

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

GPU Nuclear Corporation
Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station

Docket No. 50-219
License No. DPR-16

During an NRC inspection conducted June 27, 1994-July 1, 1994, two violations of NRC requirements were identified. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions," 10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C, the violations are listed below:

- A. 10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criterion V (Instructions, Procedures, and Drawings), states, in part, "Instructions, procedures, or drawings shall include appropriate quantitative or qualitative acceptance criteria ..."

Contrary to the above, as of July 1, 1994, the static and dynamic motor-operated valve test procedures did not contain acceptance criteria. The static test procedures did not specify conditions necessary for the test to be acceptable and the dynamic test procedures did not specify conditions necessary for returning the MOV to service.

This is a Severity Level IV violation (Supplement 1).

- B. 10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criterion III (Design Control), states, in part, "The design control measures shall provide for verifying or checking the adequacy of design, ... The verifying or checking process shall be performed by individuals or groups other than those who performed the original design ..."

Contrary to the above, as of July 1, 1994, the math operations utilized in the static and dynamic motor-operated valve test evaluations were not documented and there was no evidence that they were independently reviewed. There were also four identified cases where the same individual who signed the MOV signature analysis as "analyst", also signed the test procedure indicating acceptability of the tests.

This is a Severity Level IV violation (Supplement 1).

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, GPU Nuclear Corporation is hereby required to submit a written statement or explanation to the U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington, D.C. 20555 with a copy to the Regional Administrator, Region I, and a copy to the NRC Resident Inspector within 30 days of the date of the letter transmitting this Notice of Violation (Notice). This reply should be clearly marked as a "Reply to a Notice of Violation" and should include for each violation: (1) the reason for the violation or, if contested, the basis for disputing the violation; (2) the corrective steps that have been taken and the results achieved; (3) the corrective steps that will be taken to avoid further violations; and (4) the date when full compliance will be

achieved. If an adequate reply is not received within the time specified in this Notice, an order may be issued to show cause why the license should not be modified, suspended, or revoked, or why such other action as may be proper should not be taken. Where good cause is shown, consideration will be given to extending the response time.

Dated at King of Prussia, PA
this 18th day of August 1994.