roberts
Commissioner Asselstine
Commissioner Bernthal
OCA
OPA

Rec'd Off. EDO
Date... 2-3-84
Time... 12:05 p.m.

8402140484 840208
PDR COMMS NRCC
CORRESPONDENCE PDR

Q&A

ACCORDING TO THE CHICAGO TRIBUNE, NRC WAITED 2 1/2 YEARS BEFORE ALERTING OTHER UTILITIES ABOUT SAFETY PROBLEMS AT BYRON.

PART A

- 1) WHY DID NRC WAIT?
- 2) ~~A~~ ALSO, WERE THE COMMISSIONERS INFORMED OF THESE PROBLEMS, AND IF SO, WHEN?
- 3) DOES THE BYRON DECISION INDICATE A CONTINUING INABILITY ON THE PART OF OUR INSPECTION PROGRAM, EITHER NATIONWIDE OR IN REGION III, TO DETECT IN A TIMELY MANNER CONSTRUCTION QA PROBLEMS?
- 4) SHOULD WE REINSTITUTE THE INDEPENDENT DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION REVIEWS FOR NTOL PLANTS?

PART B

- 5) WILL THE BYRON SITUATION BE COVERED IN THE REPORT SENT TO CONGRESS UNDER THE FORD AMENDMENT?