



**GPU Nuclear Corporation**

Post Office Box 388

Route 9 South

Forked River, New Jersey 08731-0388

609 971-4000

Writer's Direct Dial Number:

January 18, 1995

C321-95-2028

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Attn: Document Control Desk  
Washington, DC 20555

Dear Sir:

Subject: Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station  
Docket No. 50-219  
Licensee Event Report 94-022

Enclosed is the Licensee Event Report 94-022.

If there are any questions please contact Mr. John Rogers at 609.971.4893.

for

John J. Barton  
Vice President and Director  
Oyster Creek

JJB/JJR  
Attachment

cc: Administrator, Region I  
Senior Resident Inspector  
Oyster Creek NRC Project Manager

2700

9501300048 950118  
PDR ADDCK 05000219  
S PDR

GPU Nuclear Corporation is a subsidiary of the General Public Utilities Corporation

JE221

## LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

FACILITY NAME (1)

Oyster Creek, Unit 1

DOCKET NUMBER (2)

05000219

PAGE (3)

1 of 4

TITLE (4)

Primary Containment Leak Rate in Excess of Technical Specification Requirements  
Due To Maintenance Procedure Noncompliance

EVENT DATE (5)			LER NUMBER (6)			REPORT DATE (7)			OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED (8)	
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	MONTH	DAY	YEAR	FACILITY NAME	DOCKET NUMBER
12	19	94	94	-- 022 --	00	01	18	95	FACILITY NAME	DOCKET NUMBER 05000
OPERATING MODE (9)		N	THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10 CFR §: (Check one or more) (11)							
POWER LEVEL (10)		59	20.402(b)			20.405(c)			50.73(a)(2)(iv)	73.71(b)
			20.405(a)(1)(i)			50.36(c)(1)		X	50.73(a)(2)(v)	73.71(c)
			20.405(a)(1)(ii)			50.36(c)(2)			50.73(a)(2)(vii)	OTHER
			20.405(a)(1)(iii)		X	50.73(a)(2)(i)			50.73(a)(2)(viii)(A)	(Specify in Abstract below and in Text, NRC Form 366A)
			20.405(a)(1)(iv)		X	50.73(a)(2)(ii)			50.73(a)(2)(viii)(B)	
			20.405(a)(1)(v)			50.73(a)(2)(iii)			50.73(a)(2)(x)	

## LICENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER (12)

NAME

Robert Barbieri, System Engineer

TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code)

609.971.4303

## COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13)

CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFACTURER	REPORTABLE TO NPRDS	CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFACTURER	REPORTABLE TO NPRDS

## SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)

YES (If yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE).	X	NC	EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE (15)	MONTH	DAY	YEAR
---	---	----	-------------------------------	-------	-----	------

## ABSTRACT (16) (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately 15 single-spaced lines)

On December 19, 1994, while performing a reactor startup following the 15R refueling outage, it was noted that the amount of nitrogen being added to the primary containment was higher than normal. It was subsequently determined that leakage from the primary containment exceeded the Technical Specification limits. A reactor shutdown was initiated and an Unusual Event was declared. A subsequent evaluation determined that the Drywell vent and purge valves were leaking past their seats. The valves were declared inoperable. The reason for the seat leakage was determined to be valve actuator misadjustment.

Immediate corrective action was taken to readjust the valve stroke length and perform a local leak rate test required to declare the valves operable. The reactor shutdown and Unusual Event were terminated.

The cause of this event was determined to be failure to comply with existing maintenance administrative procedures. Long term corrective actions are planned which will raise the sensitivity and understanding of maintenance personnel to the need for procedure compliance.

# LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

TEXT CONTINUATION

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104  
EXPIRES 5/31/95

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LFR NUMBER (6)			PAGE (3)
Oyster Creek, Unit 1	05000219	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	2 of 4
		94	-- 022 --	0	

## DATE OF DISCOVERY

The containment leak rate was determined to be in excess of Technical Specification limits on December 19, 1994 at approximately 10:00 am.

## IDENTIFICATION OF OCCURRENCE

Containment (EHS JM) Isolation Valves (EHS ISV) V-27-1 and V-27-2 were found to be leaking while in the closed position. The calculated leak rate exceeded the Technical Specification limit for maximum allowable leak rate ( $L_a$ ).

A reactor shutdown was commenced in accordance with Technical Specification 3.5.A.7.

