VIRGINIA ELECTRIC AND POWER COMPANY Richmond, Virginia 23261

W. L. STEWART VICE PRESIDENT NUCLEAP OPERATIONS

March 1, 1983

Mr. Harold R. Denton, Director Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation Attn: Mr. Robert A. Clark, Chief Operating Reactors Branch No. 3 Division of Licensing U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555 Serial No. 709B PSE/TLG/jdm/0334C Docket Nos. 50-338 50-339 License Nos. NPF-4 NPF-7

Gentlemen:

NUREG-0612 - CONTROL OF HEAVY LOADS NORTH ANNA POWER STATION UNITS 1 AND 2

In my December 15, 1982 letter to the NRC, (Serial Number 709), information was provided concerning areas in which Vepco had taken further action as a result of the conclusions and recommendations in the Draft Technical Evaluation Report (TER) for Control of Heavy Loads at North Anna Power Station and, as a result of the October 22, 1982 conference call between Vepco, the NRC and Franklin Research Center personnel. Two areas, TER 2.1.6 Lifting Devices and TER 2.1.8 Crane Design were noted as not complete and under further evaluation at that time, and that the results would be provided to the NRC by February 1, 1983 and March 1, 1983, respectively.

Response to TER 2.1.6 was provided in my February 4, 1983 letter, (Serial Number 709A).

TER 2.1.8 Crane Design [Guideline 7, NUREG-0612, Sec. 5.1.1(7)]

Comment

Vepco must verify that the polar cranes meet the fourteen (14) requirements of CMAA-70 as outlined in the TER.

Response

Final information for TER 2.1.8 Crane Design [Guideline 7, NUREG-0612, Sec. 5.1.1(7)], was to have been provided by March 1, 1983. As noted in the December 15, 1982 letter, Vepco had verified that the polar crane meets 10 of the 14 requirements of CNAA-70, and the remaining four items were under evaluation at that time. The subsequent evaluation has confirmed that the remaining four items all meet the requirements of CNAA-70, but Vepco is

8303080484 830301 PDR ADOCK 05000338 PDR

VINGINIA ELECTRIC AND POWER COMPANY TO

Mr. H. R. Denton

awaiting final documentation of the evaluation. A report is expected within the next two weeks, which Vepco would like to forward to the NRC as its final response to TER 2.1.8.

The unanticipated delay in the receipt of the necessary information was caused by the fact that the crane vendor reduced his engineering staffing and hours worked during the first part of 1983. Due to this decrease in the crane vendor's support capability, the turnaround time has taken longer than anticipated. A final verification report can be provided to the NRC by April 1, 1983, and Vepco requests an extension until that time.

If you have any questions or require further clarification concerning the above subject, please advise.

Very truly yours,

Stevart

cc: Mr. James P. O'Reilly Regional Administrator Region II