ORNL/NOAC-276

REVIEW OF THE OPERATING EXPERIENCE FOR SOUTH TEXAS 1 AND 2

FROM JANUARY 1991 - DECEMBER 1992

Engineering Technology Division Nuclear Operations Analysis Center

March 1993

Prepared for the NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION OFFICE FOR ANALYSIS AND EVALUATION OF OPERATIONAL DATA under Interagency Agreement DOE 1886-8913-5A

NRC FIN No. A9135

Prepared by the OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831 operated by MARTIN MARIETTA ENERGY SYSTEMS, INC. for the U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

9502070163 940602 PDR FOIA LAWRENC94-162 PDR

Table of Contents

List	of Tables .		ş
1.0	INTRODU	CTION	L
2.0	ANALYSIS	OF LERS AS A FUNCTION OF REPORTARY ITY CODES	
	2.1	10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(iv) ESF Actuations	1
		2.1.1 South Texas 1	l
		2.1.2 South Texas 2	l
	2.2	10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(i) Unanalyzed Conditions	ŀ
2.0			
3.0	ANALYSIS	OF PERSONNEL ERRORS	í
	3.1	Intrinsic Human Errors	i
	3.2	Task Description Inadequacy	
4.0	ANALYSIS	OF COMPONENT FAILURES	
	4.1	AC Circuit Breakers	1
	4.2	Toxic Gas Primary Elements	f
	4.3	Cables and Wires	ľ
	4.4	Isolation Valves	
	4.5	Fasteners	
6.6			
5.0	ANALYSIS	OF SYSTEM AND TRAIN OCCURRENCES	
	5.1	Residual Heat Removal System	
	5.2	Primary Coolant System	
	5.3	Auxiliary Feedwater System	
	5.4	Chilled Water System	
APP	ENDIX A:	LISTING OF ABSTRACTS FOR SOUTH TEXAS 1 AND 2 LERS A-1	

List of Tables

Table 1.1	New 3- and 4-Loop Westinghouse Plant Peer Group 2
Table 2.1	Comparison of Reportability Codes at South Texas 1 and 2 and Other Peer Group Plants
Table 2.2	Number of LERs Reporting ESF Actuations at South Texas 1 and 2 and Other Peer Group Plants
Table 2.3	Number of LERs reporting RPS Actuations While Critical at South Texas 1 and 2 and Other Peer Group Plants
Table 3.1	Personnel Activity Versus Cause For Personnel Errors at South Texas 1
Table 3.2	Personnel Activity Versus Cause For Personnel Errors at Other Peer Group Plants (average number of errors per plant)
Table 3.3	Personnel Activity Versus Cause for Personnel Errors at South Texas 2
Table 4.1	Dominant Component Failures at South Texas 1 and 2 and Other Peer Group Plants
Table 5.1	Summary of Train Failures at South Texas 1 and 2 and Other Peer Group Plants 16
Table 5.2	Summary of System Occurrences at South Texas 1 and 2 and Other Peer Group Plants
Table A.1	Listing of LERs in Analyzed Categories A-2
Table A.2	Table A.2 Abstracts of LERs Reported at South Texas 1 and 2

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The Nuclear Operations Analysis Center (NOAC) was requested by NRC's Office for Analysis and evaluation of Operational Data (AEOD) to review the operating experience from January 1991 through December 1992 for the South Texas 1 and 2 plants. This review will assist NRC staff in preparing for a Diagnostic Team evaluation of the South Texas 1 and 2 plants.

As compared to other operating experience reviews conducted by NOAC, this review focused on selected areas and will not provide overall findings regarding plant operations. Any findings or observations are relevant only to the specific area analyzed.

Tables 1.1 through 5.2 in the report reflect the same information normally compiled for a comprehensive review of operating experience. Based on a review of this data, the following areas were chosen for further analysis:

- Licensee Event Reports (LERs) involving reportability criterion 50.73(a)(2)(iv)
 ESF actuations (Table 2.1)
- LERs involving reportability criterion 50.73(a)(2)(ii) Unanalyzed conditions (Table 2.1)
- Personnel errors involving intrinsic human error associated with operations activities (Tables 3.1 through 3.3)
- Personnel errors involving task description inadequacies associated with testing/calibration and operations activities (Tables 3.1 through 3.3)
- Component failures involving AC circuit breakers, toxic gas primary elements, cables and wires, isolation valves, and fasteners (Table 4.1)
- Train and system occurrences involving the residual heat removal, primary coolant, auxiliary feedwater, and chilled water systems (Tables 5.1, 5.2).

The operating performance of South Texas 1 and 2 is compared to other plants similar in design. Table 1.1 describes all of the plants in the peer group of new 3- and 4-loop Westinghouse reactors. All peer group data presented excludes the contribution of South Texas 1 and 2 to the peer group averages.

The data in the tables was derived from LER information contained in the Sequence Coding and Search System (SCSS). The indicated number of personnel errors, component failures, system occurrences, etc., presented in the tables reflects actual numbers of errors or failures as encoded in SCSS, not a count of LERs involving those failures. Note that a single LER may involve multiple errors or failures, resulting in more errors and failures than LERs.

Appendix A lists the abstracts of events for South Texas 1 and 2 which were included in this review. Three LERs which occurred in 1992 were not yet available in the SCSS database (498/92-021, 499/92-009, 499/92-010). LER 498/92-021 describes a technical specification violation caused by a failure to properly perform response time testing of the main steam isolation bypass valves. LER 499/92-009 describes a missed surveillance caused by a faulty modem from a toxic gas monitor. LER 499/92-010 describes a reactor trip caused by failure of a driver card in the control system for a feedwater control valve.

1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	 _		
		-	

New 3- and 4-Loop Westinghouse Plant Peer Group

Plant Name	Docket	Initial Criticality	Commercial Operation	Electrical Rating
Beaver Valley 2	412	8/4/87	11/17/87	833
Braidwood 1	456	5/29/87	7/29/88	1120
Braidwood 2	457	3/8/88	10/17/88	1120
Byron 1	454	2/2/85	9/16/85	1120
Byron 2	455	1/9/87	8/21/87	1120
Callaway 1	483	10/2/84	12/19/84	1171
Catawba 1	413	1/7/85	6/29/85	1145
Catawba 2	414	5/8/86	8/19/86	1145
Comanche Peak 1	445	4/3/90	8/13/90	1150
Diablo Canyon 1	275	4/29/84	5/7/85	1086
Diablo Canyon 2	323	8/19/85	3/13/86	1119
Harris 1	400	1/3/87	5/2/87	900
McGuire 1	369	8/8/81	12/1/81	1180
McGuire 2	370	5/8/83	3/1/84	1180
Millstone Point 3	423	1/23/86	4/23/86	1154
Seabrook 1	443	6/13/89	8/19/90	1200
Sequoyah 1	327	7/5/80	7/1/81	1148
Sequoyab 2	328	11/5/81	6/1/82	1148
South Texas 1	498	3/8/88	8/25/88	1250
South Texas 2	499	3/12/89	6/19/89	1250
Summer 1	395	10/22/82	1/1/84	900
Vogtle 1	424	3/9/87	6/1/87	1101
Vogtle 2	425	3/28/89	5/20/89	1101
Wolf Creek 1	482	5/22/85	9/3/85	1170

2.0 ANALYSIS OF LERS AS A FUNCTION OF REPORTABILITY CODES

Table 2.1 compares the percentage of LERs in various reportability categories for events occurring at South Texas 1 and 2 to the peer group percentages. This table indicates that both South Texas 1 and 2 reported a higher percentage of events resulting in ESF actuations than the peer group. South Texas 2 also reported a higher percentage of LERs classified as unanalyzed conditions than the peer group. Events at both South Texas 1 and 2 in all other categories were at or below peer group percentages. The following sections provide detailed analyses of events which: (1) resulted 'a ESF actuations, or (2) were classified as unanalyzed conditions.

2.1 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(iv) ESF Actuations

As shown in Table 2.2, South 1 exas 1 and 2 ranked fourth and second in the peer group, respectively, in the number of event, that resulted in ESF actuations. During the review period, South Texas 1 reported 22 events, and South Texas 2 reported 15 events. Included in the ESF actuations are events that resulted in RPS actuations. Table 2.3 compares the number of events that resulted in RPS actuations while the reactor was critical for each plant in the peer group. South Texas 2 ranked second in the peer group with 7 RPS actuations, and South Texas 1 was average with 4 RPS actuations.

2.1.1 South Texas 1. Three of the four RPS actuations at South Texas 1 involved personnel errors (498/91-021, 91-022, 92-003). These include operations, maintenance and administrative errors. Personnel errors are further described in Section 3. The fourth RPS actuation (498/91-012) involved random failure of a timer relay.

Three events involved spurious high readings on a toxic gas analyzer for the control room (498/91-003, 91-010, 91-017). Two events (498/92-001, 91-008) involved spurious actuation of the containment ventilation isolation system. One event (498/91-015) involved failure in a sequencer test circuit, which resulted in an inadvertent start of an auxiliary feedwater pump. One event involved breaker phase-to-ground flashover caused by a failure of a snap ring which held the connecting pin in place (498/91-007). The remaining 11 events (498/91-002, 91-004, 91-008, 91-013, 92-005, 92-007, 92-009, 92-010, 92-014, 92-015, 92-016) involved personnel errors, including operations, maintenance and administrative errors. These errors are further described in Section 3.

2.1.2 South Texas 2. Three of the seven RPS actuations at South Texas 2 involved personnel errors (499/001, 91-007, 91-010). These included operations, maintenance and administrative errors, which are further described in Section 3. Two RPS actuations were caused by a difference in saturation rates of the current transformer associated with relay 87-1/G1 (499/91-003, 91-004). One event (499/92-001) was associated with a failed diode, which resulted in dropping a control rod into the reactor core. One event (499/92-003) involved the loss of all three turbine-driven steam generator feedwater pumps due to rain leakage into the electrohydraulic control cabinet that housed the controls for the three pumps.

Three of the ESF actuations involved spurious high readings of the toxic gas monitor for the control room (495/91-005, 91-006, 92-008). Two events involved spurious actuation of the containment ventilation isolation system (499/91-008, 92-005). One event (499/91-009) involved failure in a sequencer test circuit, which resulted in an inadvertent start of an auxiliary feedwater pump. This event was similar to 498/91-015. One event (499/92-006) involved the failure of both power supplies for the digital rod position indication panel. One event (499/92-007) involved inadequate training to prevent "burping" of solenoid operated valves.

Reportability Category	Percentage of all Peer Groups LERs	Percentage of South Texas 1 LERs	Percentage of South Texas 2 LERs
10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(iv) ESF Actuations	35	50	83
10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(i) Shutdowns or Technical Specification Violations	51	39	6
Other: Voluntary report, special report, Part 21 report, etc.	8	9	
10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(v) Event that could have prevented fulfillment of a safety function	8	7	
10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(vii) Single failure criteria	7	5	- 14
10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(ii) Unanalyzed condition	7	5	11

Table 2.1 Comparison of Reportability Codes at South Texas 1 and 2 and Other Peer Group Plants

Table 2.2

Number of LERs Reporting ESF Actuations at South Texas 1 and 2 and Other Peer Group Plants

Plant	Docket	Number of LERs Reporting ESF Actuations
Comanche 1	445	24
South Texas 2	499	22
Vogtle 2	425	16
South Texas 1	498	15
Diablo Canyon 1	275	13
McGuire 2	370	12
Vogtle 1	424	12
Seabrook 1	443	12
Millstone 3	423	10
Catawba 2	414	9
Braidwood 1	456	9
Beaver Valley 2	412	9
Shearon Harris 1	400	9
Braidwood 2	457	8
Catawba 1	413	8
Callaway 1	483	8
Sequoyah 2	328	8
Wolf Creek 1	482	8
McGuire 1	369	7
Sequoyeb 1	327	7
Byron 2	455	6
Summer 1	395	5
Diablo Canyon 2	323	4
Byron 1	454	3

Plant	Docket	Number of LERs Reporting RPS Actuations While Critical
Comanche 1	445	10
South Texas 2	499	7
McGuire 2	370	7
Seabrook 1	443	7
Diablo Canyon 1	275	6
Braidwood 2	457	6
Vogtle 2	425	5
South Texas 1	498	4
McGuire 1	369	4
Catawba 1	413	4
Millstone 3	423	4
Callaway 1	483	4
Shearon Harris 1	400	4
Sequoyah 2	328	4
Sequoyah 1	327	3
Catawba 2	414	2
Byron 2	455	2
Wolf Creek 1	482	2
Summer 1	395	2
Braidwood 1	456	1
Byron 1	454	1
Vogtle 1	424	1
Beaver Valley 2	412	1999 - 1997 - 1977 - 19

Table 2.3

Number of LERs reporting RPS Actuations While Critical at South Texas 1 and 2 and Other Peer Group Plants

2.2 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(i) Unanalyzed Conditions

South Texas 1 and 2 each had 2 events classified as unanalyzed conditions. The events at South Texas 1 included improper design of the pressurizer safety relief valves (498/91-024) and the power operated relief valve on the cold overpressure mitigation system (498/92-019). These events were discovered through NRC information notices and through industry notifications. A justification for continued operation was issued in each case.

The events at South Texas 2 is duded a failure to properly update the technical specification for an overtemperature delta temperature trip setpoint (499/92-002) and identification of a need to revise technical specification 3.6.3 to help prevent unnecessary shutdowns due to loss of containment isolation valves (499/092-004).

3.0 ANALYSIS OF PERSONNEL ERRORS

Summaries of personnel errors reported at South Texas 1 and 2 are presented in Tables 3.1 and 3.2, respectively. A summary of average personnel errors for the peer group is presented in Table 3.3 for comparison. The tables indicate that South Texas 1 has a much higher number of personnel errors reported than the peer group average during the review period. Intrinsic human errors and task description inadequacies were particularly numerous at South Texas 1. South Texas 2 reported a lower number of personnel errors than the peer group average in every category.

3.1 Intrinsic Human Errors

Eleven events at South Texas 1 involved intrinsic human errors involving administrative activities. Of these, eight were directly related to task description inadequacies, and are discussed in Section 3.2. One event not related to task description inadequacies involved misunderstanding of the requirements of the containment integrity technical specification, resulting in a violation (498/92-002). The other two events involved late reporting of technical specification violations due to inadequate understanding of the reporting requirements (498/91-010, 92-009).

Five events involved intrinsic human errors involving operations activities. Two of these events involved poor communication: one during performance of the ESF power availability surveillance (498/91-006), and the other during addition of corrosion inhibitor, which resulted in failure to reopen the makeup water valve to the component cooling water surge tank (498/92-016).

The other three events involved inattention to detail, including:

- delay in noticing improper sequencing of loads following startup of a diesel generator (498/91-008)
- failure to notice improper positioning of the auxiliary feedwater flow control valves (498/92-006)
- failure to properly follow procedures, resulting in actuation of a component cooling water pump (498/92-015).

3.2 Task Description Inadequacy

Twelve events at South Texas 1 involved testing/calibration procedural deficiencies (four events had inadequacies which applied to both South Texas 1 and 2, which resulted in a total of 16 deficiencies counted in the database). These procedural inadequacies included the following:

- three events associated with surveillance procedures developed by someone unfamiliar with the systems (498/92-004, 92-013, 92-017). Procedural reviews were not adequate to detect these procedural errors
- lack of controls to ensure that the fuel handling building truck door remains closed during refueling (498/91-005)

DRAFT

Personnel Activity	Intrinsic Human Error	Task Description Inadequacy	Unknown Cause	Inadequate Man- Machine Interface	Proper Human Action	Total
Maintenance	4	7	1	0	0	12
Testing/ Calibration	6	16	0	0	0	22
Design	4	0	0	0	0	4
Administrative	11	2	0	0	0	13
Operations	6	6	0	0	2	14
Installation	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fabrication	4	0	0	0	0	4
Radiation Protection	0	0	0	0	0	0
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	35	31	1	0	2	69

Table 3.1 Personnel Activity Versus Cause For Personnel Errors at South Texas 1

 Table 3.2
 Personnel Activity Versus Cause For Personnel Errors at Other Peer Group Plants (average number of errors per plant)

Personnel Activity	Intrinsic Human Error	Task Description Inadequacy	Unknown Cause	Inadequate Man-Machine Interface	Proper Human Action	Total
Maintenance	4	3	0	0	0	7
Testing/ Calibration	4	9	0	0	0	13
Design	4	0	0	0	0	4
Administrative	5	1	0	0	0	6
Operations	3	3	0	0	0	6
Installation	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fabrication	1	0	0	0	0	1
Radiation Protection	0	0	C	0	0	0
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0
Uaknown	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	21	16	0	0	0	37

Personnel Activity	Intrinsic Human Error	Task Description Inadequacy	Unknown Cause	Inadequate Man- Machine Interîace	Proper Human Action	Total
Maintenance	2	1	0	1	0	4
Testing/ Calibration	0	1	0	0	0	1
Design	0	0	0	0	0	0
Administrative	4	0	0	0	0	4
Operations	3	1	0	0	2	6
Installation	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fabrication	0	0	0	0	0	0
Radiation Protection	0	0	0	0	0	0
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unknown	0	0	1	0	0	1
lotal	9	3	1	1	2	16

Table 3.3 Personnel Activity Versus Cause for Personnel Errors at South Texas

- lack of controls to ensure surveillance testing is performed, when not completed within one shift (498/91-016)
- post maintenance test procedure inadequate to identify operability of the rod position deviation monitor (498/91-020)
- insufficient emphasis on the risk associated with performance of a calibration of the reactor coolant flow transmitter (498/92-003)
- lack of guidance for performing a surveillance test of a component cooling water pump (498/92-005)
- lack of distinction between steps which verify equipment startup and steps which require an attempted startup (498/92-009)
- lack of complete procedures for changing component cooling water pump configurations (498/92-010)
- improper sequence of steps in the containment ventilation isolation actuation and response time test (498/92-014)
- poor administrative review of the power operated relief valve setpoint curves for the cold overpressure mitigation system (498/92-019).

Six events involved operational procedure inadequacies. These events included:

- lack of controls to ensure that the fuel handling building truck door remains closed during refueling (498/91-005)
- using the wrong procedure during the performance of the ESF power availability surveillance (498/91-006)
- lack of proper procedures following a partial loss of offsite power (498/91-008)
- lack of controls to ensure proper positioning of the auxiliary feedwater flow control valves (498/92-006)
- poorly written procedures requiring extra operator attention (498/92-015)
- lack of procedural step to verify valve position during injection of corrosion inhibitor to the component cooling water surge tank (498/92-016)

4.0 ANALYSIS OF COMPONENT FAILURES

Single failures of components are not generally required to be reported in LERs. However, component failures are frequently initiators of reportable events. These failures can be analyzed to determine trends, however this analysis should not be confused with a comprehensive component failure analysis.

