



NUDOCS

**RESPONSE TO FREEDOM OF
INFORMATION ACT (FOIA) REQUEST**

FOIA — 90-512

RESPONSE TYPE

FINAL

☒ PARTIAL

DATE

APR 22 1991

DOCKET NUMBER(S) (If applicable)

REQUESTER **Ophelia Williams**

PART I.—AGENCY RECORDS RELEASED OR NOT LOCATED (See checked boxes)

☐ No agency records subject to the request have been located.

☐ No additional agency records subject to the request have been located.

☐ Requested records are available through another public distribution program. See Comments section.

☐ Agency records subject to the request that are identified in Appendix(es) _____ are already available for public inspection and copying at the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, N.W., Washington, DC.

☒ Agency records subject to the request that are identified in Appendix(es) **D** are being made available for public inspection and copying at the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, N.W., Washington, DC, in a folder under this FOIA number.

☐ The nonproprietary version of the proposal that you agreed to accept in a telephone conversation with a member of my staff is now being made available for public inspection and copying at the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, N.W., Washington, DC, in a folder under this FOIA number.

☐ Agency records subject to request that are identified in Appendix(es) _____ may be inspected and copied at the NRC Local Public Document Room identified in the Comments section.

☐ Enclosed is information on how you may obtain access to and the charges for copying records located at the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, N.W., Washington, DC.

☐ Agency records subject to the request are enclosed.

☐ Records subject to the request have been referred to another Federal agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

Fees

☐ You will be billed by the NRC for fees totaling \$ _____.

☐ You will receive a refund from the NRC in the amount of \$ _____.

☐ In view of NRC's response to this request, no further action is being taken on appeal letter dated _____ No _____.

PART II. A.—INFORMATION WITHHELD FROM PUBLIC DISCLOSURE

☒ Certain information in the requested records is being withheld from public disclosure pursuant to the exemptions described in and for the reasons stated in Part II, B, C, and D. Any released portions of the documents for which only part of the record is being withheld are being made available for public inspection and copying in the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, N.W., Washington, DC in a folder under this FOIA number.

COMMENTS

SIGNATURE, DIRECTOR, DIVISION OF FREEDOM OF INFORMATION AND PUBLICATIONS SERVICES

9104250100 910422
PDR FOIA
WILLIAM90-512 PDR

**RESPONSE TO FREEDOM OF
INFORMATION ACT (FOIA) REQUEST**
(CONTINUATION)

FOIA NUMBER(S)

FOIA — 90-512

DATE

APR 22 1991

PART II B — APPLICABLE EXEMPTIONS

Records subject to the request that are described in the enclosed Appendix(es) E are being withheld in their entirety or in part under the Exemption No. (s) and for the reason(s) given below pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552(b) and 10 CFR 9.17(a) of NRC regulations.

<input type="checkbox"/>	1. The withheld information is properly classified pursuant to Executive Order. (Exemption 1)
<input type="checkbox"/>	2. The withheld information relates solely to the internal personnel rules and procedures of NRC. (Exemption 2)
<input type="checkbox"/>	3. The withheld information is specifically exempted from public disclosure by statute indicated. (Exemption 3)
<input type="checkbox"/>	Sections 141-145 of the Atomic Energy Act, which prohibits the disclosure of Restricted Data or Formerly Restricted Data (42 U.S.C. 2161-2165).
<input type="checkbox"/>	Section 147 of the Atomic Energy Act, which prohibits the disclosure of Unclassified Safeguards Information (42 U.S.C. 2167).
<input type="checkbox"/>	4. The withheld information is a trade secret or commercial or financial information that is being withheld for the reason(s) indicated. (Exemption 4)
<input type="checkbox"/>	The information is considered to be confidential business (proprietary) information.
<input type="checkbox"/>	The information is considered to be proprietary information pursuant to 10 CFR 2.790(d)(1).
<input type="checkbox"/>	The information was submitted and received in confidence pursuant to 10 CFR 2.790(d)(2).
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	5. The withheld information consists of interagency or intraagency records that are not available through discovery during litigation. (Exemption 5). Applicable Privilege:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Deliberative Process. Disclosure of predecisional information would tend to inhibit the open and frank exchange of ideas essential to the deliberative process. Where records are withheld in their entirety, the facts are inextricably intertwined with the predecisional information. There also are no reasonably segregable factual portions because the release of the facts would permit an indirect inquiry into the predecisional process of the agency.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Attorney work product privilege. (Documents prepared by an attorney in contemplation of litigation.)
<input type="checkbox"/>	Attorney-client privilege. (Confidential communications between an attorney and his/her client.)
<input type="checkbox"/>	6. The withheld information is exempted from public disclosure because its disclosure would result in a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. (Exemption 6)
<input type="checkbox"/>	7. The withheld information consists of records compiled for law enforcement purposes and is being withheld for the reason(s) indicated. (Exemption 7)
<input type="checkbox"/>	Disclosure could reasonably be expected to interfere with an enforcement proceeding because it could reveal the scope, direction, and focus of enforcement efforts, and thus could possibly allow recipients to take action to shield potential wrongdoing or a violation of NRC requirements from investigators. (Exemption 7 (A))
<input type="checkbox"/>	Disclosure would constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. (Exemption 7 (C))
<input type="checkbox"/>	The information consists of names of individuals and other information the disclosure of which could reasonably be expected to reveal identities of confidential sources. (Exemption 7 (D))
<input type="checkbox"/>	OTHER

