

COMMITTEE TO BRIDGE THE GAP

1637 BUTLER AVENUE #203
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90025
(213) 478-0829

DOCKETED
USNRC

September 14, 1983
83 SEP 19 AM 1:49

John H. Frye, III, Chairman
Administrative Judge
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dr. Glenn C. Bright
Administrative Judge
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

OFFICE OF SECRETARY
ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING SERVICE
BRANCH

Dr. Emmeth A Luebke
Administrative Judge
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

In the Matter of
The Regents of the University of California
(UCLA Research Reactor)
Docket No. 50-142
(Proposed Renewal of Facility License)

Dear Administrative Judges:

In your Memorandum and Order of September 2, 1983, you asked CBG to file an outline of our proposed rebuttal testimony by September 26.

During the conference call memorialized in that Memorandum and Order, there was some discussion whether other parties might be contemplating some rebuttal. It was made clear that any such rebuttal, in keeping with your Order of April 7 (p. 3), would be limited to new material not included in CBG's declarations submitted in January, as those declarations amounted to CBG pre-filing six months early its direct case and any rebuttal to that material was to have been included in the direct testimony already filed.

While we understand that no party can anticipate what new matters might occur in the upcoming cross-examination and rebuttal, CBG is concerned that parties may attempt to go beyond the restrictions the Board has set on the scope of rebuttal with regards CBG's direct case. As CBG's direct testimony is almost verbatim taken from the declarations which the parties have had since January and were supposed to have put forth any response in their June pre-filed testimony, and as the October schedule is quite tight, CBG requests that, should a party feel that there is new material in CBG's direct case which it intends to present rebuttal regarding, that the parties also file rebuttal outlines by September 26, identifying the particular paragraphs of the testimony it asserts are new and that it wishes to rebut.

Respectfully submitted,

Daniel Hirsch
Daniel Hirsch

8309200339 830914
PDR ADOCK 05000142
G PDR

DS03