Table 4.1 presents the dominant component failures at South Texas 1 and 2 and compares these to peer group averages. Failures are defined as actual or potential undesired equipment performance which would result in a repair action. A repair action would include replacing a power supply, rebuilding a pump, or repacking a valve. Resetting switches or manipulating valves do not constitute repair actions.

4.1 AC Circuit Breakers

South Texas 1 reported four occurrences involving AC detail breakers, as compared to none for South Texas 2 and an average of one for the peer group. These occurrences were reported in two LERs. One event (498/91-008) involved improper lubricatic 1 of a load center feeder breaker. Not only was the breaker not greased as needed, but an improper grease was used. The other event (498/91-007) involved the failure of a snap ring which resulted in a phase-to-ground flashover.

4.2 Toxic Gas Primary Elements

South Texas 2 reported three occurrences involving toxic gas primary elements, as compared to none at South Texas 1 and an average less than one for the peer group. These occurrences include two spurious actuation signals due to a failed circuit board (499/91-006) and one spurious signal due to a failed infrared source (499/92-008).

4.3 Cables and Wires

South Texas 1 reported three occurrences involving cables and wires, as compared to none at South Texas 2 and an average of one for the peer group. Two occurrences involved cracked insulation on the leads to all of the residual heat removal motors (498/91-023). These cracks did not result in a failure of the motors. The other occurrence involved a random failure of a connection to a radiation monitor, which resulted in a spurious actuation of the containment ventilation isolation system at South Texas 1 (498/92-008).

4.4 Isolation Valves

South Texas 2 reported three occurrences involving isolation valves, as compared to none at South Texas 1 and an average of one for the peer group. One occurrence involved a leaking pressurizer spray valve which was blocked in during a pressure transient, thus contributing to the opening of the pressurizer relief valves (499/91-007). The other occurrences involved the failure of both containment isolation valves for penetration M-86. The cause of the failures was not identified in the LER.

4.5 Fasteners

South Texas 1 reported two occurrences involving fasteners, and South Texas 2 reported three occurrences, as compared to an average of one for the peer group. One occurrence involved loose screws on a fuse block (499/91-001). Two occurrences involved disengaged linkage arms on spray valves in the safety injection system (499/91-010). Both occurrences at South Texas 1 involved a failed snap ring on a breaker, which resulted in a breaker phase-to-ground flashover (498/91-007).

Component	Peer Group Plants (avg)	South Texas	South Texas 2
AC Circuit Breaker	1	4	0
Toxic Gas Primary Element	0	0	3
Cable/Wire	1	3	0
Isolation Valve	1	0	3
Fastener	1	2	3

Table 4.1 Dominant Component Failures at South Texas 1 and 2 and Other Peer Group Plants

5.0 ANALYSIS OF SYSTEM AND TRAIN OCCURRENCES

Table 5.1 summarizes the dominant train failures at South Texas 1 and 2 during the review period, and compares these to the peer group averages. Table 5.2 summarizes the dominant system occurrences and compares South Texas 1 and 2 to the peer group averages. These failures and occurrences are defined as undesired performance, alignments, or configurations of systems, not just catastrophic failures or instances of systems not performing when called upon.

5.1 Residual Heat Removal System

For South Texas 1, a total of five residual heat removal train failures, and 16 system occurrences, were counted in the SCSS database. While these figures are much higher than peer group averages, note that these occurrences were reported in three events (still a higher figure than the peer group average). Two events were caused by tripping electrical breakers during refueling outages (498/91-007, 91-008). One event involved the discovery of cracking of the motor lead insulation on all residual heat removal pumps (498/91-023). The cracks did not lead to a loss of the pumps.

5.2 Primary Coolant System

For South Texas 1, a total of four train failures were reported in three separate LERs. Two of the events involved intrinsic human error which resulted in reactor trips. One event (498/91-022) involved an operator failing to properly perform a functional test of the solid state protection system logic train, the other event (498/91-021) involved an electrician misapplying multimeter test leads resulting in actuation of a lockout relay and loss of power. The third event involving train failure resulted from review of NRC information notice 89-90, which indicated that the pressurizer safety relief valves were improperly designed (498/92-024).

5.3 Auxiliary Feedwater System

South Texas 1 reported one auxiliary feedwater train failure, and South Texas 2 reported two, as compared to a peer group average of two failures. These events include mispositioning the four auxiliary feedwater flow control valves at South Texas 1 (498/92-006), and failure to perform a required pressure test before placing a steam supply line to a turbine-driven pump in service at South Texas 2 (499/91-002). Neither event resulted in a loss of auxiliary feedwater.

5.4 Chilled Water System

South Texas 1 reported a technical specification violation when one chiller was declared inoperable due to a low oil level indication while another chiller was also inoperable. This event lasted for less than 10 minutes (498/92-001). Note that investigation of this event revealed that other occurrences similar to this event were not properly identified as violations.

DRAFT

System	Average number of Train Failures at Peer Group Plants	Number of Train Failures at South Texas 1	Number of Train Failures at South Texas 2
Residual Heat Removal	1	5	0
Primary Coolant	1	4	2
Auxiliary Feedwater	2	1	2
Chilled Water	0	1	1

Table 5.1	Summary of Train Failures at South Teras 1 a	nd 2 and Other Peer Group Plants
and the second	Same of a reason a children of al official I CAGO I d	no 2 and Chuci Feel Group Flants

Table 5.2 Summary of System Occurrences at South Texas 1 and 2 and Other Peer Gro	up Plants
---	-----------

System	Average Number of system failures at Peer Group Plants	Number of system failures at South Texas 1	Number of system failures at South Texas 2
Residual Heat Removal	3	16	0
Nonnuclear Instrumentation	10	14	11
Containment Isolation	6	4	14
High Voltage AC	3	13	4
Reactor Protection	3	12	2
Low Voltage AC	4	11	4
Component Cooling Water	2	11	2

APPENDIX A: LISTING OF ABSTRACTS FOR SOUTH TEXAS 1 AND 2 LERS

Table A.1 Listing of LERs in Analyzed Categories

LERs for South Texes 1 ESF Actuations

1.1	49	6/91	-00	1	2	498	/91	- 002	3	498/	91.	-004	4	498	/91	007		
5	49	8/91	-00	3	6	498	/91	-008	7	498/	91.	-010	8	498	191.	012		
5	49	8/91	-01	3	10	498	191	-015	11	498/	91.	-017	12	498	191	022		
13	49	8/91	-02	1	14	498	192	-003	15	498/	92.	-005	16	498	192.	007		
17	49	8/92	-00	8	18	498	192	-009	19	498/	92.	-010	20	498	192	014		
21	49	8/92	-01	5	22	498	192	-016		1.		-						
LERS	for	Sour	ch	Texas	2	ESF	Ac	tuet	ions									
1.4	4.0	0/01	.00	1	5	100	101	007		100	-	-						
	10	0/01	-00	6	6	100	/01	.003	2 7	499/	Y 1	004	4	499	191.	005		
	140	6/01	.01	0	10	100	105	-001		4997	41.	000	0	499	191.	009		
13	10	0/02	-00	6	14	499	102	-001	11	499/	92.	003	12	499)	192.	005		
					17			-001	12	#241	45.	000						
LERS	for	Sour	th	Texas	1	RPS	Ac	tust	ions									
1	49	8/91-	01	2	2	498	/91	- 022	3	498/	91-	021	4	498/	/92-	003		
LERS	for	Sour	th	Texas	2	RPS	Ac	tust	ions									
1	49	9/91.	00	1	2	499	191	-003		490/	91.	004	4	400	/01	007		
5	494	9/91-	01	0	6	499	192	-001	7	499/	92-	003				007		
LERS	for	Sout	th	Texas	1	Inti	in	sic	Admini	strat	ive	Err	ors					
1.1	49	1/91-	00	2	2	LOR	/01	006	7	4 DR /	01.	010	1	108	10.1	017		
5	49	1/01-	02	0	Ä	LOR	102	-002	7	108/	02.	000		490/	91-	013		
9	40	\$/92.	01	5	10	LOR	102	-017		LOR	96.	010	0	4Y0/	AC.	009		
		.,				4767	15	017	11	4YO/	46.	019						
LERS	for	Sout	th	Texas	1	Intr	in	sic	Operati	ions	Err	ors						
1	498	3/91-	00	5	2	498/	191	008	3	498/	92-	006	4	498/	192-	015		
	* 75	2/ 46.	UII	þ														
LERS	for	Sout	th 1	Texas	3	Test	ting	g/Ca	librat	ion T	ask	Des	cript	ion 1	neo	kecijua	cie	8
- 1	498	8/91.	00	5	2	498/	191	016	3	498/	91-	020	4	498/	192-	003		
5	498	3/92.	004	6	6	498/	92.	-005	7	498/	92.	009	8	498/	192-	010		
9	498	3/92-	01	5	10	498/	192	-014	11	498/	92-	017	12	498/	92-	019		
ERs	for	Sout	h 1	eves	1	0087		000	Teck /			in	Incolo					
5- 5- Ft. 9-	1 5/1	0001		CARD	1	upe:	er	Ons	I dbk i	rescr	ipt	ion	INBOR	ANDC 1	es			
1	698	\$/91-	00	5	2	498/	91	006	3	498/	91-	800	4	498/	92-	006		
5	498	\$/92-	01	5	6	498/	192.	016										
LERS	For	Sout	h j	exas	1	Comp		ent	Failure	55								
AC CI	rcui	t Br	eel	ters														
1	498	1/91-	00	7	2	498/	91	008										
Cable	/#11	e	0.21															
	4.96	17.7.1	06.		6	440/	46.	008										
Faste 1	691	3/91-	00	7														
LERS	for	Sout	th 1	fexas	2	Comp	on	mt	Failure	es								
Toxic	Gas	Pri	me	Y EL	eme	mt												
1	495	191-	000	5	2	499/	92	008										

Isolation Valve

1 499/91-007 2 499/92-004

Fastener 1 499/91-001 2 499:91-010

LERs for South Texas 1 Train Failures

Residual Heat Removal 1 498/91-007 2 498/91-008 3 498/91-023

Primary Coolant 1 498/91-021 2 498/91-022 3 498/91-024

Auxiliary Feedwater 1 498/92-006

Chilled Water 1 498/92-001

LERs for South Texas 2 Train Failures

Primary Coolant 1 499/91-001

\$

Auxiliary Feedwater 1 499/91-002 Table A.2 Abstracts of LERs Reported at South Texas 1 and 2

FORM	1			LER SC	SS D	ATA			02-1	23-93
******	******	****	*******	******	****		*******	********		*****
DOCKET	YEAR	LER	NUMBER	REVIS	1 ON	DCS	NUMBER	NSIC	EVENT	DATE
499	1991		001	0		9102	2110269	221012	01/	09/91
******	******	****	*******	******	****		*******	*******	*******	*****

ABSTRACT

POWER LEVEL - 100%. ON JANUARY 9, 1991, UNIT 2 WAS IN MODE 1 AT 100% POWER. AT 2207 HOURS, FEEDWATER ISOLATION VALVE (FWIV) 2C CLOSED DURING THE INVESTIGATION FLOW NITROGEN AND LOW HYDRAULIC PRESSURE ALARMS FOR FWIV 2C. THE RESULTANT LOSS OF FEEDWATER FLOW CAUSED A DECREASE IN STEAM GENERATOR (SG) LEVEL AND THE REACTOR WAS MANUALLY TRIPPED. THE CAUSE OF THE MANUAL REACTOR TRIP WAS A FAILED- CLOSED FEEDWATER ISOLATION VALVE. THE FEEDWATER ISOLATION VALVE CLOSED WHEN AN OPERATOR INCORRECTLY REMOVED A POWER SUPPLY FUSE TO THE TRIP SGLENOID. THE FUSE WAS REMOVED WHEN TRYING TO DETERMINE THE SOURCE OF POWER LOSS TO THE FWIV HYDRAULIC SKID. THIS WAS CAUSED BY FAILURE TO COORDINATE OPERATIONAL PROBLEM INVESTIGATION AND THE USE OF INFORMATION WITHOUT PROVIDING HECESSARY VERIFICATION; ANNUNCIATOR RESPONSE PROCEDURES DID NOT PROVIDE DIRECTION PERTAINING TO A LOSS OF POWER; AND LACK OF FORMAL TRAINING ON THE INVESTIGATION OF POWER SUPPLIES. CORRECTIVE ACTIONS INCLUDE: TRAINING OF LICENSED AND NON-LICENSED OPERATORS; REVISION OF ANNUNCIATOR RESPONSE PROCEDURES; AS WELL AS OTHER RECURRENCE MEASURES.

FORM	2			LER	SCSS	DATA			02-23-93	
*******	*****	****	*****	****		******	******	********	***********	
DOCKET	YEAR	LER	NUMBER	REV	1510	N DCS	NUMBER	NSIC	EVENT DATE	
48	1991		001		0	9102	2260312	221075	01/22/91	

ABSTRACT

POWER LEVEL - 000%. ON JANUARY 22, 1991, UNIT 1 WAS IN ITS THIRD REFUELING OUTAGE WITH NO FUEL IN THE REACTOR VESSEL. AT 1520 HOURS, A CONTAINMENT VENTILATION ISOLATION ACTUATION JCCURRED. OPERATION J PERSONNEL VERIFIED THAT ALL EQUIPMENT ACTUATED AS DESIGNED. THE RADIATION MONITORING SYSTEM DID NOT INDICATE ANY HIGH RADIATION CONDITIONS. RADIATION LEVELS IN THE REACTOR CONTAINMENT BUILDING WERE DETERMINED TO BE NORMAL PRIOR TO AND FOLLOWING THE ACTUATION. THE CONTAINMENT VENTILATION ISOLATION ACTUATION APPEARS TO BE THE RESULT OF A SPURIOUS ACTUATION OF THE RADIATION MONITORING SYSTEM. HOWEVER, THE CAUSE OF THE SPURIOUS SIGNAL FROM THE RADIATION MONITORING SYSTEM COULD NOT BE DETERMINED.

FORM	3			LER !	SCSS	DATA			02-2	23-93
******	******	****	*******	*****	****	*****	*******	********		****
DOCKET	YEAR	LER	NUMBER	REV	1510	DCS	NUMBER	NSIC	EVENT	DATE
498	1991		002		1	911	1250173	223568	01/2	26/91
******	*****	****	*******	*****	****	******	*******	*********		****

ABSTRACT

POWER LEVEL - 000%. ON JANUARY 26, 1991, UNIT 1 WAS IN ITS THIRD REFUELING OUTAGE WITH NO FUEL IN THE REACTOR VESSEL AND THE REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM VENTED TO ATMOSPHERE. AT 0850 HOURS, DURING THE FIRST PERFORMANCE OF A PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE (PM) WORK ACTIVITY, AN AUTOMATIC ACTUATION OF 152 SAFETY INJECTION (SI) SYSTEM OCCURRED IN ONE OF THREE TRAINS (TRAIN C) AS A RESULT OF LESS THAN ADEQUATE PM WORK INSTRUCTIONS. ALL ASSOCIATED ENGINEERED SAFETY FEATURES (ESF) EQUIPMENT OPERATED AS EXPECTED. THE CAUSE OF THE LESS THAN ADEQUATE WORK INSTRUCTIONS WAS PERSONNEL ERROR IN THAT TWO SUPERVISORS FAILED TO REQUIRE FURTHER REVIEW OF WORK INSTRUCTIONS WHICH THEY BELIEVED HAD POTENTIAL FOR CAUSING AN UNPLANNED ESF ACTUATION. CORRECTIVE ACTIONS INCLUDE INACTIVATING THE SUBJECT PM AND THE ASSOCIATED PMS FOR THE OTHER ACTUATION TRAINS IN BOTH UNITS. THESE PMS WILL BE CORRECTED PRIOR TO FUTURE USE. FURTHER CORRECTIVE ACTIONS WERE TAKEN TO ISSUE A TRAINING BULLETIN TO APPROPRIATE OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE SUPERVISORS DESCRIBING THE EVENT, AND TO COUNSEL THE TWO SUPERVISORS ON THE NECESSITY OF PERFORMING AND MAINTENANCE SUPERVISORS DESCRIBING THE EVENT, AND TO COUNSEL THE TWO SUPERVISORS ON CAUSE UNPLANNED ESF ACTUATIONS.

FORM	4			LER	SCSS	ATAC			02-2	3-03
******	******	****	*******	****	*****	******	*******	*******	*******	****
DOCKET	YEAR	LER	NUMBER	RE	VISIO	N DCS	NUMBER	NSIC	EVENT	DATE
******	1991		002		0	910	5120274	221189	01/3	1/91

ABSTRACT

POWER LEVEL - 100%. ON JANUARY 31, 1991, UNIT 2 WAS IN MODE 1 AT 100% POWER. DURING A REVIEW OF A COMPLETED MORK PACKAGE FOR WELD REPAIRS ON THE TURBINE-DRIVEN AUXILIARY FEEDWATER (AFW) PUMP 24 STELES IS SUPPLY LINE, IT WAS DISCOVERED THAT THE ASME SECTION XI PRESSURE TEST REQUIRED BY TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION 4.0.5 HAD NOT BEEN PERFORAED PRIOR TO RETURNING THE SYSTEM TO SERVICE. THIS RESULTED IN THE AFW PUMP 24 BFING ADMINISTRATIVELY INOP/RABLE FROM DECEMBER 5, 1990 TO FEBRUARY 3, 1991. THE CAUSES OF THIS EVENT ARG LESS THAN ADEQUATE PROCEDURAL CONTROLS WHICH ALLOWED THE PLANNER TO DEFER COMPLETION OF THE PRESSURE TEST DATA SHEET, LESS THAN ADEQUATE REVIEW OF THE REVIEW OF THE REVISED WORK PACKAGE BY THE COGNIZANT SYSTEM ENGINEER AND LESS THAN ADEQUATE REVIEW OF THE POST NAINTENANCE TEST REQUIREMENTS PRIOR TO RETURN TO SERVICE. CORRECTIVE ACTIONS INCLUDE SUCCESSFUL PERFORMANCE OF THE CODE PRESSURE TEST, REVISION OF APPROPRIATE PROCEDURES AND TRAINING OF APPROPRIATE MAINTENANCE PLANNERS AND SYSTEM ENGINEERS.