PART II C — DENYING OFFICIALS

Pursuant to 10 CFR 9.25(b) and/or 9.25(c) of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission regulations, it has been determined that the information withheld is exempt from production or disclosure, and that its production or disclosure is contrary to the public interest. The persons responsible for the denial are those officials identified below as denying officials and the Director, Division of Freedom of Information and Publications Services, Office of Administration, for any denials that may be appealed to the Executive Director for Operations (EDO).

DENYING OFFICIAL	TITLE/OFFICE	RECORDS DENIED	APPELLATE OFFICIAL		
			EDO	SECRETARY	IG
Dr. Thomas E. Murley	Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation	E1-6, 8-16	XX		
Mr. Edward Jordan	Director, Office for Analysis & Evaluation of Operational Data	E-7	XX		

PART II D — APPEAL RIGHTS

The denial by each denying official identified in Part II C may be appealed to the Appellate Official identified there. Any such appeal must be made in writing within 30 days of receipt of this response. Appeals must be addressed, as appropriate, to the Executive Director for Operations, to the Secretary of the Commission, or to the Inspector General, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, and should clearly state on the envelope and in the letter that it is an "Appeal from an Initial FOIA Decision."

APPENDIX D
(Records Released)

1. 6/27/89 Memorandum for Robert Baer et al., from Gary Burdick, Subject:
Draft IS LOCA Research Program 189 (1 page)
2. 9/20/90 Agenda for Discussing ISLOCA Program Status (3 pages)

APPENDIX E
(WITHHELD ENTIRETY, EXEMPTION 5)

1. 6/29/88 Memorandum for Gary Burdick from M.W. Hodges, Subject: Comments on Draft 189 Regarding Intersystem LOCA Research Program (19 pages)
2. 2/23/90 Note to Duane from Rick Barrett, Subject: Comments on ISLOCA (5 pages)
3. 3/13/90 Memorandum for R. Barrett from Robert Jones, Subject: Review of INEL Draft ISLOCA Report Concerning Davis-Besse (19 pages)
4. 5/21/90 Note to Gary from Kaz (1 page)
5. 9/13/90 Schedule of Action (1 page)
6. 9/28/90 Note to K. Campe et al., from Wayne Lanning, Subject: Discussion Meeting on Intersystem Loss-Of-Coolant Accident (ISLOCA) Inspection Insights (8 pages)
7. 10/9/90 Note to T.H. Murley from S. Fabric, Subject: Simulation of Interfacing Systems LOCA (ISLOCA) in LWRs (3 pages)
8. 11/26/90 Draft NRC Information Notice No. 90-XX: The Potential for Intersystem LOCA Outside Containment (8 pages)
9. 12/13/90 8 Drafts of NRC Information Notice No. 90-XX: The Potential for Intersystem LOCA Outside Containment (50 pages)
10. Undated Handwritten Note to: Gary Burdick from Karl Kniel re: Comments On In PDR Is LOCA (1 page) enclosing undated draft Information Notice No. 90-XX: The Potential for Intersystem LOCA Outside Containment (5 pages) enclosing draft of Information Notice No. 90-XX: The Potential for Intersystem LOCA Outside Containment (6 pages)
11. Undated Memorandum for Carl Berlinger from William Beckner Subject: In 90-XX, The Potential for Intersystem LOCA Outside Containment (1 page)
12. Undated Handwritten Notes (2 pages)
13. Undated Draft Slides (4 pages)
14. Undated Notes on Interfacing LOCA (2 pages)
15. Undated Scenario Examined (5 pages)
16. Undated Slides (22 pages)