

CONTROL BLOCK: 1 1 1 1 1 ① (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ALL REQUIRED INFORMATION)

V	A	S	P	S	1	2	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	3	4	1	1	1	1	4	5									
LICENSEE CODE										LICENSE NUMBER										LICENSE TYPE JO										CAT 58				

CONT	0	1	REPORT SOURCE	L	6	0	5	0	0	0	2	8	0	7	1	1	2	3	8	2	E	1	2	1	7	8	2	9											
										DOCKET NUMBER										EVENT DATE										REPORT DATE									

EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES (10)

On November 23, 1982, with unit No. 1 at 100% power, PT-27 revealed that the amp reading for heat tracing Panel 9, circuits 7A was below the acceptance criteria stipulated in the PT. Also on November 26, 1982, PT-27 revealed a low amp reading for heat tracing Panel 8, circuit 2B. These events are contrary to T.S.3.2.C.5 and are reportable per T.S.6.6.2.b.(2). The redundant heat tracing circuits were operable, therefore, the health and safety of the public would not have been affected.

0	9	SYSTEM CODE	S	H	11	CAUSE CODE	E	12	CAUSE SUBCODE	Y	13	COMPONENT CODE	H	E	A	T	E	R	14	COMP. SUBCODE	Z	15	VALVE SUBCODE	Z	16																																																																																														
										SEQUENTIAL REPORT NO.										OCCURRENCE CODE										REPORT TYPE										REVISION NO.																																																																															
(17) LER/RO REPORT NUMBER										EVENT YEAR										SHUTDOWN METHOD										HOURS										ATTACHMENT SUBMITTED										NPRC-4 FORM SUB.										PRIME COMP. SUPPLIER										COMPONENT MANUFACTURER																																																	
ACTION TAKEN										FUTURE ACTION										EFFECT ON PLANT										SHUTDOWN METHOD										HOURS										ATTACHMENT SUBMITTED										NPRC-4 FORM SUB.										PRIME COMP. SUPPLIER										COMPONENT MANUFACTURER																																							
C										F										Z										Z										0										0										0										0										Y										N										L										T									
33										34										35										36										37										40										41										42										43										44																													

CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS (27)

The loss of heat tracing was due to excessive heat. The defective heat tracing was replaced and tested. A design change has been initiated to change the manner by which these borated lines are heat traced and implementation of the design change has commenced.

1	5	FACILITY STATUS	E	28	% POWER	1	0	0	29	OTHER STATUS	N/A	METHOD OF DISCOVERY	B	31	DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION (32)										PERIODIC TEST														
										ACTIVITY RELEASED OF RELEASE										AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY (35)										LOCATION OF RELEASE (36)									
										PERSONNEL EXPOSURES										DESCRIPTION (39)										N/A									
										PERSONNEL INJURIES										DESCRIPTION (41)										N/A									
										LOSS OF OR DAMAGE TO FACILITY										DESCRIPTION (43)										N/A									
										PUBLICITY ISSUED										DESCRIPTION (45)										N/A									

8212280140 821217
PDR ADOCK 05000280
S PDR

NAME OF PREPARER J. L. Wilson

(804) 357-3184

ATTACHMENT 1

SURRY POWER STATION, UNIT NO. 1

DOCKET NO: 50-280

REPORT NO: 82-105/03L-0

EVENT DATE: 11-23-82

TITLE OF THE EVENT: HEAT TRACING FAILURE

1. Description of the Event

On November 23, 1982, with Unit No. 1 at 100% power, PT-27 revealed that the amp reading for heat tracing Panel 9, circuit 7A (#1 filter outlet to blender) was below the acceptance criteria stipulated in the PT. Also, on November 26, PT-27 revealed that the amp reading for heat tracing panel 8, circuit 2B (boric acid transfer pumps suction header) was below the acceptance criteria stipulated in the P.T. These events are contrary to Technical Specification 3.2.C.5 and are reportable per Technical Specification 6.6.2.b(2).

2. Probable Consequences and Status of Redundant Equipment

The heat tracing circuits are intended to maintain a fluid temperature above that needed for flow. The redundant heat tracing circuits were operable, therefore, the health and safety of the public would not have been affected.

3. Cause

The loss of heat tracing was due to excessive heat.

4. Immediate Corrective Action

The immediate corrective action was to verify that the redundant circuits were operable.

5. Subsequent Corrective Action

The defective heat tracing was replaced and tested within the time span specified by Technical Specifications.

6. Action Taken to Prevent Recurrence

No additional actions were deemed necessary.

7. Generic Implications

A task force has reviewed the total spectrum of the Heat Tracing System and a Design Change has been prepared as a result of the Task Force study. Implementation of the design change has commenced.