FORM	5			LER	SCSS	DATA			02-23-93
******	******	****	******	****	*****	*****	******	*********	***********
DOCKET 498	YEAR 1991	LER	NUMBER 004	RE	0	0CS	NUMBER	NSIC 221277	EVENT DATE 02/15/91
******	*****	****	*******	****	****	*****	*******	*********	***********

ABSTRACT

POWER LEVEL - 000%. ON FEBRUARY 15, 1991, UNIT 1 WAS IN ITS THIRD REFUELING OUTAGE WITH NO FUEL IN THE REACTOR VESSEL . AT 0259 HOURS, A PARTIAL LOSS OF OFFSITE POWER OCCURRED DURING MAINTENANCE OF AN OVERCURRENT PROTECTION RELAY. THE SUPPLY GREAKER TO 13.8 KV STANDBY BUS 1H TRIPPED WHICH SUPPLIES POWER TO THE 4.16 KV ENGINEERED SAFETY FEATURES (ESF) BUS E1C. STANDBY DIESEL GENERATOR #13 LOADED AS REQUIRED, RESTORING POWER TO TRAIN C. THE CAUSE OF THIS EVENT WAS DETERMINED TO BE LACK OF ATTENTION TO WORK PERFORMANCE METHODS. AN ELECTRICIAN INADVERTENTLY TOUCHED THE TRIP CONTACT ON THE PROTECTIVE RELAY IN THE PROCESS OF INSERTING THE CONTACT PLUG. CORRECTIVE ACTIONS INCLUDE TRAINING OF MAINTENANCE PERSONNEL, REVISION OF APPROPRIATE GE RELAY CALIBRATION PROCEDURES AND ADDITION OF A TRAINING DEJECTIVE ON THE PROPER METHOD FOR INSTALLING RELAY CONTACT PLUGS.

FORM	6			LER SCSS	DATA			02-23-93
*******	*****	****	*******	*********	*****	*******	******	*******
DOCKET	YEAR	LER	NUMBER	REVISION	DCS	NUMBER	NSIC	EVENT DATE
498	1991		005	0	910	3270165	221278	02/18/91
*******	******		*******	******	*****	*******	*******	*********

ABSTRACT

POWER LEVEL - 000%. ON FEBRUARY 18, 1991, UNIT 1 WAS IN MODE 6, AT 1334 HOURS. THE FUEL HANDLING BUILDING (FHB) TRUCK DOCR WAS OPENED WHILE OPERATIONS PERSONNEL WERE INVOLVED IN FUEL HANDLING AS PART OF THE CORE RELOAD. AT APPROXIMATELY 1340 HOURS, AN OPERATOR ON THE FHB FUEL BRIDGE NOTED THAT THE DOOR WAS OPENED AND SECURED ALL FUEL MOVEMENT. THE DOORS WERE CLOSED AT 1359 HOURS. THE FHB EXHAUST AIR SYSTEM WAS RENDERED INOPERABLE WHEN THE FHB TRUCK DOORS WERE OPENED. FUEL MOVEMENT WAS SUSPENDED IMMEDIATELY UPON DISCOVERY AS REQUIRED BY TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION 3.9.12 UNTIL THE FHB VENTILATION SYSTEM WAS RESTORED TO AN OPERABLE CONDITION. THE CAUSE OF THIS EVENT WAS INCOMPLETE ADMINISTRATIVE CONTROLS ON THE FHB TRUCK DOOR. THERE WERE NO CONTROLS IN PLACE TO ENSURE THE APPROPRIATE TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION REQUIREMENTS FOR THE FHB EXHAUST AIR SYSTEM WERE FOLLOWED. CORRECTIVE ACTIONS INCLUDE PLACEMENT OF ADDITIONAL LOCKS ON THE FHB TRUCK DOORS, ISSUANCE OF A BULLETIN/NIGHT ORDERS TO SECURITY AND HEALTH PHYSICS PERSONNEL TO ENSURE THAT IN ADDITION TO SECURITY AND HEALTH PHYSICS THAT OPERATIONS PERSONNEL ARE ALSO PRESENT AT THE DOOR PRIOR TO OPENING, ISSUANCE OF A MEMORANDUM TO LICENSED OPERATORS DISCUSSING THIS INCIDENT, AN EVALUATION TO DETERMINE THE EFFECT OF THE FHB DOORS ON THE OPERABILITY OF THE FHB EXHAUST AIR SYSTEM, AND ESTABLISHMENT OF APPROPRIATE ADMINISTRATIVE CONTROLS.

FORM	7			LER	SCSS	DATA			02-2	23-93
******	******	****	*******	****	*****	******	*******	********		*****
DOCKET	YEAR	LER	NUMBER	REN	11510	N DCS	NUMBER	NSIC	EVENT	DATE
498	1991		006		0	910	3280307	221315	02/2	22/91
*******	*****		*******	****		******	*******	*********	*******	*****

ABSTRACT

POWER LEVEL - 000%. ON FEBRUARY 24, 1991, UNIT 1 WAS IN MODE 6 IN ITS THIRD REFUELING OUTAGE. AT 0603 HOURS DURING PERFORMANCE OF A SURVEILLANCE TEST, IT WAS DISCOVERED THAT THE CLASS 1E 120 VOLT DISTRIBUTION PANEL DP002 WAS ENERGIZED FROM ITS ALTERNATE POWER SUPPLY IN VIOLATION OF TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION 3.8.3.2. IMMEDIATE ACTIONS WERE TAKEN TO RESTORE THE DISTRIBUTION PANEL TO ITS PROPER ALIGNMENT. THE CAUSES OF THIS EVENT WERE FAILURE TO COORDINATE THE TRANSFER OF POWER TO THE DISTRIBUTION PANEL DUE TO INADEQUATE VERBAL COMMUNICATIONS AND FAILURE TO NUMITOR THE ASSOCIATED ALARMS WHICH ANNUCLATE IN THE CONTROL ROOM DURING AN UNDERVOLTAGE CONDITION. CORRECTIVE ACTIONS INCLUDE TRAINING OF LICENSED AND NON-LICENSED OPERATORS, AND AN EVALUATION OF THE PLANT'S MUNBERING SCHEME FOR ELECTRICAL PANELS.

FORM	6			LER	SCSS	DATA			02-23-93
******	*****	****	*******	****	*****	******	*******	********	***********
DOCKET	YEAR	LER	NUMBER	REY	11510	DCS	NUMBER	NSIC	EVENT DATE
498	1991		007		1	9110	0180008	223158	03/09/91
******	*****		*******				*******	********	**********

ABSTRACT

POWER LEVEL - 000%. ON MARCH 9, 1991, UNIT 1 WAS IN COLD SHUTDOWN DURING A REFUELING OUTAGE, AND UNIT 2 WAS OPERATING AT 100 PERCENT POWER. WHILE RETURNING A TRANSMISSION LINE TO SERVICE, A SWITCHYARD BREAKER EXPERIENCED A PHASE TO GROUND FLASHOVER CAUSED BY A DISLODGED CONNECTING PIN IN THE INTERRUPTER LINKAGE MECHANISM. THE SNAP RING WHICH HOLDS THE CONNECTING PIN IN PLACE HAD FALLEN OUT. THE BREAKER FAULT CAUSED PROTECTION CIRCUITRY TO CLEAR THE SOUTH BUS AND OFFSITE POWER WAS LOST TO SEVERAL ENGINEERED SAFETY FEATURES (ESF) BUSES. ONE UNIT 1 STANDBY DIESEL GENERATOR (SBDG) AND TWO UNIT 2 SBDGS STARTED AND CARRIED THEIR LOADS. ALTHOUGH THE IMPORDIATE CAUSE OF THIS EVENT IS THE DISLODGED CONNECTING PIN, THE CAUSE FOR THE SNAP RING FALLING OUT OF PLACE IS NOT KNOWN. AS A RESULT OF THIS EVENT, THE BREAKER HAS BEEN REPAIRED AND A MODIFIED PIN DESIGN HAS BEEN INSTALLED IN ALL BREAKERS OF THE SAME MODEL AS THE BREAKER WHICH HAD A FAULT.

FORM	9			LER	SCSS	DATA			02-23-93
******	******	****	*******		*****		******	********	********
DOCKET 498	YEAR	LER	NUMBER 003	REN	0	DCS 9102	NUMBER	NS1C	EVENT DATE
******	******	****	*******		*****		******	*********	*********

ABSTRACT

POWER LEVEL - 000%. ON JANUARY 27, 1991, UNIT 1 WAS IN ITS THIRD REFUELING OUTAGE WITH NO FUEL IN THE REACTOR VESSEL. AT 0335 HOURS A RADIATION MONITOR FOR THE CONTROL ROOM ENVELOPE WENT INTO HIGH ALARM AND ACTUATED THE CONTROL ROOM VENTILATION SYSTEM TO THE RECIRCULATION WITH FILTERED MAKEUP MODE. THE ALARM CLEARED AFTER APPROXIMATELY TWO MINUTES. SAMPLES OF THE CONTROL ROOM ATMOSPHERE DID NOT IDENTIFY ANY ACTIVITY. THE REDUNDANT MONITOR REMAINED IN THE MORMAL RANGE THROUGHOUT THIS PERIOD. MAINTENANCE WAS PERFORMED ON THE ACTUATED MONITOR AND THE MONITOR WAS SUCCESSFULLY CALIBRATED. THE CAUSE OF THIS EVENT IS UNKNOWN.

FORM	10			LER	SCSS	DATA			02-23-93
******	******	****	******		*****	******	*******	********	**********
DOCKET	YEAR	LER	NUMBER	REV	1510	DCS	NUMBER	NSIC	EVENT DATE
498	1991		008		1	911	0240262	223253	03/15/91
*******	*****	新新安安县	*******	****	****		*******	*********	***********

ABSTRACT

POWER LEVEL - 000%. ON MARCH 15, 1991, UNIT 1 WAS IN MODE 5 DUE TO A REFUELING OUTAGE. THE UNIT EXPERIENCED A PARTIAL LOSS OF OFFSITE POWER (LOOP) TO TRAIN A AT 1313 HOURS DUE TO ACTUATION OF THE UNIT AUXILIARY TRANSFORMER PILOT WIRE RELAY WHICH OPENED A SWITCHYARD BREAKER. DURING RECOVERY FROM THE FIRST LOOP, A LOOP OCCURRED ON TRAIN B OF UNIT 1 AT 1328 HOURS WHEN A 13.8 KV STANDBY BUS FEEDER BREAKER WAS OPENED BY A CONTROL ROOM OPERATOR. BOTH LOOP EVENTS WERE DUE TO INADEQUATE PROCEDURES. THE SUBJECT PROCEDURES HAVE BEEN REVISED APPROPRIATELY. IN ADDITION, A LOAD CENTER FEEDER BREAKER FAILED TO CLOSE DUE TO INADEQUATE LUBRICATION. WORK REQUESTS HAVE BEEN ISSUED TO ADDRESS PROPER LUBRICATION.

FORM	11			LER	SCSS	DATA			02-	23-93
******	*****	****		****	*****	*****	*******	********	*******	*****
DOCKET	YEAR 1991	LER	NUMBER	RE	VISION	0 DCS	NUMBER B050280	NS1C 222690	EVENT	DATE
*******	******	*****	*******	****		******	********	********	*******	*****

ABSTRACT

POWER LEVEL - 000%. ON MARCH 10, 1991, A CRACKED FUEL INJECTOR NOZZLE TIP FROM LOT 150010 WAS FOUND IN STANDBY DIESEL GENERATOR (SDG) 12. ON MARCH 13, 1991, A CRACKED NOZZLE TIP FROM LOT 150006 WAS FOUND IN SDG 13. HOUSTON LIGHTING & FOWER (HL&P) CONDUCTED EDDY CURRENT EXAMINATION OF 151 INJECTOR NOZZLE TIPS FROM THESE AND OTHER SDGS AS WELL AS SPARES, AND IDENTIFIED SEVERAL ADDITIONAL CRACKED NOZZLE TIPS FROM LOT 150006. COOPER-BESSENER (THE SDG SUPPLIER) NOTIFIED THE NRC PURSUANT TO 10CFR21 AND HL&P FILED LER 91-009 REV. O ACCORDINGLY. ADDITIONAL INVESTIGATIONS RESULTED IN THE CONCLUSIONS THAT INADEQUATE LIGAMENT THICKNESS AND EXCESSIVE NITRIDING DEPTH ARE THE PROBABLE CAUSES OF THE FAILURES. HL&P ALSO REMOVED LOT 150009 FROM SERVICE, WHICH SHOWED CRACKING IN LABORATORY EXAMINATION, AND, AS A CONSERVATIVE MASURE, ALL LOTS OF THE 1500XX SERIES NAMUFACTURED BY ALLIED DIMENSIONAL CONTROL. THE INFORMATION DEVELOPED HAS BEEN SHARED WITH COOPER-BESSEMER AND MPR ASSOCIATES (PROJECT MANAGER OF THE COOPER BESSEMER OF MARY GROUP). ADDITIONAL RECURRENCE CONTROLS HAVE BEEN ADDED AT STPEGS INCLUDING EXAMINATION FOR DEPTH OF NITRIDING.

FORM	12			LER SCSS D	ATA			02.23.03
******	*****	****	******	********		*******	********	**********
A98	YEAR 1991	LER	NUMBER 010	REVISION	DCS 911	NUMBER 1250183	NSIC 223569	EVENT DATE
******	******	****	*******	********	****	******	*******	***********

ABSTRACT

POWER LEVEL - 013%. ON APRIL 4, 1991, UNIT 1 WAS IN MODE 1 AT 13 PERCENT POWER. AT 0843 HOURS, THE MAIN CONTROL ROOM RECEIVED A TOXIC GAS HIGH CONCENTRATION ALARM. THE CONTROL ROOM VENTILATION SYSTEM WAS MANUALLY PLACED INTO THE RECIRCULATION MODE AS A CONSERVATIVE RESPONSE. NO TOXIC GAS WAS DETERMINED TO BE PRESENT AFTER AN IMMEDIATE INVESTIGATION. THE ALARM OCCURRED AS A RESULT OF A FAILURE IN THE EMERGENCY RESPONS" FACILITIES DATA ACQUISITION AND DISPLAY SYSTEM COMPUTER. THE CAUSE OF THE ALARM WAS A FAILED FIBER OPT. SATA ACQUISITION CONTROLLER SUBSYSTEM PRINTED CIRCUIT BOARD. THE FAILED PRINTED CIRCUIT BOARD HAS BEEN P. 44405 AS A RESULT OF THE EVENT.

FORM	13			LER SCSS (ATA			02-28-08
******	******	****	******	********	****	*******	********	**********
DUCKET 499	YEAR 1991	LER	NUMBER 003	REVISION	DCS 9104	NUMBER 220136	NSIC 221975	EVENT DATE 03/14/91

ABSTRACT

POWER LEVEL - 100%. ON MARCH 14, 1991, UNIT 2 WAS OPERATING AT 100% WHILE UNIT 1 WAS IN MODE 5. AT 1810 HOURS, UNIT 1 CONTROL ROOM PERSONNEL CLOSED THE SWITCHYARD BREAKER TO ENERGIZE THE UNIT 1 MAIN AND AUXILIARY TRANSFORMERS. IMMEDIATELY FOLLOWING THIS BREAKER CLOSURE, THE UNIT 2 B PHASE GEMERATOR ISOPHASE BUS DIFFERENTIAL RELAY ACTUATED. THIS CAUSED THE GENERATOR LOCKOUT RELAY TO ACTUATE WHICH RESULTED IN A TURBINE TRIP AND REACTOR TRIP. DURING THE RECOVERY PROCESS THE MAIN STEAM ISOLATION VALVES (MSIV) WERE CLOSED. A STEAM GEMERATOR (SG) MSIV WAS SUBSEQUENTLY REOPENED WHILE A SG LEVEL WAS NEAR THE LOW-LOW SETPOINT AND CAUSED AN AUXILIARY FEEDWATER ACTUATION. THE PROTECTIVE RELAY ACTUATION WAS CAUSED BY DIFFERENCES IN THE SATURATION RATES OF THE TWO CURRENT TRANSFORMERS THAT SUPPLY THE DIFFERENTIAL RELAY. THE AFW ACTUATION WAS CAUSED BY OPERATING PROCEDURES THAT FAILED TO PROVIDE GUIDANCE REGARDING MINIMUM SG LEVELS DURING MSIV MANIPULATIONS. THE CORRECTIVE ACTIONS RELATIVE TO THE CURRENT TRANSFORMERS WILL BE REPORTED IN LER 91-004, WHICH DESCRIBES A SIMILAR SUBSEQUENT REACTOR TRIP EVENT. PROCEDURES WILL BE REPORTED IN LER 91-004, WHICH DESCRIBES A SIMILAR SUBSEQUENT REACTOR TRIP EVENT. PROCEDURES WILL BE REVISED AND THIS EVENT WILL BE INCLUDED IN REQUALIFICATION TRAINING TO MINIMIZE THE POTENTIAL FOR UNNECESSARY AFW ACTUATIONS.

FORM	14			LER	\$CSS	DATA			02-2	23-93
*******	******	****	*******	****	*****	******	*******	********	******	****
DOCKET	YEAR	LER	NUMBER	RE	1510	DCS	NUMBER	NSIC	EVENT	DATE
*******	1991	****	004	****	0	910	5060214	221976	03/3	50/91

ABSTRACT

POWER LEVEL - 100%. ON MARCH 30, 1991, UNIT 2 WAS OPERATING AT 100% WHILE UNIT 1 WAS IN MODE 3. UNIT 1 CONTROL ROOM PERSONNEL CLOSED THE SWITCHYARD BREAKER TO ENERGIZE THE UNIT 1 MAIN AND AUXILIARY TRANSFORMERS. INMEDIATELY FOLLOWING THIS BREAKER CLOSURE, THE UNIT 2 B PHASE GENERATOR ISOPNASE BUS DIFFERENTIAL RELAY ACTUATED. THIS CAUSED THE GENERATOR LOCKOUT RELAY TO ACTUATE WHICH RESULTED IN A TURBINE TRIP AND REACTOR TRIP. THE PROTECTIVE RELAY ACTUATION WAS CAUSED BY DIFFERENCES IN THE SATURATION RATES OF THE TWO CURRENT TRANSFORMERS THAT SUPPLY THE DIFFERENTIAL RELAY. AN EVALUATION IS UNDERWAY TO ESTABLISH THE FEASIBILITY OF HARDWARE CHANGES TO ADDRESS THIS PROBLEM. AS AN INTERIM MEASURE, A TEMPORARY MODIFICATION HAS BEEN INSTALLED THAT REMOVES THE PROTECTIVE FUNCTION FROM THE AFFECTED DIFFERENTIAL RELAY. REDUNDANT PROTECTION IS PROVIDED BY OTHER PROTECTIVE RELAYS.

