

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Southern Nuclear Operating Company
Farley 1 and 2

Docket Nos.: 50-348, 50-364
License Nos.: NPF-2, NPF-8

During the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) inspection conducted on September 12 through October 16, 1994, a violation of NRC requirements was identified. In accordance with "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions," 10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C, the violation is listed below:

10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, Criterion VI, "Document Control", as implemented by the J.M. Farley Plant Operations Quality Assurance Policy Manual, Revision 31, Chapter 6, "Document Control", Section 6.4.1, which states in part that "Document control measures shall provide for confirmation of receipt by the user, prompt entry of changes, and the removal of the superseded matter to prevent inadvertent use." And also states that, "Each manager [i.e., General Manager - Farley Nuclear Plant]... shall require periodic verification that the controlled documents are complete and up to date."

Contrary to the above:

A large number of controlled plant procedures and drawings, including those at the Unit 1 and 2 Hot Shutdown Panels (previously identified on September 9, 1994), were not complete nor up to date, and contained superseded matter. Document Control had more than 200 overdue document transmittal notices to controlled copy holders that indicated these holders were not updating their procedures and drawings with the latest revisions. In addition, a significant percentage of controlled copy holders were not responding in a timely manner, or at all, to annual surveys by Document Control to verify the documents in their possession were complete and up to date.

This is a Severity Level IV violation (Supplement I).

Pursuant to the provision of 10 CFR 2.201, Southern Nuclear Operating Company is hereby required to submit a written statement or explanation to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington, DC 20555, with a copy to the Regional Administrator, Region II, and a copy to the NRC Resident Inspector within 30 days of the date of the letter transmitting this Notice of Violation (Notice). This reply should be clearly marked as a "Reply to the Notice of Violation" and should include for each violation: (1) the reason for the violation, or, if contested, the basis for disputing the violation; (2) the corrective steps that have been taken and the results achieved; (3) the corrective steps that will be taken to avoid further violations; and (4) the date when full compliance will be achieved. Your response may reference or include previous docketed correspondence, if the correspondence adequately addresses the required response. If an adequate

Notice of Violation

2

reply is not received within the time specified in this Notice, an order or a Demand for Information may be issued as to why the license should not be modified, suspended, or revoked, or why such other action as may be proper should not be taken. Where good cause is shown, consideration will be given to extend the response time.

Dated at Atlanta, Georgia
this 8th day of November 1994

ENCLOSURE 1