This event is considered reportable in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(i), 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(ii), and 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(v).

## CONDITIONS PRIOR TO DISCOVERY

At the time of the discovery of this event, the plant was operating at approximately 59% power. A plant startup following the 15R refueling outage was in progress.

## DESCRIPTION OF OCCURRENCE

On December 18, 1994, during the plant startup following the 15R refueling outage, it was noted that the frequency and duration of nitrogen makeup to the primary containment appeared higher than normal. Efforts were initiated to determine the reason for the makeup. On December 19, 1994 at approximately 10:00 am, it was determined that the makeup was due to a leak from the primary containment, and the leak rate exceeded Technical Specification limits. A plant shutdown was initiated and additional efforts were employed to identify the source of leak.

**LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)**  
TEXT CONTINUATION

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104  
EXPIRES 5/31/95

FACILITY NAME (1)	POCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)			PAGE (3)
Oyster Creek, Unit 1	05000219	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	3 of 4
		94	-- 022 --	0	

**DESCRIPTION OF OCCURRENCE (cont)**

It was subsequently determined that the repairs could not be completed in 8 hours and an Unusual Event was declared. At approximately 2:50 pm, the leak was identified as passing through the seats of containment isolation valves V-27-1 and V-27-2. These are two eighteen inch butterfly valves in series in the Drywell vent exhaust path.

Further review revealed that maintenance had been performed on these valves during 15R after the acceptable as-found local leak rate test had been completed. Following the maintenance, no local leak rate tests were performed.

An immediate maintenance crew was assembled, briefed, and assigned to readjust the valves. Adjustment resulted in an immediate decrease in the rate of leakage. Following the adjustment, a local leak rate test was performed satisfactorily. At 9:06 pm, the valves were declared operable, and the reactor shutdown and Unusual Event were terminated.

**APPARENT CAUSE OF THE OCCURRENCE**

The root cause of this event was failure to comply with existing administrative procedures relating to the control of emergent work.

**ANALYSIS OF OCCURRENCE AND SAFETY ASSESSMENT**

The safety function of these two valves is to close upon a containment isolation signal and maintain leak tightness within the Technical Specification limits. Based on calculations performed to evaluate this occurrence at normal drywell conditions, the leakage was approximately 900 scfh, while the leakage limit from the containment at design basis conditions would have been approximately 426 scfh. The leakage path was the design release pathway through the exhaust stack and is fully monitored. No radioactive release through this path occurred during this event. If a design basis accident had occurred, automatic systems would have redirected the flow through the Standby Gas Treatment System for high efficiency filtering.

**LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)**

TEXT CONTINUATION

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104  
EXPIRES 5/31/95

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)			PAGE (3)
Oyster Creek, Unit 1	05000219	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	4 of 4
		94	-- 022 --	0	

**ANALYSIS OF OCCURRENCE AND SAFETY ASSESSMENT (cont)**

Additionally, the magnitude of the release would have been well within design limits as:  
1) The reactor was at less than full power with no significant power history; 2) there are no fuel failures or other sources of increased activity which would have contributed to an elevated initial source term; and 3) the nuclear steam supply system had recently passed an ASME Code pressure test and subsequent 1000 psi visual inspection prior to the plant startup. The actual consequences of this event were considered to be minimal as no radioactive release occurred.

**CORRECTIVE ACTIONS**

Upon determination that the leakage from the drywell was in excess of Technical Specification limits, a reactor shutdown was commenced and an Unusual Event was declared. Immediate corrective actions were initiated to readjust the valves to leak tightness, and a post maintenance local leak rate test was performed for verification. Additionally, the Maintenance Director issued a letter reinforcing the existing administrative requirements dealing with work scope changes.

The following long term corrective actions have also been initiated:

1. Senior Maintenance Management will meet with maintenance supervision to discuss this event and lessons learned to reinforce the need for procedure compliance and personal accountability. This action is scheduled to be completed by January 31, 1995.
2. Cyclic training will be provided to maintenance personnel to reinforce the areas of procedure use and adherence, equipment control, and administrative controls for job orders. This action is scheduled to be completed by March 31, 1995.
3. A letter will be provided by the Maintenance Director to all maintenance personnel addressing the lessons learned from this event and re-emphasizing the previous communication regarding management expectations. This action is scheduled to be completed by January 31, 1995.

**SIMILAR EVENTS**

None.