FORM	15			LER SCSS D	ATAC			02-3	23-03
*******	******	****	*******	*********		******	********		*****
DOCKET	YEAR	LER	NUMBER	REVISION	DCS	NUMBER	NSIC	EVENT	DATE
498	1991		011	0	9105	5140266	222021	04/1	08/91
******	****	* ***	*******	*********			********	****	

ABSTRACT

POWER LEVEL - 077%. ON 4/8/91, UNIT 1 WAS IN MODE 1 AT 77% POWER. AT 2205 HOURS, AN OPERABILITY TEST WAS PERFORMED ON THE TRAIN D FEEDMATER ISOLATION VALVE (FWIV). THE VALVE STROKED AS REQUIRED; HOWEVER, ONE OF THE TWO REDUNDANT SOLENOID VALVES WHICH ACTUATES THE FEEDWATER VALVE FAILED. SINCE THE CONDITIONS OF TECH SPEC 3.7.1.7 FOR MODES 1 AND 2 COULD NOT BE MET, A PLANT SHUTDOWN WAS INITIATED AND A NOTIFICATION OF UNUSUAL EVER; (NOUE) WAS DECLARED. THE NRC WAS NOTIFIED AT 0023 HOURS ON 4/9/91. THE FWIV WAS SECURED AND TAGGED AT 0650 HOURS AND UNIT 1 WAS BROUGHT TO MODE 3 AT 0803 HOURS. THE CAUSE OF THIS EVENT WAS FAILURE OF ONE OF TWO REDUNDANT FWIV SOLENOID VALVES TO OPERATE DUE TO HYDRAULIC FLUID POLYMERIZATION. CORRECTIVE ACTIONS INCLUDE ELIMINATING THE MAJOR SURCE OF MOISTURE ENTRY INTO THE HYDRAULIC SYSTEM, FLUSHING THE HYDRAULIC SYSTEM AND REPLACING THE HYDRAULIC FLUID, REVISION OF PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE ACTIVITIES AND PLANT MODIFICATIONS TO ADD CLEAN-UP SKIDS AND RELOCATE THE SOLENOID VALVES.

FORM	16			LER	SCSS	DATA			02-23-03
*******	*****	****	*******	****		*****	*******	********	*********
DOCKET 496	YEAR 1991	LER	NUMBER 012	REN	1510	DCS 911	NUMBER 1070005	NSIC 223301	EVENT DATE 04/12/91
******	*****	****	*****	****	*****		*******	********	***********

ABSTRACT

POWER LEVEL - 040%. ON 4/12/91, AT 0418, THE UNIT 1 REACTOR TRIPPED FROM 40% POWER. A TURBINE TITP, FEEDWATER ISOLATION AND AUXILIARY FEEDWATER ACTUATION OCCURRED AS A RESULT OF THE REACTOR TRIP. SYSTEMS OPERATED AS DESIGNED IN RESPONSE TO THE REACTOR TRIP. IT WAS DETERMINED THAT ROD DRIVE MOTOR GENERATOR (RDNG) SET #11 TRIPPED DUE TC A TRANSIENT INDUCED BY RDNG #12 WHICH WAS FOUND RUNNING WITH ITS MOTOR AND GENERATOR (RDNG) SET #10 CLOSED WITH NO OUTPUT VOLTAGE TO THE REACTOR TRIP SWITCHGEAR. IT IS BELIEVED THAT INTERMITTENT PICK-UF AND DROP-OUT OF THE 2R RELAY, WHICH ACTUATES CONTACTS TO SUPPLY POWER TO THE RDNG SET #12'S GENERATOR VOLTAGE REGULATOR, CAUSED INSTABILITY IN THE VOLTAGE REGULATOR OPERATION. THE 2R RELAY MALFUNCTION WAS DUE TO A DEFECTIVE OUTPUT SWITCH. THE INSTABILITY OF THE VOLTAGE REGULATOR RESULTED IN TRANSIENTS THAT CAUSED A REVERSE CURRENT TO THE RDMG SET #11 AND A SUBSEQUENT TRIP OF THE GENERATOR OUTPUT BREAKER. IT IS ALSO BELIEVED THAT THE 2R RELAY CONTACTS SUPPLYING POWER TO THE VOLTAGE REGULATOR EVENTUALLY REMAINED OPEN LONG ENOUGH TO ALLOW A LOSS OF THE GENERATOR FIELD IN THE RDMG SET #12. A LOSS OF THE GENERATOR FIELD RESULTS IN ZERO OUTPUT VOLTAGE FROM THE GENERATOR. THE LOSS OF BOTH OF THE POWER SOURCES TO THE REACTOR FIELD RESULTED IN A REACTOR TRIP. THE GENERATOR. THE LOSS OF BOTH OF THE POWER SOURCES TO THE GENERATOR FIELD RESULTED IN A REACTOR TRIP. THE ZR RELAY'S TIMER AND CONTROL RELAY WERE REPLACED AND A PROCEDURAL CHANGE HAS BEEN MADE TO ENHANCE DETECTION OF MALFUNCTION.

FORM	17			LER SCSS D	ATA			02-23-93
******	*****	****	******	*********	****	*****	********	*********
DOCKET	YEAR	LER	NUMBER	REVISION	DCS	NUMBER	NSIC	EVENT DATE
498	1991		013	0	910	5133376	222015	04/12/91
*******	*****	****	*******	****	****	*******	*******	********

ABSTRACT

POWER LEVEL - 000%. ON APRIL 12, 1991, UNIT 1 WAS IN MODE 3 AT NORMAL OPERATING PRESSURE AND TEMPERATURE. AT 1321 HOURS, DURING TROUBLESHOOTING OF AN ENGINEERED SAFETY FEATURE (ESF) SEQUENCER AUTOMATIC TESTING FAILURE, A MODE 111 (SAFETY INJECTION COINCIDENT WITH LOSS OF OFFSITE POWER) SEQUENCER ACTUATION WAS INITIATED IN TRAIN B. THE ACTUATION RESULTED FROM LESS THAN ADEQUATE TROUBLESHOOTING INSTRUCTIONS. PLANT EQUIPMENT OPERATED AS DESIGNED AND THERE WERE NO SIGNIFICANT TRANSIENTS AS A RESULT OF THE ESF SEQUENCER ACTUATION. TROUBLESHOOTING PROGRAM PROCEDURES WILL BE REVISED AS A CORRECTIVE ACTION.

FORM	18			LER	SCSS	DATA			02-23-93
******	*****		*******	****		*****	*******	********	********
DOCKET	YEAR 1991	LER	NUMBER 005	RE	1	DCS 911	NUMBER 1180286	NSIC 223454	EVENT DATE 04/11/91
******	******	****	*******	***	*****		*******	********	**********

ABSTRACT

POMER LEVEL - 100%. ON APRIL 11, 1991, UNIT 2 WAS IN MODE 1 AT 100 PERCENT POMER. AT 1130, AN AUTOMATIC ENGINEERED SAFETY FEATURES (ESF) ACTUATION OF CRE HVAC TRAINS B AND C TO EMERGENCY MODE OCCURRED. CONTROL ROOM ENVELOPE (CRE) HVAC TRAIN A HAD BEEN MANUALLY ACTUATED TO THE EMERGENCY MODE IN SUPPORT OF A SURVEILLANCE PROCEDURE. NO INDICATION OF A HIGH RADIATION OR SAFETY INJECTION SIGNAL WAS FOUND. THERE HAS BEEN NO CAUSE ESTABLISHED FOR THIS ACTUATION.

FORM	19			LER S	SCSS	DATA	ι.			02-	27-02
*******	******	****	*******	*****	****	****		*******	********	******	*****
DOCKET 498	YEAR 1991	LER	NUMBER 014	REVI	2	N D0 97	201	NUMBER 220010	NSIC 223809	EVENT	DATE 20/91
*******	******	20401	********	*****		****	1.00	*******			****

ABSTRACT

POWER LEVEL - 100%. ON APRIL 20, 1991, UNIT 1 WAS IN MODE 1 AT 100% POWER. AT 0406 HOURS, WHILE COMDUCTING A CONTAINMENT SUPPLEMENTAL PURGE TO LOWER THE CONTAINMENT PRESSURE IN RESPONSE TO A CONTAINMENT HIGH PRESSURE ALARM, CONTAINMENT EXTENDED RANGE PRESSURE CHANNEL 9759 WAS FOUND TO READ 5 PSIG WHILE CHANNEL 9760 READ 0 PSIG. CHANNEL 9759 WAS DECLARED INOPERABLE AT 0407 HOURS. REVIEW OF HISTORICAL COMPUTER RECORDS INDICATED THAT THE CHANNEL HAD BEEN INOPERABLE IN EXCESS OF THE SEVEN-DAY ALLOWED OUTAGE TIME. AFTER INITIAL RECALIBRATION, SUBSEQUENT CHANNEL CHECK SURVEILLANCE REVEALED AN ADDITIONAL ERRATIC OUTPUT SIGNAL BY THE TRANSMITTER. THE TRANSMITTER CONTROL CARD WAS REPLACED AND THE TRANSMITTER WAS CALIBRATED. CHANNEL CHECKS WERE PERFORMED WEEKLY FOR ONE MONTH TO CONFIRM THE CHANNEL WAS REPAIRED. ALTHOUGH NO GENERIC FAILURE MECHANISM HAS BEEN ESTABLISHED, THE FAILURE RATES ARE CONSISTENT WITH INDUSTRY EXPERIENCE. THESE TRANSMITTERS ARE BEING MONITORED UNDER THE FACILITY TREADING PROGRAM.

FORM	20			LER	SCSS	ATAG			02-23-03
******	*****	****	*******		*****	*****	*******	*********	***********
DOCKET	YEAR	LER	HUMBER	RE	01211	DCS	NUMBER	NSIC	EVENT DATE
*******	******	****	********	****		910	390011A	222169	04/22/91

ABSTRACT

POWER LEVEL - 100%. ON APRIL 22, 1991, UNIT 1 WAS IN MODE 1 OPERATING AT 100% POWER. AT 0200, DURING PERFORMANCE OF A TRAIN "C" ENGINEERED SAFETY FEATURE SEQUENCER SURVEILLANCE TEST, THE TRAIN "C" AUXILIARY FEEDWATER (AFW) PUMP INADVERTENTLY STARTED. THE PUMP WAS SECURED AT 0208. THE CAUSE OF THIS EVENT WAS FAILURE OF A LIGHT EMITTING DIODE (LED) IN THE SEQUENCER TEST CIRCUITRY. THE LED HAS BEEN REPLACED. AN EVALUATION HAS DETERMINED THAT A SIMILAR FAILURE OF AN LED IN THE SEQUENCER ACTUATION CIRCUITRY, RATHER THAN THE TEST CIRCUITRY, WOULD PREVENT ACTUATION OF THE ASSOCIATED ESF COMPONENT. THE FUNCTIONALITY OF THE SEQUENCER IS TESTED QUARTERLY. IN ADDITION, IF SUCH A FAILURE OCCURRED, AN ALARM WOULD INDICATE THE AFFECTED COMPONENT HAD FAILED TO START AND OPERATOR ACTION COULD BE TAKEN TO START THE COMPONENT. THEREFORE, SINCE THERE HAS BEEN ONLY ONE SUCH FAILURE AT STP, NO ADDITIONAL CORRECTIVE ACTION IS PLANNED.

FORM	21			LER	SCSS	DATA			02-	23-05
******	******	*****	*******	****	****		*******	********	*******	*****
DOCKET	YEAR	LER	NUMBER	REV	1510	005	NUMBER	NSIC	EVENT	DATE
*******	*****	****	********	****	*****	******	*******	********	100	13/91

ABSTRACT

POWER LEVEL - 100%. ON MAY 13, 1991, AT APPROXIMATELY 2230 HOURS, UNIT 1 WAS IN MODE 1 AT 100 PERCENT POWER. IT WAS DISCOVERED THAT THE TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION 3/4.7.1.4 REQUIREMENTS FOR DETERMINING THE SPECIFIC ACTIVITY OF THE SECONDARY COOLANT SYSTEM HAD NOT BEEN PERFORMED WITHIN THE REQUIRED SURVEILLANCE INTERVAL. THIS IS A VIOLATION OF TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION 3/4.7.1.4 AND IS REPORTABLE PURSUANT TO 10CFR50.73(A)(2)(1)(8). STEAM GENERATOR BLOWDOWN RADIATION MONITOR DATA WAS CHECKED, AND IT WAS VERIFIED THAT SECONDARY ACTIVITY HAD NOT EXCEEDED NORMAL VALUES OR THE TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION LIMIT DURING THIS PERIOD. THE CAUSE OF THIS EVENT WAS FAILURE TO ENSURE TESTING WAS PERFORMED BEFORE EXCEEDING THE SURVEILLANCE INTERVAL. CORRECTIVE ACTIONS INCLUDED ISSUAMCE OF SPECIAL ORDERS, AND CHANGING PROCEDURE AND LABORATORY SCHEDULES TO IMPROVE VISIBILITY AND INCREASE AWARENEESS OF SURVEILLANCE TIMES.

FORM	22			LER	SCSS	DATA			02.2	1.01
******	*****	****	*******	****		******	******	********	********	3 73
DOCKET 499	YEAR 1991	LER	NUMBER	REV	0	DCS 910	NUMBER	NSIC 222103	EVENT	DATE
******	******	****	******	****	****	******	*******	********	0371	

ABSTRACT

POMER LEVEL - 100%. ON MAY 16, 1991 UNIT 2 WAS IN MODE 1 AT 100 PERCENT POWER. AT 0558 HOURS, THE CONTROL ROOM VENTILATION SYSTEM ACTUATED TO THE RECIRCULATION MODE AS A RESULT OF A SPURIOUS TRIP FROM A TOXIC GAS ANALYZER. THE SPURIOUS ACTUATION SIGNAL SELF-RESET AT 0559 HOURS. ALSO AT 0042 HOURS ON MAY 21, 1991 ANOTHER SINILAR ACTUATION OCCURRED FROM THE SAME ANALYZER AS THE FIRST EVENT. THE EXACT CAUSE OF BOTH EVENTS COULD NOT BE DETERMINED BUT HAS BEEN ATTRIBUTED TO POOR ELECTRICAL CONNECTION ON ONE OR MORE PLUG-IN INTEGRATED CIRCUIT CHIPS IN THE ANALYZER. CORRECTIVE ACTIONS INCLUDE TROUBLESHOOTING OF THE FAILED ANALYZER, FURTHER DESIGN IMPROVEMENTS TO MINIMIZE FALSE ACTUATION SIGNALS, AND DEVELOPMENT OF PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE TASKS TO PERIODICALLY RESEAT INTEGRATED CIRCUIT CHIPS IN THE TOXIC GAS A"ALYZERS.

FORM	23			LER	SCSS	DATA			02.21.01
******	******	-	*******	****	****	*****	*******	********	06-63-73
DOCKET	YEAR 1991	LER	NUMBER	REV	15100	DCS 9202	NUMBER 2030253	NS1C 223871	EVENT DATE 05/22/91
******	******	****	*******	****	*****	*****	*******	********	*********

ABSTRACT

POWER LEVEL - 100%. ON 5/22/91, UNIT 2 WAS IN MODE 1 AT 100% POWER. AT APPROXIMATELY 2220 HRS, WHILE WAITING IN THE AREA OF THE MAIN GENERATOR BREAKER TO UNLOCK A LOCAL CABINET FOR AN ELECTRICAL MAINTENANCE INDIVIDUAL, A NON-LICENSED OPERATOR INADVERTENTLY ACTUATED THE LOCAL GENERATOR BREAKER EMERGE-LY TRIP PUSHBUTYON. THE SUDDEN LOSS OF SECONDARY LOAD CAUSED AN AUTOMATIC OVER TEMPERATURE DELTA TEMPERATURE (OTST) REACTOR TRIP. PRESSURIZER SPRAY WAS UNABLE TO REDUCE THE PRESSURE BEFORE THE PRESSURIZER PORVS OPENED AT APPROXIMATELY 2335 PSIG. STEAM GENERATOR 2C POWER-OPERATED RELIEF VALVE (PORV) FAILED TO OPEN EVEN THOUGH THE PRESSURE EXCEEDED THE LIFT SETPOINT. THE NON-LICENSED OPERATOR RESPONSIBLE FOR THE TRIP WAS COUNSELLED WITH REGARDS TO PAVING STRICT ATTENTION TO PERFORMANCE OF OPERATIONS ACTIVITIES. THE STEAM GENERATOR 2C PORV HAS BEEN REPAIRED. OTHER SWITCH DESIGNS HAVE BEEN REVIEWED TO IDENTIFY CHANGES THAT CAN PREVENT SIMILAR INADVERTENT ACTUATIONS.

FORM	24			LER SCSS D	ATA			02-23-93
*******	*****		*******	*********	****	*******	********	**********
LOCKET	YEAR 1991	LER	NUMBER	REVISION	DCS 910	NUMBER	NS1C 222393	EVENT DATE
******	******		******	********		*******	*******	*********

ABSTRACT

POWER LEVEL - 000%. ON MAY 25, 1991, UNIT 2 WAS IN MODE 3 AT 2235 PSIG AND 567 DEGREES. AT 0107 A CONTAINMENT VENTILATION ISOLATION (CVI) ACTUATION OCCURRED. ON MAY 26, UNIT 2 WAS IN MODE 1 AT 75% POWER WHEN AT 0558 A SECOND CVI ACTUATION OCCURRED. TROUBLESHOOTING FOLLOWING THE ACTUATIONS INDICATED THAT A FAULTY RM-23 MODULE ASSOCIATED WITH ONE OF THE TWO PURGE EXHAUST RADIATION MONITORS (RT-8012) CAUSED THE TWO SPURIOUS ACTUATIONS. THE FAULTY MODULE HAS BEEN REPLACED. AN ANALYSIS IS BEING PERFORMED TO DETERMINE THE FAILURE MODE.

