

6004 AA

May 25, 1979 GPU Group Discussion

MR. MILLER: The part in that caution reads...What's it read Mike?

MR. ROSS: Top of next page. (Inaudible)

MR. MILLER: "Continued operation depends on capability to maintain pressurizer level and RCS pressure." Now what is that? Continued operation of the plant?

MR. ZEWE: Yes. I thought well, we definitely had good pressurizer level, as a matter of fact, we had too much of it, and the pressure at this point was about 1400 lbs. which was just under the ES actuation point.

MR. MILLER: Okay.

MR. ZEWE: It was still wandering around.

MR. MILLER: Is it unfair or fair to say that you're looking at that statement today, and you're saying, well, the pressure part of it. At the time, you didn't interpret that the pressure part of it required any different action than you did that I know of.

MR. ZEWE: I felt, you know, that pressure was holding fairly well and that it was actually the large emergency feed that we ensued into it that brought the pressure down. The relief valves blew and we lost heater capacity. The heater just weren't able to recover from it. Those were my thoughts initially.

MR. MILLER: Okay, and that's important.

MR. ZEWE: And then I turned it over to Fred and.....

MR. MILLER: Not to mislead you, but the thing this procedure says kind of doesn't fit the situation -- is it says if you can't maintain level above the alarm point or pressure above the injection point, then you have a major casualty which you didn't have.

MR. ZEWE: I see.