FORM	25			LER	SCSS	DATA			02-23-9	23
******	******	****	*******	***	******	*****	*******	********	*********	-
DOCKET	YEAR	LER	NUMBER	RE	1510	DCS	NUMBER	NSIC	EVENT DAT	E
498	1991		017		0	910	7020304	222516	05/26/9	21
******	****	****	******	-		*****	*******	*********		-

ABSTRACT

POWER LEVEL - 100%. ON MAY 26, 1991, UNIT 1 WAS IN MODE 1 AT 100 PERCENT POWER. AT 1534 HOURS, THE CONTROL ROOM VENTILATION SYSTEM ACTUATED TO THE RECIRCULATION MODE AS A RESULT OF A SPURIOUS TRIP FROM A TOXIC GAS ANALYZER. THE EXACT CAUSE OF THE EVENT COULD NOT BE DETERMINED BUT HAS BEEN ATTRIBUTED TO POOR ELECTRICAL CONNECTION ON OME OR MORE PLUG-IN INTEGRATED CIRCUIT CHIPS IN THE ANALYZER. CORRECTIVE ACTIONS INCLUDE TROUBLESHODTING OF THE FAILED ANALYZER, FURTHER DESIGN IMPROVEMENTS TO MINIMIZE FALSE ACTUATION SIGNALS, AND DEVELOPMENT OF PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE TASKS TO PERIODICALLY RESEAT INTEGRATED CIRCUIT CHIPS IN THE TOXIC GAS ANALYZERS.

FORM	26			LER	SCSS	DATA			02-23-03
*******	******	****	*******	****	****	*****	******	*******	
DOCKET 498	YEAR 1991	LER	M.MBER 018	REV	1510	DCS	NUMBER	NSIC 222691	EVENT DATE
******	******	****	*******		****		*******	****	********

ASSTRACT

POMER LEVEL - 100%. ON JULY 2, 1991, UNITS 1 AND 2 WERE IN MODE 1 AT 100 PERCENT POWER. AT ABOUT 1300 HOURS, AN ENGINEER REALIZED THAT THE ALARM ASSOCIATED WITH THE CONDENSER AIR REMOVAL SYSTEM (CARS) WIDE RANGE HOBLE GAS ACTIVITY MONITOR WAS NOT FUNCTIONING. AS A RESULT THE CARS NOBLE GAS MONITOR WAS DECLARED INOPERABLE. IT WAS DETERMINED THAT THIS CONDITION HAS EXISTED SINCE THE STARTUP OF EACH UNIT. THE CAUSE OF THE EVENT HAS BEEN ATTRIBUTED TO MISUNDERSTANDING OF THE INTERNAL FUNCTIONS OF THE MONITOR WHEN PROCESS FLOW IS BELOW DESIGN VALUES. CORRECTIVE ACTIONS INCLUDE CHANGING AND REVIEWING THE DATABASE CONFIGURATIONS OF THE GAS ACTIVITY MONITORS, AND VERIFILATION OF THE PROCESS FLOW SUBSTITUTE VALUE FUNCTION.

FORM	27			LER	SCSS	DATA			02-23-93
******	******	****	*******	****	*****	******	*******	********	********
DOCKET	YEAR	LER	NUMBER	REN	1510	DCS	NUMBER	NSIC	EVENT DATE
499	1991		009		0	910	8290165	222831	07/07/91
******	******	****	******	****	*****	******		********	***********

ABSTRACT

POWER LEVEL - 100%. ON JULY 7, 1991, UNIT 2 WAS IN MODE 1 AT 100% POWER. DURING PERFORMANCE OF AN A TRAIN ENGINEERED SAFETY FEATURE (ESF) SEQUENCER SURVEILLANCE TEST THE A TRAIN AUXILIARY FEEDWATER (AFW) PUMP INADVERTENTLY STARTED. THE TEST WAS SECURED AT 0220 HOURS. THE CAUSE OF THE EVENT APPEARS TO BE A FAILED OPEN LIGHT EMITTING DIDDE (LED) IN THE CIRCUIT ASSOCIATED WITH THE BLOCKING RELAY FOR THE AFW PUMP. THIS CONCLUSION IS BASED ON INDICATIONS NOTED DURING ESF SEQUENCER TROUBLESHOOTING. THE CIRCUIT BOARD ASSOCIATED WITH THE AFW PUMP BLOCKING RELAYS AND THE BLOCKING RELAY CIRCUITS WERE TESTED SATISFACTORILY. AN ENGINEERING REVIEW WILL BE CONDUCTED TO DETERMINE IF A GENERIC PROBLEM MAY EXIST WITH THE LEDS USED IN SEQUENCER CIRCUITS.

FORM	28			LER SCSS	ATAG			02-23-93
******	*****	****	*******	*********	*****	*******	********	***********
DOCKET 498	1991	LER	NUMBER	REVISION	DCS 9110	NUMBER	NSIC 223107	EVENT DATE 09/05/91
*****	******	****	*******	********	*****	*******	********	**********

ABSTRACT

POWER LEVEL - 100%. ON SEPTEMBER 5, 1991, UNIT 1 WAS IN MODE 1 AT 100% POWER. AT 1806 HOURS, THE CONTROL ROOM RECEIVED A REACTOR COOLANT DRAIN TANK (RCDT) LEVEL HI-HI/LO-LO ALARM. AT 1838 HOURS, REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM (RCS) LEAKAGE WAG DETERMINED TO BE APPROXIMATELY 15 GALLONS PER MINUTE (GPM), WHICH IS GREATER THAN THE TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION 3.4.6.2 LIMITS. AT A RESULT, THE PLANT DECLARED AN UNUSUAL EVENT. AT 1954 HOURS, PLANT PERSONNEL ENTERED THE REACTOR CONTAINMENT BUILDING (RCB) TO INVESTIGATE. BY ISOLATING NORMAL LETDOWN WITH EXCESS LETDOWN IN SERVICE AND OBSERVING THE LEAK RATE DECREASE, THE LEAKAGE WAS IDENTIFIED TO BE IN THE RCS LETDOWN VALVE AICVLCV0465. THIS EVENT RESULTED FROM DAMAGED VALVE PACKING. THE VALVE WAS INSPECTED AND NO EVIDENCE WAS FOUND TO INDICATE A CAUSE FOR THE PACKING FAILURE. THE VALVE WAS REPACKED AND RETURNED TO AN OPERABLE STATUS. FURTHER CORRECTIVE ACTION WILL INVOLVE DISASEMBLING THE VALVE DURING THE NEXT REFUELING OUTAGE FOR UNIT 1 TO ATTEMPT TO LOCATE AND REPAIR THE CAUSE FOR PACKING FAILURES.

DRAFT

FORM	29			LER SCSS (DATA		02-23-03
******	*****	****	******	********	**********	*********	**********
DOCKET	YEAR 1991	LER	NUMBER	REVISION	DCS NUMBER	NSIC 223254	EVENT DATE
******	*****	****	*******	********	*********		*******

ABSTRACT

POWER LEVEL - 100%. ON 9/14/91, AT 1439, UNIT 1 WAS IN MODE 1 AT 100% POWER WHEN THE ROD POSITION DEVIATION MONITOR WAS INCORRECTLY DECLARED OPERABLE BY THE SHIFT SUPERVISOR. THE ERROR WAS DISCOVERED ON 9/15/91, AT 0415 UNEN THE "ROD DEVIATION" ANNUNCIATOR WAS RECEIVED. DURING THE TIME THE MONITOR WAS INCORRECTLY CONSIDERED OPERABLE, TWO INCREASED FREQUENCY SURVEILLANCES WERE MISSED, RESULTING IN A TECH SPEC VIOLATION. THE CAUSE OF THE EVENT WAS THAT ERRORS WERE MADE BY THREE SHIFT SUPERVISORS IN INPLEMENTING THE PROCEDURAL REQUIREMENTS REGARDING THE OPERABILITY TRACKING LOG SYSTEM. ALSO, THE SHIFT SUPERVISOR WHO INCORRECTLY DECLARED THE MONITOR OPERABLE DID NOT CONSULT ALL REFERENCES PRIOR TO MAKING AN OPERABILITY DETERMINATION. AN ADDITIONAL CAUSE WAS INADEQUATE IDENTIFICATION OF THE EFFECT OF THE TEMPORARY MODIFICATION PACKAGE WHICH DOCUMENTED THE MONITOR INOPERABLITY. A BRIEFING WILL BE GIVEN TO THE LICENSED OPERATORS STRESSING THE IMPORTANCE OF THE OPERABILITY TRACKING LOG SYSTEM AS DESCRIBED IN THE CONFIGURATION MANAGEMENT PROCEDURE. ALSO, A MEMO HAS BEEN SENT TO ALL DETERMINATIONS. THE TEMPORARY MODIFICATION REQUEST FORM HAS BEEN REVISED TO PROVIDE A CLEARER OPERABILITY DETERMINATION REMINDER.

		LER 3133	DATAU			02-28-08
*****	*******	********	*****	*******	*********	*********
AR LER 91	NUMBER	REVISION	N DCS 911	NUMBER	NS1C 223453	EVENT DATE
	AR LER	AR LER NUMBER 91 022	AR LER NUMBER REVISIO 91 022 0	AR LER NUMBER REVISION DCS 91 022 0 911	AR LER NUMBER REVISION DCS NUMBER 91 022 0 9111190266	AR LER NUMBER REVISION DCS NUMBER NSIC 91 022 0 9111190266 223453

ABSTRACT

POWER LEVEL - 100%. ON OCTOBER 14, 1991, AT 2304 HOURS, UNIT 1 WAS IN MODE 1 AT 100 POWER. SOLID STATE PROTECTION SYSTEM (SSPS) LOGIC TRAIN R FUNCTIONAL TEST WAS IN PROCRESS WHEN THE LICENSED OPERATOR PERFORMING THE SURVEILLANCE MISUNDERSTOOD THE INTENT OF A NOTE IN THE PROCEDURE AND FAILED TO BLOCK THE TURBINE TRIP SIGNAL BEFORE PROCEEDING TO THE NEXT STEP. THE "MEMORIES" TEST SWITCH WAS PLACED IN POSITION 16 AND AN AUTOMATIC TRAIN R TRIP SIGNAL WAS GENERATED. TRAIN R TRIP SIGNAL GENERATED A "TURBINE TRIP UPON REACTOR TRIP" SIGNAL WHICH HAD NOT BEEN BLOCKED AND THE "MEMORIES" TEST SWITCH ALSO MALFUNCTIONED, WHICH 1F IT HAD FUNCTIONED PROPERLY SHOULD HAVE ALSO BLOCKED THE TRIP SIGNAL. SUBSEQUENTLY, THE MAIN TURBINE TRIPPED AND, COINCIDENT WITH A "REACTOR POWER ABOVE 50" SIGNAL, A VALID TRAINS REACTOR TRIP SIGNAL WAS GENERATED TRIPPING THE REACTOR. THE CAUSE OF THIS EVENT WAS PERSONNEL ERROR BY THE LICENSED OPERATOR WHO EXERCISED POOR JUDGEMENT WHILE PERFORMING THE TEST. CONTRIBUTING FACTORS WERE A LESS THAN IDEAL PROCEDURE AND THE MALFUNCTION OF THE "MEMORIES" TEST SWITCH. CORRECTIVE ACTIONS INCLUDE SITE-WIDE TRAINING SESSIONS FOR APPROPRIATE PLANT PERSONNEL STRESSING THE APPLICATION OF SELF VERIFICATION DURING WORK PERFORMANCE, COUNSELING OF THE LICENSED OPERATOR INVOLVED IN THE EVENT, REVISION OF ALL SP SERIES SURVEILLANCES PERFORMED AT POWER THAT HAVE THE POTENTIAL TO TRIP THE UNIT/MAIN TURBINE.

FORM	31			LER	SCSS	DATA			02.2	7.01
******	******	-	******			******	*******	********	PPPPPPPP	2-43
DOCKET 498	YEAR 1991	LER	NUMBER 024	REV	0	N DCS 911	NUMBER 1270005	NSIC 223450	EVENT 1	DATE

ABSTRACT

POWER LEVEL - 100%. DURING A REVIEW OF NRC INFORMATION NOTICE 89-90 SUPPLEMENT 1, DATED SEPTEMBER 5, 1991 AND WCAP-12910, WITH UNIT 1 IN MODE 1 AT 100 PERCENT POWER AND UNIT 2 IN A MODE 6 REFUELING OUTAGE, IT WAS DISCOVERED THAT THE UFSAR CHAPTER 15 SAFETY ANALYSIS DID NOT CONSIDER THE TIME REQUIRED TO PURGE THE LOOP SEAL FOR THE PRESSURIZER SAFETY RELIEF VALVES (SRVS). IMMEDIATE ACTIONS TAKEN YO INVESTIGATE THE PROBLEM CONFIRMED THAT THE CALCULATED PEAK RCS PRESSURE FOR THE LOCKED ROTOR EVENT WITH THE PRESSURIZER SRV LOOP SEAL DELAY TIME WOULD EXCEED THE NRC SAFETY LIMIT OF 110% DESIGN PRESSURE. ON OCTOBER 30, 1991, A STATION PROBLEM RED THE SUED IDENTIFYING THE DEFICIENCY IN THE SAFETY ANALYSIS. ON NOVEMBER 5, 1991, A STATION FOR CONTINUED OPERATIOM (JCO) WAS ISSUED. THE JCO CONCLUDED THAT THE CONDITION DOES NOT RESULT IN EITHER STPEGS UNITS 1 OR 2 BEING IN AN UNSAFE CONDITION. THE CAUSE OF THE EVENT WAS THAT THE NSSS VENDOR DID NOT CONSIDER THE DELAY TIME IDENTIFIED THAT NO UNSAFE CONDITION EXISTS, NO IMMEDIATE CORRECTIVE ACTIONS ARE PLANNED. AFTER THE DELAY TIME IDENTIFIED THAT NO UNSAFE CONDITION EXISTS, NO IMMEDIATE CORRECTIVE ACTIONS ARE PLANNED. AFTER THE NRC APPROVAL OF WCAP-12910 AND WESTINGHOUSE OWNERS GROUP (WOG) RESOLUTION OF THIS ISSUE, ADDITIONAL ACTIONS WILL BE DEVELOPED

DRAFT

FORM	32			LER SCSS D	ATA			0.5	
******	*****	****	*******		ALM .			02-	23-93
DODVEY				***********		********	******	******	*****
LOR	TEAR	LER	NUMBER	REVISION	DCS	NUMBER	NSIC	EVENT	DATIE
******	1991		021	0	911	1250263	223570	10/	10/91
		****	******	*******	****	*******	********	******	

ABSTRACT

POWER LEVEL - 100%. ON OCTOBER 10, 1991, AT 2056, UNIT 1 WAS IN MODE 1 AT 100% POWER WHEN POWER FROM THE 1J BUS WAS LOST. DURING THE PERFORMANCE OF WORK ACTIVITIES, A MAINTEMANCE ELECTRICIAN MISAPPLIED MULTIMETER TEST LEADS IN AN ENERGIZED CIRCUIT WITH THE MULTIMETER SET TO READ "RESISTANCE". INE MISAPPLIED TEST LEADS ENERGIZED RELAT 24 WHICH ACTUATED THE 86% LOCKOUT RELAY CAUSING BREAKER P150 TO TRIP AND DE-ENERGIZE THE 1J BUS. UPON LOSS OF POWER ON 1J BUS, REACTOR COOLAMT PUMP (RCP) 1D TRIPPED AND CAUSED A REACTOR TRIP DUE TO LOW COOLAMT FLOW. THE BUS WAS RE-ENERUIZED AT 2059 FROM THE UNIT AUXILIARY TRANSFORMER WITH NO FURTHER INCIDENTS. THE PRIMARY CAUSE ELEMENTARY DRAMING READING AND TROUBLESHOOTING TECHNIQUES WERE LESS THAN ADEQUATE. A TRAINING SESSION IS BEING A TESTING PROGRAM WILL BE IMPLEMENTED TO ENSURE THAT APPLICABLE PERSONNEL ARE QUALIFIED TO USE ELEMENTARY DRAWINGS TO AID IN PERFORMANCE OF MAINTENANCE ACTIVITIES.

FORM	33			LEP SCSS	DATA			
*******	******		********	******	PREFE	******		02-23-93
PL PLATATE A							********	1-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2
LOR	TEAR	LER	NUMBER	REVISIO	N DCS	NUMBER	NSIC	EVENT DATE
****		****	023	0	911	1270022	223571	10/20/91
				********	*****	****	********	**********

ABSTRACT

POWER LEVEL - 000%. ON OCTOBER 20, 1991 UNIT 1 WAS IN MODE 4 AND UNIT 2 WAS IN NO-MODE DURING A SCHEDULED REFUELING OUTAGE, WHEN THE DETERMINATION WAS MADE THAT CRACKS FOUND ON THE RESIDUAL HEAT REMOVAL (RNR) MOTOR "T" LEADS EPOXY INTERFACE WERE REPORTABLE. ON OCTOBER 11, 1991, WHILE PERFORMING WORK OW UNIT 2 RHR NOTOR "A", LEADS FOR THE MOTOR WERE DISCOVERED TO BE DAMAGED. EXAMINATION OF THE RENAINING UNIT 2 RHR PUMP MOTORS AND UNIT TRAIN A AND TRAIN C, REVEALED SIMILAR MOTOR LEAD INSULATION CRACKING ON ALL OF THESE RHR PUMPS. THE APPARENT CAUSE OF THIS CRACKING IS THAT DIFFERENCES IN THE FLEXIBILITY OF THE MOTOR LEAD BETWEEN THE ORIGINAL IRSULATION AND INSULATION USING RAYCHEM SLEEVES, CONCENTRATED THE BENDING STRESS IN THE CABLE IN THE AREA ADJACENT TO THE POXY CAUSING THE CRACKING. THE UNIT 1 RHR PUMP MOTOR "T" LEAD INSULATION CRACKS HAVE BEEN REPAIRED, AND UNIT RECURRENCE OF THE CRACKING.

FORM	34			LEP SCSS P	ATA				
******	******		*******	*******	****	********		02-1	23-93
D. D.D.W.E.P.								sesses.	*****
LOCKET	TEAR	LER	NUMBER	REVISION	DCS	NUMBER	NSIC	EVENT	DATE
******	1791		010	1	920	9300002	0	12/3	24/91
				*****	****	********	********	*******	*****

ABSTRACT

POWER LEVEL - 016%. On December 24, 1991, at 1644 hours, Unit 2 was operating at 30% Rated Thermal Power (RTP) when pressurizer spray valve PCV-655C failed open. This ultimately caused an automatic reactor trip and Safety Injection (SI) actuation on low pressure at 1648 hours from 16% RTP. Three Reactor Coolant Pumps (RCPs) were injection to the reactor occurred. All available safety equipment performed as designed and no actual connecting plate on the pressurizer spray valve controller. Locking nuts were added to the spray valve feedback arm linkage connecting screws. Corrective actions included improving maintenance work instructions, conducting the event. LER92227001.U2

FORM	35			LER	SCSS	DATA			02.21.01
*******	******		*******	***	*****	*****	*******	********	UL LJ 73
DOCKET	YEAR 1992	LER	NUMBER 002	REN	0	N DCS 920	NUMBER 2250231	NSIC 224104	EVENT DATE 10/18/91

ABSTRACT

POMER LEVEL - 000%. ON JANUARY 24, 1992, UNIT 1 WAS IN MODE 1 AT 100% WHIN IT WAS DISCOVERED THAT CONTAINMENT INTEGRITY REQUIREMENTS WERE VIOLATED BEGINNING ON OCTOBER 18, 1991, AND LASTING APPROXIMATELY 47 HOURS. REPAIRS WERE MADE TO A LEAKING HANDHOLE COVER ON THE SECONDARY SIDE OF STEAM GENERATOR 1C, WHILE THE UNIT WAS IN MODE 4, IN VIOLATION OF THE CONTAINMENT INTEGRITY TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION. THIS EVENT WAS CAUSED BY A MISINTERPRETATION OF THE CONTAINMENT INTEGRITY TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION. THIS EVENT WAS CAUSED BY A NISINTERPRETATION OF THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE CONTAINMENT INTEGRITY TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS. CORRECTIVE ACTIONS INCLUDE DISSEMINATION OF INFORMATION REGARDING THIS EVENT TO PLANT MANAGEMENT AND APPROPRIATE OPERATIONS, LICENSING, AND SCHEDULING PERSONNEL. THIS EVENT WILL ALSO BE REVIEWED WITH APPROPRIATE PLANT PERSONNEL DURING ADDITIONALLY, MAINTENANCE WILL ADD GUIDANCE TO APPROPRIATE PROCEDURES, THAT CONTAINMENT INTEGRITY IS REQUIRED IN MODES 1 THROUGH 4 AND THAT OPENING SECONDARY STEAM GENERATOR COVERS BREACHES CONTAINMENT INTEGRITY.

FORM	36			LER	SCSS	DATA			02.23.03
******	******	****	*******	****	*****		*******	********	UC-CJ-YJ
DOCKET	YEAR 1992	LER	NUMBER 001	REV	/1510k	DCS 920	NUMBER 2250110	NS1C 224105	EVENT DATE 01/22/92

ABSTRACT

POMER LEVEL - 100%. ON JANUARY 22, 1992, UNIT 2 WAS IN MODE 1 AT 100% POMER. AT 0909 HOURS, UNIT 2 EXPERIENCED A REACTOR TRIP DUE TO POMER RANGE HIGH NEUTRON FLUX NEGATIVE RATE. THE PLANT WAS BROUGHT TO A STABLE CONDITION IN MODE 3 WITH NO UNEXPECTED POST-TRIP TRANSIENTS. THE CAUSE OF THE POMER RANGE HIGH NEUTRON FLUX NEGATIVE RATE TRIP WAS DROPPING OF CONTROL ROD H-6 INTO THE REACTOR CORE. THE COMTROL ROD DROPPED WHEN THE BLOCKING DIODE AND ITS ASSOCIATED STATIONARY GRIPPER COIL'S POMER CIRCUIT FAILED OPEN, RESULTING IN AN INTERRUPTION OF CURRENT TO THE STATIONARY GRIPPER COIL. THE CAUSE OF THE DIODE FAILURE REMAINS UNKNOWN. THE FAULTY DIODE WAS REPLACED, ALONG WITH ALL OTHER BLOCKING DIODES SHARING THE FAULTY DIODE'S MANUFACTURER'S DATE CODE. HLAP HAS SENT THE FAULTY DIODE AND THE OTHER SELECTED DIODES TO AN INDEPENDENT LABORATORY FOR ANALYSIS. HLAP WILL EVALUATE THE RESULTS OF THE ANALYSIS AND INITIATE FURTHER CORRECTIVE ACTIONS AS NEEDED. ADDITIONALLY HLAP, IN COOPERATION WITH WESTINGHOUSE, WILL PERFORM TESTING TO DETERMINE IF THE BLOCKING DIODES CAN BE ELIMINATED FROM THE PRESENT ROD-CONTROL SYSTEM DESIGN.

FORM	37			LER	SCSS	DATA			02.	28.01
******	******	****	*******	****	*****	*****	*******	********	-20	63-73
DOCKET	YEAR 1992	LER	NUMBER 002	RE	UISIO 0	N DCS 920	NUMBER 2250104	NSIC 224106	EVENT 01/	DATE 22/92

ABSTRACT

POMER LEVEL - 100%. ON JANUARY 22, 1992, IT WAS DETERMINED THAT STP UNIT 2 HAD BEEN OPERATED IN A CONFIGURATION WHICH RESULTED IN AN OVER TEMPERATURE DELTA TEMPERATURE (OTDT) TRIP SETPOINT WHICH WAS NOT CONSERVATIVE RELATIVE TO THE UFSAR SAFETY ANALYSIS. FOR A PERIOD OF APPROXIMATELY ONE MONTH BEGINNING ON SEPTEMBER 19, 1990, UNIT 2 WAS OPERATED WITH A FAILED THOT RESISTANCE TEMPERATURE DETECTOR (RTD) WHICH WAS BYPASSED UNTIL THE UNIT ENTERED A REFUELING OUTAGE. ALTHOUGH WITHIN THE LIMITS OF THE TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS, OPERATION WITH THE FAILED RTD COINCIDENT WITH THE NONCONSERVATIVE OTDT SETPOINT, WHICH SHOULD HAVE INCORPORATED VERITRAK TRANSMITTER UNCERTAINTIES, REPRESENTED A REPORTABLE CONDITION PURSUANT TO 10CFR50.73 FOR OPERATION IN AN UNANALYZED COMDITION. THE CAUSE OF THIS EVENT WAS PERSONNEL ERROR THROUGH A LACK OF ATTENTION TO DETAIL IN THE REVIEW AND RESOLUTION OF NSSS VENDOR RECOMMENDATIONS. ADMINISTRATIVE COMPENSATORY ACTIONS ALLOW STP UNITS 1 AND 2 TO CONTINUE NORMAL OPERATION WITHIN THE PRESENTLY DEFINED SAFETY LIMITS UNTIL THE PLANT SAFETY ANALYSIS IS REVISED AND ANY NECESSARY TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS AND THE PLANT SAFETY ANALYSIS IS REVISED

FORM	38			LER S	223	DATA			0.7	
*******	*****		*******			PAIR			02-	53-23
							*******	*****	******	****
DOCKET	YEAR	LER	NUMBER	REVI	\$10	0CS	NUMBER	NSIC	EVENT	DATE
499	1992		003		0	0201	1210197	22/204	0.7	DINIE
*******			-		~	760.	1910103	624301	06/1	24/42
					****	******	*******	********	*******	****

ABSTRACT

POWER LEVEL - 100%. ON FEBRUARY 24, 1992 AT 1515 HOURS, UNIT 2 WAS IN

MODE 1 AT 100% POWER. FEEDWATER FLOW OSCILLATIONS WERE OBSERVED ON THE STEAM GENERATOR FEEDWATER PUMP - TURBINE DRIVEN (SGFPT) #23. AT 1703 HOURS, THE LINEAR VARIABLE DIFFERENTIAL TRANSFORMER FOR THE HIGH PRESSURE GOVERNOR VALVE FOR SGFPT #22 FAILED LOW AND THE TURBINE SUBSEQUENTLY TRIPPED OM OVERSPEED. AT 1810 HOURS, SGFPT #21 WAS OBSERVED TO HAVE DECREASING SPEED. THE SGFPT #21 WAS PLACED IN MANUAL AND GIVEN A 100% DEMAND SIGNAL BUT THE SPEED CONTINUED TO DECREASE. SUBSEQUENTLY, MANUAL TURBINE LOAD REDUCTION BEGAN AND CONTROL RODS WERE PLACED IN AUTOMATIC. AT 1811 HOURS, THE REACTOR WAS MANUALLY TRIPPED WITH STEAM GENERATOR WATER LEVELS AT 47% (MARROW GENERATOR BUILDING (TGB) ROOF AND INTO THE ELECTRONYDRAULIC CONTROL (ENC) CABINET, WHICH IS THE COMMON CONTROL FOR ALL THREE SGFP'S. THE EH ELECTRONIC CONTROL SYSTEM WAS DRIED OUT AND SGFPT #21 AND #22 CONTROLS WERE RECALIBRATED. BELZONA FLEXIBLE MEMBRANE WAS APPLIED TO THE LEAKING EXPANSION JOINTS ON THE ROOF OF THE TGB. MODIFICATIONS WILL BE IMPLEMENTED TO SEAL THE TGB ROOFS OF BOTH UNITS.

FORM	39			LER SCSS D	ATA			03.37.07
******	******	****	*******	********		*******		02-25-93
DOCKET	YEAR 1992	LER	NUMBER	REVISION	DCS 920	NUMBER 3060094	NSIC 224399	EVENT DATE
****	******	****	*******	******	****	*******	********	*********

ABSTRACT

POWER LEVEL - 100%. ON JANUARY 22, 1992, UNIT 1 WAS IN MODE 1 AT 100 PERCENT POWER. ESSENTIAL CHILLER 11C WAS INOPERABLE FOR MAINTENANCE. DUE TO AN OBSERVED LOW OIL LEVEL ON ESSENTIAL CHILLER 11B, OPERATIONS DECLARED THE CHILLER OPERABLE. THIS CONSTITUTED TWO TRAINS OF ESSENTIAL CHILLERS BEING OPERABLE AND REQUIRED ENTRY INTO TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION 3.0.3. THE PERIOD OF TIME DURING WHICH TWO TRAINS OF ESSENTIAL CHILLERS WERE WERE FOR SALE WAS LESS THAN ONE HOUR. APPLICABLE OPERATING AND MAINTENANCE PROCEDURES ADDRESSING THE EFFECT OF OIL LEVEL ON ESSENTIAL CHILLER OPERABILITY, WILL BE REVISED.

FORM	40			LER SC	22	DATA			02.27.07
******	*****	****	*******	******		*****	*******		02-23-93
498	YEAR 1992	LER	NUMBER 003	REVIS	104	DCS 9204	NUMBER 210378	NS1C 224501	EVENT DATE 03/14/92

ABSTRACT

POWER LEVEL - 100%. ON MARCH 14, 1992, UNIT 1 WAS IN MODE 1 AT 100% POWER. A REACTOR TRIP OCCURRED AT APPROXIMATELY 1108 HOURS FROM A MOMENTARY FALSE REACTOR COOLANT LOW FLOW TRIP SIGNAL. INSTRUMENTATION & CONTROL TECHNICIANS CALIBRATING THE REACTOR COOLANT FLOW TRANSMITTER REVERSED THE PROCEDURAL SEQUENCE OF RESTORING THE TRANSMITTER CAUSING A MOMENTARY LOW (BELOW SETPOINT) DIFFERENTIAL PRESSURE TO BE DETECTED BY THE TWO ADJACENT FLOW TRANSMITTERS. THIS EVENT COMPLETED THE LOGIC IN THE SOLID STATE PROTECTION SYSTEM TO TRIP THE REACTOR. THE CAUSE OF THIS EVENT WAS FAILURE TO FOLLOW PROCEDURES WHICH RESULTED FROM INSUFFICIENT SUPERVISORY AND MANAGEMENT EMPHASIS ON THE RISK ASSOCIATED WITH THE TASK, AND A LIMITED SENSE OF RESPONSIBILITY BY THE TECHNICIANS TO ENSURE PROPER TASK COMPLETION. THE ACTIONS BEING TAKEN TO CORRECT THIS EVENT ARE: SUPERVISION IS REQUIRED TO BE PRESENT TO ENSURE EMPHASIS IS PLACED ON COMPLETING THE ACTIVITY CORRECTLY WHEN A POTENTIAL REACTOR TRIP COULD OCCUR; CLEAR DIRECTION FOR USE AND PHYSICAL PRESENCE OF PROCEDURES HAS BEEN PROVIDED TO MAINTENANCE CRAFTSMEN; AND A MEMORANDUM FROM MANAGEMENT WAS ISSUED EMPHASIZING THE SELF-CHECKING PRINCIPLE.

FORM	41			LER SCSS D	ATA			02-23-03
******	*****	****	*******	*********	****	*******	*******	***********
DOCKET	YEAR	LER	NUMBER	REVISION	DCS	NUMBER	NSIC	EVENT DATE
499	1992		005	1	920	9150399	0	05/08/92
******	*****	****	*******	*******	****	*******	*******	***********

ABSTRACT

POWER LEVEL - 100%. On May 8, 1992, Unit 2 was in Mode 1 at 100% power. At approximately 1324 hours a Containment Ventilation Isolation (CVI) actuation occurred. Operations personnel verified that all equipment actuated as designed. The radiation munitoring system did not indicate any high radiation conditions. The Containment Ventilation Isolation actuation appears to be the result of an equipment failure in a radiation monitoring RM-23A module. Troubleshooting of the suspect RM-23A module and meintenance history evaluations have been performed. LER92233001.U2

FORM	42			LER	SCSS	DATA			02-23-07
******	*****	****	******		*****		*******	********	**********
DOCKET	YEAR 1992	LER	NUMBER	REV	0	DCS 920	NUMBER	NSIC 224995	EVENT DATE
*******	*****	****	*******	*****	*****	*****	*******	********	***********

ABSTRACT

POWER LEVEL - 100%. ON APRIL 28, 1992, AT 1730 HOURS, UNIT 2 WAS IN MODE 1 AT 100% POWER WHEN AN UNUSUAL EVENT WAS DECLARED. UNIT 2 COMMENCED THE PLANT SHUTDOWN DUE TO AN ENTRY INTO TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION (TS) 3.0.3. THE ENTRY INTO TS 3.0.3 WAS REQUIRED WHEN THE ACTION STATEMENT OF TS 3.6.3 COULD NOT BE MET. THE ACTION STATEMENT REQUIRES THAT AT LEAST ONE ISOLATION VALVE BE OPERABLE IN EACH AFFECTED PENETRATION THAT IS OPEN. IN THIS CASE, BOTH CONTAINMENT ISOLATION VALVES (SB-FV-4187 AND SB-FV-4187A) FOR PENETRATION M-86 WERE DECLARED INOPERABLE AFTER ATTEMPTS WERE MADE TO CLOSE EACH VALVE WITHOUT SUCCESS. THE CAUSE OF THE VALVE FAILURES HAS NOT BEEN DETERMINED. THE CORRECTIVE ACTIONS TO PREVENT RECURRENCE ARE BEING EVALUATED. A TS CHANGE IS BEING EVALUATED TO ALLOW CREDIT FOR THE STEAM GENERATOR TUBES, TUBESHEET AND SHELL AS AN ISOLATION BARRIER.

FORM	43			LER SCSS C	ATA			02-1	23-03
******	******	****	*******	*********	*****	*******	********	******	*****
DOCKET	YEAR	LER	NUMBER	REVISION	DCS	NUMBER	NSIC	EVENT	DATE
699	1992		006	0	920	6240312	225109	05/1	22/92
******	*****	***	******	*********		********	********	****	

ABSTRACT

POWER LEVEL - 100%. ON MAY 22, 1992, UNIT 2 WAS IN MODE 1 AT 100%, WHEN THE COMPONENT COOLING WATER (CCW) OUTLET VALVE FROM RESISUAL HEAT REMOVAL HEAT EXCHANGER 2C OPENED FOR NO APPARENT REASON. AS A RESULT, CCW HEADER PRESSURE DECREASED AND CCW PUMP 2A AUTOMATICALLY STARTED DUE TO THE TRANSIENT. THE CAUSE OF THIS EVENT IS NOT KNOWN AT THIS TIME. PLANT OPERATORS PERFORMED A VISUAL INSPECTION OF THE VALVE AND STROKED THE VALVE WITH NO ADVERSE FINDINGS. ADDITIONALLY, THE OPERATORS SATISFACTORILY TESTED THE FUNCTION OF THE SLAVE RELAYS AND THE VALVE RESPONSE. THE MOST LIKELY CAUSE OF THIS EVENT IS A LOSS OF POWER TO THE SOLANOID VALVE SINCE NO LEAKS WERE DETECTED AND THE VALVE STROKED SATISFACTORILY. ADDITIONAL TROUBLESHODTING WOULD NOT RESULT IN A CONCLUSIVE CAUSE OF THE EVENT, THEREFORE, NO ADDITIONAL CORRECTIVE ACTIONS ARE NECESSARY.

DRAFT

FORM	44			LER SCSS D	ATA			02-	22-03
******	*****	****	*******	*********	****	*******	********	*******	*****
DOCKET	YEAR	LER	NUMBER	REVISION	DCS	NUMBER	NSIC	EVENT	DATE
498	1992		004	0	920	6290075	0	05/	19/92
*******	******	****	******	********		********	********	*******	*****

ABSTRACT

POWER LEVEL - 100%. ON MAY 19, 1992, UNITS 1 AND 2 WERE IN MODE 1 AND AT 100% POWER. A SYSTEM ENGINEER PERFORMING A BIENNIAL REVIEW OF A SURVEILLANCE TEST PROCEDURE USED TO TEST THE MANUAL REACTOR TRIP FUNCTION, IDENTIFIED THAT THE TEST DID NOT ADEQUATELY TEST ALL CONTACTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE HANDSWITCHES USED TO INITIATE A MANUAL REACTOR TRIP VIA THE SHUNT TRIP DEVICE. THE LACK OF THIS TESTING RENDERED BOTH CHANNELS OF THE MANUAL REACTOR TRIP FUNCTION INDPERABLE. TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION 3.0.3 WAS ENTERED AND AN UNUSUAL EVENT WAS DECLARED. THE UNUSUAL EVENT WAS TERMINATED FOLLOWING VERBAL AUTHORIZATION FROM THE NEX THROUGH A TEMPORARY WAIVER OF COMPLIANCE. THE CAUSE OF THE EVENT WAS UNFAMILIARITY OF THE INDIVIDUAL RESPONSIBLE FOR DEVELOPING THE ORIGINAL PROCEDURE WITH THE REACTOR TRIP FEATURE. A CONTRIBUTING CAUSE WAS INADEQUATE REVIEW OF THE PROCEDURE DURING VARIOUS REVIEW CYCLES. CORRECTIVE ACTIONS INCLUDE: DEVELOPING A TEMPORARY TESTING, AND REVIEWING SURVEILLANCE PROCEDURES TO EMSURE THAT THEY MEET TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION REQUIREMENTS.

FORM	45			LER	SCSS	DATA			02-	50-55
******	*****	****	*******	****		*****	*******	********	*******	00000
DOCKET 498	YEAR 1992	LER	NUMBER 005	RE	0	N DCS 920	NUMBER 7150030	NSIC	EVENT	DATE 08/92
	******	****	********	****	*****	*****	*******	********	******	****

ABSTRACT

POWER LEVEL - 100%. On June 8, 1992 Unit 1 was in Mode 1 at 100% power, when an inadvertent start of a Component Cooling Water (CCW) pump occurred. This event occurred when the discharge header pressure went below the setpoint for starting the standby pump. The discharge header pressure decreased because of a high flow condition when one of two running pumps was manually shut down during performance of a surveillance test. The flow condition was caused by inadvertently leaving a large valve open. The cause of this event was that inadequate procedural guidance was available for performance of the test lineup. The possibility of this type of actuation was not recognized and was not incorporated in procedures. corrective actions include performing an evaluation to determine which plant procedures need to be reviewed for insufficient procedural steps to operate plant equipment, revising the appropriate procedures to incorporate appropriate guidance for proper system configurations and to support the conduct of testing, and developing a clear plant directive, for Operations personnel, emphasizing that safety equipment manipulations must be governed by written guidance and that procedural changes must be implemented before work proceeds when written guidance is lacking. LER92183001.01

FORM	40			LER	SCSS	DATA			02-28-08
*******	******	****	******		****	******	*******	********	**********
A98	YEAR 1992	LER	NUNBER 006	RE	01510	DCS 920	NUMBER 7270063	NSIC	EVENT DATE 03/18/92
	******		*******	****	·····································	教育教育者ない	******	********	***********

ABSTRACT

POWER LEVEL - 033%. On March 18, 1992, with Unit 1 in Mode 1 at 33% power, the Shift Supervisor discovered that all four Auxiliary Feedwater (AFW) flow control valves were in the closed position following a reactor trip on March 14, 1992, contrary to the normal position as specified per procedures. The correct position for the AFW flow control valves is specified as open in plant procedures and plant drawings. The cause of this event was less than adaquate procedures. A contributing cause was insttention to detail by the operating crews in not detecting the mispositioned valves for four days. Corrective actions included immediately opening the AFW flow control valves, revising the Reactor Trip Response procedure to require opening the AFW control valves after securing the AFW pumps, and revising the Plant Startup to 100% procedure so that verification of AFW system alignment for successed Operator Requalification Training. In additional step. Additionally, this event will be added to the Licensed Operator Requalification Training. In addition, the Independent Safety Engineering Group (ISEG) will perform an in-depth review of causal factors for valve mispositioning. Additional corrective actions will be developed based on the results of ISEG's review. LER92195001.U1

DRAFT

FORM	47			LER SCSS D	ATA			02.28.08
******	******	****	*******	*********	****	*******	*******	06-63-93
000KET 498	YEAR 1992	LER	NUMBER 007	REVISION	DCS 920	NUMBER 8240342	NSIC	EVENT DATE 07/10/92

ABSTRACT

POWER LEVEL - 095%. On July 10, 1992, at approximately 0917 hours Unit 1 was in Mode 1 at 95 percent power. An unplanned Engineered Safety Features (ESF) actuation occurred during the performance of the Spent Fuel Pool Exhaust Monitor surveillance test. Instrumentation and Control (1&C) Technicians were performing the surveillance test as required by Technical Specifications. An erroneous value was entered into the RM-23A module. With the erroneous value being present when the conversion factor was subsequently entered, the RM-23A immediately processed the data and prematurely actuated the Fuel Handling Suilding isolation equipment. The cause of the event is attributed to lack of attention to detail and not using effective self-verification the technician involved with a written reminder under the STPEGS Constructive Discipline Program. HL&P will also perform an evaluation to determine which procedures need to be revised to ensure a dual verification is performed for those actions where incorrect data entry errors could cause ESF actuations. LER92203001.U1

FORM	48			LER	SCSS	DATA			03	27.07
*******	*****	****	******	****	*****	*****	*******	********	02-1	63-93
DOCKET 498	YEAR 1992	LER	NURABER 008	REV	0	0CS 920	NUMBER 9020007	NSIC	EVENT	DATE 51/92

ABSTRACT

POWER LEVEL - 100%. On July 31, 1992, Unit 1 was in Mode 1 at 100% power. At 1048 hours, a Containment Ventilation Isolation (CVI) actuation occurred. Control Room personnel verified that all equipment actuated as designed. The Containment Vent Isolation radiation monitors did not indicate any high radiation conditions. The most likely cause of this event is a momentary variance in current to the remote control unit (RM-23A) associated with radiation monitor (RI-8013B) for the Containment Purge System sufficient to cause an actuation. Troubleshooting of both radiation monitors for the Containment Purge System will be performed. Additionally, hot connections identified in the Unit 1 RM-23 module cabinets will be repaired. LER92231002.U1

FORM	49			LER SCSS D	ATA			02.27.07
*******	*****	****	*******	********		******	********	V2-23-43
DOCKET 498	YEAR 1992	LER	NUMBER 009	REVISION	DCS 920	NUMBER 9040194	NSIC	EVENT DATE 08/01/92
******	*****	****	******	********	****	*******	********	*********

ABSTRACT

POWER LEVEL - 100%. On August 1, 1992, Unit 1 was in Mode 1 at 100% power. Testing of the Solid State Protection System (SSPS) actuation train "C" slave relays was in progress. At approximately 2049 hours, the operator performing the Auxiliary Feedwater (AFW) portion of the test misread a procedure step which directed him to verify that the #13 AFW pump did not start following a relay actuation. Rather than verify the pump did not start, the operator turned the control switch on in an attempt to verify that the pump would not start. The #13 AFW pump started and discharged into "C" Steam Gumerator. The operator quickly realized the error and stopped the pump. The cause of this event was instention to detail, in that the operator misreed the test procedure. Corrective actions include revising the SSPS Actuation Train Slave Relay Test procedures to provide more distinction between steps which verify equipment startup and steps which require an attempted component startup and including this event into the Licensed Operator Requalification training. Additionally, other surveillance procedures were identified to ensure that equipment actuations are clearly defined and a plan of action was developed to enhance these procedures. LER92231001.U1

FORM	50			LER SCSS	DATA			02-21	50.3
******	*****		*******	********	*****	*******	********	********	
DOCKET	YEAR	LER	NUMBER	REVISION	DCS	NUMBER	NSIC	EVENT C	ATE
******		****	010	0	920	9110174	0	05/00	3/92

ABSTRACT

POWER LEVEL - 100%. On August 8, 1992, Unit 1 was in Mode 1 at 100% power. Operators began a surveillance to verify acceptable Component Cooling Water (CCW) flow to the Reactor Containment Fan Coolers (RCFCs). While establishing flow via the running "B" Train CCW pump to the RCFC the standby train "A" CCW pump started due to a sensed low pressure on the miscellaneous supply header. The "A" train CCW pump started and operated properly and was shut down when it was verified not to be required. The cause of this event was lack of adequate procedural guidance. corrective actions include revising the surveillance and revising the system operator system operator procedure to include guidance for changing pump configurations. LER92239001.U1

FORM	51			LER SCSS	ATA			02-27-07
******	******		*******	********	*****	********	********	06-23-73
DOCKET 498	YEAR 1992	LER	NUMBER 011	REVISION	DCa 920	NUMBER 9300276	NSIC	EVENT DATE 08/24/92
*******	******	****	*****	******	****	****	******	*******

ABSTRACT

POWER LEVEL - 093%. On August 24, 1992, Units 1 and 2 were in Mode 1, with Unit 1 at 93% power and coasting down, and Unit 2 at 100% power. The Surveillance Review Task Force identified that the performance of the Reactor Coolant Pump (RCP) Undervoltage (UV) and Underfrequency (UF) Trip Actuating Device Operability Test (TADOT) surveillance procedures did not verify the bistable status monitoring (BSM) lights operability. The cause of this event is due to the writers and authorities who approve Field Changes (FCS) not identifying the need to verify the BSM lights, which were required to be tested per the Technical Specifications. This was due to inadequaste understanding of the definition of TADOT by the individuals involved. This event occurred as a result of FCs in the Spring of 1990. The FCs (also a contributing factor) allowed the removal of verification of a portion of the RCP UV and UF circuitry and the BSM lights from the test procedure. This allowed the surveillance test to be incomplete and allowed entry into Mode 1, following the outage, with only a partially proven channel. Corrective actions include: verification of operability of BSM lights in both units, revision of BSM acceptance criteris of the surveillance procedure, performance of RCP TADOTS that are scheduled during outages while the plant is in Mode 5 and prior to Mode 1, a clear definition of TADOT will be formally documented and presented to appropriate personnel for training, and revision of the procedure to limit the use of FCs for changing acceptance criteris. LER9226100...U1

FORM	52			LER SCSS (ATA			07-28-08
*******	*****		*******	*******	****	*******	********	VE-23-73
DOCKET 498	YEAR 1992	LER	NUMBER	REVISION	DCS 921	NUMBER 0090281	NSIC	EVENT DATE 09/03/92
******			******	******	*****	*******	********	*********

ABSTRACT

POWER LEVEL - 086% A September 3, 1992, Unit 1 was in Mode 1 at 86% power (coastdown). Operations personnel and the system Amer noted an unusual condition on the Digital Rod Position Indication (DRPI) panel. conditions deteriorsted to where it was impossible to determine control rod positions. At 1049 hours, both channels of DRPI were declared inoperable and an entry into Technical Specification 3.0.3 was made. At 1149 hours, an Unusual Event was declared due to being in a condition where a shutdown was required by the Technical Specifications. Accordingly, at 1352 hours, with DRPI still inoperable, a shutdown of the unit was commenced. At 1415 hours, I&C Technicians completed the replacement of one of the redundant power supplies and DRPI indication was recovered. The power reduction was immediately terminated and following an assessment DRPI was declared operable at 1426 hours. The cause of this event was the failure of one of the DRPI control module power supplies coupled with an apparent unknown failure of the redundant power supply. Corrective actions include replacing one of the two power supplies and returning DRPI to an operable status, replacing the remaining failed power supply during the upcoming Unit 1 outage, and developing testing for both units for the DRPI system that will include an assessment of the control system power supplies. The test will be implemented during the next Unit 2 refueling outage. LER92266001.U1

FORM	53			LER SCSS D	ATA			02-23-03
*******	*****	****	*******	********			********	*********
DOCKET	YEAR 1992	LER	NUMBER	REVISION	DCS 921	NUMBER	NSIC	EVENT DATE
*****	******	****	*******	*********	****	*******	********	*********

ABSTRACT

POWER LEVEL - 100%. On September 12 1992, Unit 2 was in Mode 1 at 100% power. Operators were performing quarterly Main Steam system valve operability testing of the solenoid operated containment isolation valve. An operator was dispatched to the Isolation Valve Cubicle (IVC) building to open the Main Steam upstream manual drain isolation valve. At 0535 hours, approximately one minute after the valve was manually opened, the above seat drain line valve on the Main Steam line "D" (MS7903A) indicated open in the Control Room. No intentional action was taken to open MS7903A. The cause of the unexpected opening of the isolation valve is "burping", an undesirable, but avoidable characteristic of piloted SOVs. The slow closure of MS7903A following the "burping" transient was apparently due to a position indication malfunction caused or influenced by unequal temperatures internal to the SOV. Corrective actions include providing training to appropriate plant departments describing the burping characteristics of piloted SOVs including suggested operational means for avoiding the problem. Additionally, a review of other systems containing piloted SoVs will be performed to determine the susceptibility of "burping." System surveillance procedures will be revised as necessary. LER92336001.U2

	*******	*********	********	***********
AR LER NUMBER 92 008	REVISION	DCS NUMBER 9210200003	NSIC	EVENT DATE 09/15/92
	AR LER NUMBER	AR LER NUMBER REVISION P2 00/2 0	AR LER NUMBER REVISION DCS NUMBER 92 000 0 9210200003	AR LER NUMBER REVISION DCS NUMBER NSIC 92 000 0 9210200003 0

ABSTRACT

POWER LEVEL - 100%. On September 15, 1992, Unit 2 was in Mode 1 at 100% power. At 0836 hours a control room takic gas non-ESF alarm was received. Control room personnel were in the process of verifying the validity of the alarm when the control room envelope Heating Ventilation and Air conditioning system actuated to the recirculation mode on a high taxic gas ESF actuation signal. The redundant analyzer did not actuate. Testing of the analyzer indicated the cause to be a failed infrared source. The analyzer has been repaired and returned to service. The existing taxic gas analyzers are to be replaced with state-of-the-art models. These changes will be made during the current outsge for Unit 1 and during the next scheduled refueling outage for Unit 2.

FORM	55			LER SCSS I	ATA			02-21	1-01
*******	******		*******	********	*****		********	*******	****
DOCKET	YEAR 1992	LER	NUMBER 013	REVISION	DCS 921	NUMBER 0210031	NSIC	EVENT 1	ATE

ABSTRACT

POWER LEVEL - 100%. On September 15, 1992, Units 1 and 2 were in Mode 1, with Unit 1 at 79% power and coasting down, and Unit 2 at 100% power. The Surveillance Review Task Force identified that the portion of the Containment Spray (HI-3) channels between the process instrumentation and the Engineered Safety Festure (ESF) actuation and logic instrumentation was not being tested. At 0855 hours, both Units entered Technical Specification 3.0.3, however relief allowed by Technical Specification 4.0.3 was used to delay entry into the 3.0.3 action statements for 26 hours to complete the required testing. The required testing was satisfactorily completed at 1611 hours and at 1335 hours, for Unit 1 and Unit 2 respectively, and Technical Specification 3.0.3 was exited. The cause of this event was that the individual(s) developing the surveillance test procedures did not recognize the significance of the test circuit used to verify continuity of Containment Spray (HI-3) circuitry. Corrective actions included verifying the continuity of the Containment Spray (HI-3) circuitry and revising the procedures governing Containment Pressure Analog Channel Operational Test, to verify continuity of the Containment Spray (HI-3) circuits. LER92283001.01

FORM	56			LER SCSS I	ATA			07.27.07
******	*****	-	******	*******				06-63-93
DATET	VEAD					********	********	***********
DUGLET	TEAR	LER	NUMBLER	REVISION	DCS	NUMBER	NSIC	EVENT DATE
498	1992		014	0	921	1030004	0	00/28/02
******	******	****	*******	******		*******	******	*********

ABSTRACT

POWER LEVEL - 000%. On September 28, 1992, Unit 1 was in mode 6 during a refueling outage. The Containment Ventilation Isolation (CVI) Actuation and Response Time Test was in progress. The procedure used verifies the response time for equipment required to actuate on a CVI signal by simulating a high rediation signal to radiation monitors RT-8012 and RT-8013. At 1623 hours, while testing RT-8012, RT-8012 went into alarm and of this event was attributed to a less than adequate procedure. The CVI actuation was caused by a high radiation signal due to an artificially low high alarm setpoint established during test conditions. The value surveillance procedure to change the multiplication factor used when calculating the new setpoint for the response time test to increase the test value of the high alarm setpoint and 2) performing an evaluation to determine if the methodology can be improved to reduce the potential for future actuations. LER92293001.U1

FORM	57			LER	2232	DATA			0.2	27.07
******	******	-	*******		****		*******	********	02-1	23-93
498	YEAR 1992	LER	NUMBER 015	REV	0	0CS 921	NUMBER 1120152	NSIC	EVENT 10/0	DATE 03/92

ABSTRACT

POWER LEVEL - 000%. On October 3, 1992, at 0433 hours, Unit 1 was in Mode 6 while in a refueling outage. The C train (1C) Component Cooling Water (CCW) pump received an automatic actuation from the miscellaneous header low pressure signal. Prior to the start, the operators had filled and vented the Engineered Safety Features (ESF) header of the 18 CCW train per the Component Cooling Water system procedure in order to restore it to an operable status. The miscellaneous header was isolated from the B train pump by closed automatic valves and the 18 pump was not yet running. The static fill and vent was completed satisfactorily and a subsequent action in the procedure was to manually start the 18 pump. When the 18 pump was started, the 1C pump started on low procedural conditions which required extra attention by the operator. Corrective actions include revising the affected procedure to make the mode selector switch setting mandatory, reviewing and revising additional procedures to incorporate the mandatory mode selector switch setting, counseling the involved Operations personnel, and incorporating this event into Licensed Operator Requalification Training. LER92297001.U1

FORM	58			LER SCSS D	ATA			03 37 07
*******	*****	-	*******	********				02-23-93
							3**	**********
LOCKET	YEAR	LER NUMB 016	NUMBER	REVISION	DCS	NUMBER	NSIC	EVENT DATE
	IYYE		010	0	921		0	10/04/92
			******	*******	****	******	******	***********

ABSTRACT

POWER LEVEL - 000%. On October 4, 1992, Unit 1 was in Mode 6 during a refueling outage. The Demineralized Water makeup valve to the Component Cooling Water (CCW) surge tank had been isolated the previous day, in preparation for an addition of corrosion inhibitor. At approximately 0318 hours, Unit 1 experienced an unplanned Engineered Safety Features (ESF) actuation due to an automatic pump start of CCW components caused by a low level in the CCW surge tank. The appropriate off-normal procedure was implemented and level in the surge tank was restored without further incident. All ESF equipment operated as designed. This event was the result of a failure to reopen the CCW surge tank makeup valve following a chemical addition. The immediate cause of this event is less than adequate communications. An additional cause was the lack of a procedural step to verify valve position. This event will be included in requalification training for licensed and non-licensed operators, chemical a requirement for verification when manipulating safety related valves. Additionally, a review will be performed of procedures that contains Operations and Chemistry interfeces to ensure edequate independent verification is specified for those systems that require verification of valve positioning. LER92297002.01

DRAFT

FORM	59			LER SCI	SS DA	TA			02-	22-02
******	*****	****	*******	******		-		********		63-93
DOCKET	YEAR 1992	LER	NUMBER	REVIS	I ON	DCS 9212	NUMBER 2160045	NSIC	EVENT	DATE

ABSTRACT

POWER LEVEL - 000%. On November 1, 1992, at 1506 hours, Unit 1 was defueled during a refueling outage and Unit 2 was in Mode 1 at 100% power. The Surveillance Review Task Force identified that the Feedwater Isolation Actuation and Response Time Testing procedures did not satisfy the requirements for the time-response testing between Safety Injection and Feedwater Isolation because they did not test through the slave relays. It was later discovered that a similar condition existed between Ni-Hi Stews Generator Level and Feedwater Isolation circuitry. Unit 2 entered Technical Specification 3.0.3, however relief allowed by Technical Specification 4.0.3 was used to delay entry into the 3.0.3 action statements for 24 hours to complete the required testing. Unit 1 did not enter any Technical Specification action statements since none were applicable at that time. The required testing was completed for Unit 2 on November 12, 1992 at 0706 hours and for Unit 1 on December 5, 1992 at 2030 hours. The cause of this event was that the individuals involved in developing the original testing. Corrective action included performing the required response time testing using the slave relays and revising the Feedwater Isolation and Response Time Testing Procedures to accurately test through the slave relays.

FORM	60			LER	SCSS	DATA			02-	28-08
******	******	****	******	****	****	******	*******	********		23-73
DOCKET	YEAR 1992	LER	NUMBER 019	REV	0	N DCS 930	NUMBER 1050273	NSIC	EVENT	DATE
*******	*****	****	新新教育教育教会	****	****	*****	*******	********	*******	*****

ABSTRACT

POWER LEVEL - 000%. On December 2, 1992, Unit 1 was in Mode 5 during a refueling outage and Unit 2 was in Mode 1 at 100% power. At 1500 hrs, while reviewing a Nuclear Network item regarding a calculation error affecting the Power Operated Relief Valve (PORV) setpoint curves for the Cold Overpressure Mitigation System (COMS), it was determined that the same condition existed at South Texas Project. The analysis performed by Westinghouse for the COMS setpoint neglected the pressure loss of the reactor coolant flow through the reactor core. This resulted in a higher pressure at the reactor core midplane elevation than the pressure at the seming point in the RCS hot leg. Because of the error, COMS has been technically inoperable since the startup of each unit. Corrective actions for this event include issuing a Justification for Continued Operation (JCO), resetting the high PORV COMS setpoint curves to meet the JCO limit and requesting Westinghouse to revise the COMS Safety Analysis as well as providing a root cause analysis on this event to determine the generic implication and corrective actions. LER92356001.U1

FORM	61			LER SCSS (ATAC			02-	22.01
*******	******	****	******	*******	*****	*******	********		69990
DOCKET 498	YEAR 1992	LER	NLMBER 018	REVISION	DCS 9212	NUMBER	MSIC	EVENT	DATE
*******	******	****	*******	********	*****	*******	********	*******	*****

ABSTRACT

POWER LEVEL - 000%. On October 21, 2, Unit 1 was defueled during a refueling outage. After being reset at the third refueling outage for Unit 1 (1RE03), to the specified value of 2485 psig +/- 1.0%, the setpoints for the Unit 1 Pressurizer Safety Valves were found to be 6.7% below to 3.5% above the required setpoint during the fourth refueling outage (1RE04). This is a deviation from the +/- 1.0% Technical Specification requirement. The Unit 1 Pressurizer Safety Valves (PSV-3450, 3451 & 3452) had been sent to Wyle Laboratories for setpoint verification testing. Pressurizer Safety Valve setpoint drift is an industry-wide problem which as been known for some time. The Westinghouse Owners Group (WOS) has addressed this generic problem and WCAP-12910, which makes specific recommendations relative to PSV setpoint verification testing, has been issued. Corrective actions include pursuing efforts to modify the test procedure to test the Pressurizer Safety Valve lift setpoint on saturated steam as recommended by WCAP-12910 which is pending MRC concurrence. LER92346001.U1

DRAFT

FORM	62			LER	SCSS	DATA			02-2	23-93
******		****	*******	****	*****	******	*******	******	*******	*****
DOCKET	YEAR	LER	NUMBER	RE	VISIO	N DCS	NUMBER	NSIC	EVENT	DATE
498	1992		020		0	930	1130191	0	12/0	8/92
******	******	****	******	****	****	*****	******	********	*******	****

ABSTRACT

POWER LEVEL - 000%. On December 9, 1992, Unit 1 was in Mode 3 at 0% power. While operators were performing control board walkdowns, it was discovered that the Toxic Gas Monitor XE-9326 channel was not in the tripped condition as required by Technical Specification 3.3.3.7. Additionally on December 12, 1992 the monitor was once again found not to be in the required tripped condition. Toxic Gas Monitor XE-9326 had been declared inoperable since November 23, 1992, due to a noisy power supply and the channel was tripped as required by Technical Specifications on November 28, 1992. The cause of this event was less than adequate design of the toxic gas monitors. There is no means to positively place the monitor in trip. A switch to ensure positive control of the trip function on the toxic gas monitors will be installed. LER93006001.UI

DIAGNOSTIC EVALUATION TEAM MEETING

Wednesday, March 10, 1993 MNBB, Room 6507

1:00 p.m. - .troduction

12-4 207

B. Hehl/S. Rubin

Success - Departer in

- Suremanne 17

and Freparting

- lived Teams in war

+ Great Ter.

- Team Organization, Areas of Evaluation, Schedule
- Mission of the Diagnostic Evaluation (DE) Program
- DE Process and Methodology
- South Texas Project Areas of Special Interest

1:30 p.m. - Conduct of the Diagnostic Evaluation R. Lloyd/H. Bailey

- DE Team Licensee/Counterpart Meetings
- DE Observation Forms
- Formal Management Interviews
- Team Leader and Member Roles and Interfaces
- Onsite Interim Exit Meeting
- Team Member and Contractor Professicialism
- 2:00 p.m. Break
- 2:20 p.m. Conduct of the Diagnostic Evaluation (Continued)
 - S. Pullani Plant Description and System Selected for Verticle Slice
- 2:50 p.m. Evaluation Plans and Report

R. Lloyd/H. Bailey

- Functional Area Evaluation Plan Preparation
- DET Report Format and Schedule

3:20 p.m. - Bagman Trip Debriefing

H. Bailey/M. Smith

- Documents on Hand
- Document Libraries
- Document Control Process
- Information Binders
- 3:40 p.m. Functional Area Team Breakout Meetings
 - Functional Area Evaluation Plan Review/ Assignments
 - Performance/Background Material Review

5:00 p.m. - Adjourn

DIAGNOSTI EVALUATION TEAM MEETING

Wednesday, March 10, 1993 MNBB, Room 6507

1:30 p.m. - Conduct of the Diagnostic Evaluation - Lloyd/Bailey/Pullani

DET Characteristics - Henry

Identifies (or confirms) and documents both strengths and weaknesses in safety performance.

For weaknesses, the team evaluates and documents the adequacy of associated corrective actions.

Identifies the root causes of weaknesses, including any weaknesses in corrective actions.

- I want to stress again that the evaluation is performance based and can include any safety related, important to safety and/or BOP equipment. Do not evaluate a performance issue in terms of known or potential violations of regulations. If you do, you will be likely miss the big picture on performance issues; issues that clearly impact performance, but are not normally cited as violations.
- With regard to programmatic issues; do not pursue an issue unless you suspect it to be a root cause of a performance weakness. The STP is believed to have excellent programs on paper.

Data Collection - Henry

- One important feature of a DE is the large amount of document review completed before the team goes onsite. This review allows you to rapidly come up to speed on the issues after you reach the site and contribute fully to the DET.
- Weaknesses in performance are not hard to find. The licensee has many of them documented in miscellaneous deficiency tracking systems such as station problem reports (SPRs), service requests (SRs), and QA Audits, surveillances and assessment reports. We have stacks of these documents in our DET library.
- Despite all these documented performance problems, in many cases the licensee doesn't know: 1) the full extent of the problem, 2) how to temporarily fix the problem with the available resources, and 3) what the root causes are (that would identify a permanent fix). So these deficiency tracking system documents I have mentioned are a good place to get started before we get to the site.

Documents that are relevant to your functional area should be read while the DET is still in Bethesda. Michelle will discuss our DET library later.

I have beaten on document reviews pretty good, but the other methods of data collection are also a little different for a DE. We do a large number of interviews. To really understand how an organization functions (or fails to function), interviews are essential. To understand in the shortest time what the problems are, interviews are invaluable. You will find that the workers know what the problems are if you will just ask them and it can save you from drilling a lot of dry holes. And some of them have been just hoping that you would ask them. Other people on the DET will need to know what you find out in interviews, so we ask you to document the interviews. Enough for now on interviews. Ron will be talking more on this later.

The last data source I will mention is observations. We do observations as the opportunity arises, but don't count on the opportunity arising as much as you may be accustomed for activities such as maintenance, testing and plant evolutions. DETs have a high profile onsite and for whatever reason, we haven't been able to observe a lot of maintenance, for example. We also believe what you <u>do</u> observe may be skewed quite a bit from the normal routine. We believe that if the document reviews mentioned earlier show, for example, that there have been repeat failures of a major piece of equipment, then the DET's time onsite might better be spent understanding why the repeat failures occurred than on witnessing another corrective maintenance on this equipment that might cover 3-4 days and would most likely be done strictly by the book while the DET observes (due to high DET profile and lack of time for maintenance to "lapse back" into their normal habits). Of course, the ideal situation is for the DET to do both activities.

Team Leader and Member Roles and Interfaces: Henry

o The team leaders will schedule and assign members work onsite.

o Team members should not represent an issue as a finding to the licensee until it has been discussed with the team leader and he has agreed.

Interviews: Ron

0

0

0

Two types - structured and unstructured.

Interview Preparation and Conduct:

- Determine what it is you need to know, and who you should talk to gain the information before you schedule an interview.
- Clearly write down your interview questions in a logical order. This will help you to control the interview, and at least appear coherent in front of the licensee. To open the interview, allow a

few minutes to introduce yourself and to explain what the interview is about. For structured interviews, prepare 10 to 15 questions that you would like answered. Generally, "open" type questions are preferred. Ask your questions and let the interviewee talk. Be professional, do not use "leading" or "loaded" questions, and <u>don't make any snide remarks</u>. Each time you finish an interview, the licensee will get together and talk about what kinds of questions were asked and the individual's responses. Mix things up.

- 3. For a structured interview, plan on spending at least one hour and not more than two hours to complete your interview.
- Provide for at least a 1/2 hour block of time following your interview to expand on the notes that you took on questions asked.

Interview Closing:

- 1. Recap areas covered by the interview with the interviewee.
- 2. Ask the interviewee if he/she has any additional questions to ask.
- 3. Recap what the interviewee owes you in terms of documents requested, unanswered questions, etc. If a document is requested, fill out a document request form so it can be properly requested and tracked by Michelle.

Interview Documentation:

0

0

- 1. Immediately following your interview, go over your interview notes to fill in additional detail while your memory is still fresh. If you can type, use the word processor to save you valuable time.
- Transfer interview notes to a DEO form on a disk. Underline what you feel is important information, including strengths or weaknesses.
- Structured interviews should always be summarized and documented in a Diagnostic Evaluation Observation (DEO) format. Each functional area (FA) team (except M&O) should try to conduct 3-4 structured interviews each day. The M&O team will conduct many more.
- Inform Michelle of interviews that you plan to do. The schedule of first week's interviews should be set prior to arrival onsite. Look at the organization chart to pick your interviewees.
 - Each functional area (FA) team should start with the department/section head, both as a matter of courtesy and also to understand the big picture on how the organization is supposed to be operating, and where the interfaces are with other departments. Some senior managers are eager to indicate where they think the problems are and their plan for correction. They will also indicate what they believe are organizational strengths.

Early on, the interviews should shift more to a "bottom up" approach,
 i.e. nonsupervisory personnel, foremen, 1st line supervisors, etc.

DE Observation Forms (DEOs): Ron

- Used to record both the results of interviews and functional area team findings. You will receive a copy of a file with blank DEO forms.
- DEOs include statement of the issue, substantiating information, an assessment of the root cause for findings, and licensee actions being taken to address your concern.
- o The potentially significant portions of all interviews are recorded on DEOs as soon as practicable after the interview.
- In addition to interviews, those findings expected to be discussed in the DET report are included on DEOs.
- DEOs are predecisional information. <u>Neither DEOs or any other written</u> [draft] information is to be given to licensee.
- Give a copy of your DEO file (disk) to Michelle every couple of days, so she can print and merge the files as necessary. This way, all DEOs can be read by the entire DET.

Functional Area Team Meetings With Licensee Counterparts: Henry

- Team leaders meet daily preferably just before DET meeting.
- Purpose to keep licensee appraised of findings of fact, clear up any mistakes in the facts, coordinate future activities.
- Make your licensee counterparts aware of all your DEOs as they are written. This will allow the licensee the opportunity to understand the concern and rebut each DEO.
- Have a mini closeout meeting with your counterpart no later than the 9th of April and the 30th of April. Go through each DEO and come to an understanding of the validity of the concern.

Note: Scheduling interviews and requesting documents should be coordinated through Michelle to avoid duplication and schedular conflicts.

DET Meetings: Henry

- All team members are expected to attend the daily DET meetings. The team leaders will be the spokepersons at the meetings unless either a member's team leader or the DET manager asks a member to address an issue.
- o Items discussed at these DET meetings should be limited to those of

general interest and should not include of detailed expose or your team's itinerary for the day.

Onsite Interim Exit Meeting: Henry

 DET Leader will present team observations for each functional area except M&O on April 30. Your specific functional area observations will be turned in to the DET Leader by noon on April 29.

Team Member and Contractor Professionalism: Henry/Bill

0	No prospecting for future business with the licensee
0	No exchanging of bus ness cards with the licensee
0	No fraternizing with the licensee
0	No shop talk in resturants and bars that can be heard by anyone outside the DET
0	Any questions, discuss them with your team leader

2:00 p.m. - Break - All

2:20 p.m.- Plant Description and System Selection S. Pullani

2:50 p.m. - Functional Area Evaluation Plan Preparation: Ron

- Each functional area team leader (with support from their team members) is responsible for producing an evaluation plan to be reviewed by the DET Leader during the second meeting March 24-25.
- o Keep the evaluation plan concise, not exceeding 4-6 pages single spaced.
- Allow for contingencies in your plan. Don't continue to beat a dead horse just because you have an assignment to look at a particular area. If you find a dry hole, move on to something that would be productive.
- The format for your evaluation plans should mimic the report format (see the FitzPatrick DET report). Assign responsibility for each section of your plan. This process will save time during the report writing phase of the DET.

Report Format and Schedule: Ron

0

-

Report format and level of detail should resemble the FitzPatrick

report. The first s tence in each paragraph/section should be written in conclusion form. c remainder of the paragraph/section should provide the details i upport the conclusion made.

- Use the King's english in past tense. 0
- Initial draft of DET report due May 12. 0
- Your report section should be 99% complete by the week of May 24, since 0 this is the week of the formal licensee exit. Each team will be required to produce final findings and conclusion slides to be used at the exit.
- Final report due to the EDO by June 11. 0

3:20 p.m. - Bayman Trip Debriefing Prescott/Smith

DIAGNOSTIC EVALUATION TEAM MEETING

Thursday, March 11, 1993 MNBB, Room 6507

a.m.		Introduction	E.	Jordan/B. Hehl	
a.m.	~	Region IV Briefing			
		 Director Reactor Projects Deputy Director Reactor Safety Senior Resident Inspector 	B. A. J.	Beach Howell Tapia	
a.m.	~	Break			
a.m.		NRR Briefirg			
		 South Texas Project Manager LPEB Performance Evaluation 	G. P.	Dick Ray	
a.m.	-	AEOD Performance Indicators	D.	Hickman	
		 Plant PIs Maintenance PIs 			
a.m.	r	DET Administrative Requirements	Μ.	Smith	
		 Travel Arrangement Rental Cars Lodging Accommodations Site Access Training Working Hours/Timekeeping 			
p.m.		Lunch			
p.m.	÷	Breakout Meetings			
		 Team Manager/Team Leader Interface Meetings Team Member Performance/Background Material Review 			
p.m.	*	STP Badging Activities	Α.	Woods	
p.m.		Adjourn			
	a.m. a.m. a.m. a.m. a.m. p.m. p.m. p.m.	a.m a.m a.m a.m a.m p.m p.m p.m p.m	 a.m Introduction a.m Region IV Briefing Director Reactor Projects Deputy Director Reactor Safety Senior Resident Inspector a.m Break a.m NRR Briefirg South Texas Project Manager LPEB Performance Evaluation a.m AEOD Performance Indicators Plant PIs Maintenance PIs a.m DET Administrative Requirements Travel Arrangement Rental Cars Lodging Accommodations Site Access Training Working Hours/Timekeeping p.m Lunch p.m Breakout Meetings Team Manager/Team Leader Interface Meetings Team Member Performance/Background Material Review p.m STP Badging Activities p.m Adjourn 	 a.m Introduction a.m Region IV Briefing Director Reactor Projects Deputy Director Reactor Safety Senior Resident Inspector a.m Break a.m NRR Briefirg South Texas Project Manager LPEB Performance Evaluation a.m AEOD Performance Indicators Plant PIS Maintenance PIS a.m DET Administrative Requirements Rental Cars Lodging Accommodations Site Access Training Working Hours/Timekeeping p.m Lunch p.m Breakout Meetings Team Manager/Team Leader Interface Meetings Team Member Performance/Background Material Review p.m STP Badging Activities A. Adjourn 	 a.m Introduction a.m Region IV Briefing Director Reactor Projects Deputy Director Reactor Safety Senior Resident Inspector a.m Break a.m NRR Briefirg South Texas Project Manager LPEB Performance Evaluation Ray a.m AEOD Performance Indicators Plant PIS Maintenance PIS a.m DET Administrative Requirements Lodging Accommodations Site Access Training Working Hours/Timekeeping p.m Lunch p.m Breakout Meetings Team Manager/Team Leader Interface Meetings Team Member Performance/Background Material Review p.m STP Badging Activities A. Woods p.m Adjourn

Friday, March 12, 1993 MNBB, Room 6507

BIII

8:00 a.m. - 2:00 p.m. Continue Team Breakout Meetings

- Functional Area Evaluation Plan Review/ Assignments
- Performance/Background Material Review