

Nebraska Public Power District

COOPER NUCLEAR STATION P.O. BOX 96, BROWNVILLE, NEBRASKA 58321 TELEPHONE (402)825-3811 FAX (402)825-5211

CNSS948244

August 8, 1994

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Sir:

Cooper Nuclear Station Licensee Event Report 94-012 is forwarded as an attachment to this letter.

Sincerely,

R. L. Gardner Plant Manager

RLG/nc

Attachment

cc: L. J. Callan G. R. Horn S. J. Jobe R. E. Wilbur V. L. Wolstenholm D. A. Whitman INPO Records Center NRC Resident Inspector R. J. Singer CNS Training CNS Quality Assurance

12002 9408160008 940808 PDR ADDCK 05000298 5 PDR

NRC FOR (5:92)	RM 366			U.S.	NUCLEAR D	REGULATO	RY COM	MISSION		APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 5/31/95				
(Se	e rever	LIC) se for r	ENSEE required	EVENT REP	ORT (L	ER) s for ea	ach bl	ock)	ESTIMA THIS FORWAR THE II (MNBB WASHIN REDUCT MANAGE	TED BURDEN PE INFORMATION CO D COMMENTS RE NFORMATION AND 7714), U.S. NU GTON, DC 2055 ION PROJECT MENT AND BUDGE	ER RESPON LLECTION GARDING D RECORDS ICLEAR REG 5-0001, AI (3150-0 T, WASHIN	SE TO REQUEST BURDEN MANAGE ULATORY ND TO T 104), GTON, D	COMPLY WITH : 50.0 HRS. ESTIMATE TO EMENT BRANCH COMMISSION, HE PAPERWORK OFFICE OF C 20503.	
FACILI	TY NAME	(1)	STATIO	N					DOCKET	NUMBER (2)		1	PAGE (3)	
TITLE	(A)Tec	hnical	Snec	fication No.	n-Compl	iance	for	the H	U PCI Sv	stem Due t	o a Se	tpoin	UI 4	
TITLE !	Dis	screpa	ncy As	sociated wit	h the L	ow Ste	eam 1	line I	solat	ion Pressu	re Swit	ches		
EVE	NT DATE	(5)		LER NUMBER (6))	REPO	RT DAT	E (7)		OTHER FACIL	ITIES INV	OL VED	(8)	
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	MONTH	DAY	YEAR	FACILI	FACILITY NAME		05000		
07	08	94	94	012	00	08	08	94	FACILI	LITY NAME DOCK		DOCKET (NUMBER	
OPER	ATING	hī	THIS RE	PORT IS SUBMITTE	D PURSUANT	TO THE	REQUI	REMENTS	OF 10 C	FR §: (Check	one or mo	re) (11)	
MODE	(9)	N	20.4	402(b)		20.405(c)			50.73(a)(2)(iv)	73.	71(b)	
PO	WER	0	20.4	20.405(a)(1)(i)			50.36(c)(1)			50.73(a)(2)(v)	73.	71(c)	
LEVEL	. (10)	10	20.4	405(a)(1)(ii)		50.36(0	:)(2)			50.73(a)(2)(v11)	Into	ier in	
			20.4	405(a)(1)(iii)	X	50.73(8	a)(2)(1)		50.73(a)(2)(V111)(A)	Abstra	ct below	
			20.405(a)(1)(iv)			50.73(a)(2)(11)				50.73(a)(2)(vii		and in	Text,	
			20.4	*U5(8)(1)(V)		150.75(2	1/(2)(1122	130.73(8)(2)(INRC FO	rm 366A)	
NAME					LICENSEE	CONTACT	FOR TH	IS LER	(12)	TELEDHONE NI	MREP (Inc.	Ludo Ar	ea (ode)	
Dona	ald L	Reev	es, Jr com	PLETE ONE LINE FO	OR EACH CON	MPONENT	FAILUR	E DESCR	IBED IN	(402) 82 THIS REPORT (1	25-381 <mark>3)</mark>	1		
CAUSE	SYS	TEM C	OMPONENT	MANUFACTURER	TO NPRD	S		CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFAC	CTURER	TO NPRDS	
	-						F							
halm life eyes all			SUPPLEME	NTAL REPORT EXPE	CTED (14)]	EXPECTED	MONTH	DA	Y YEAR	
YES (If yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE).					X	NO		SUBMISSION DATE (15)						
ABSTRA On Ju Coola estab HPCI Testi plant Cooli	cr (Li uly 8 ant In blish Syste ing co t was ing mo	mit to 1 , 1994 njecti ed set em ope onduct in Co ode of	400 spac , whil on (HP point rabili ed on ld Shu opera	es, i.e., approx e investigat CCI) System , for the low ty requireme July 5 revea tdown with t tion.	imately 15 ing sur a conf steam 1 ent of > iled the the Resi	single- veilla lict ine i ll3 p actua dual l	spaced ance was d solat sig d al so Heat	i typewr test determ tion p specif etpoir Remov	itten li requi nined pressu fied i nts we val (R	rements fo to exist b re switch n Technica re 127 psi HR) System	r the H etween (127 ps 1 Speci g. Whe in the	ligh F the ig) a ficat n fou Shut	ressure ind the ions. ind, the down	
The in Syster reach 113 p tolen Techn to me opera react	ncorr ncorr ned in osig, rances nical ean th ation tor p	cause ectly uld no n lieu 2) a s (set Speci nat as when ressur	for no establ t be i of es common point ficati long reacto e of l	in-compliance ishing the s solated when tablishing to acceptance accuracy or ons regardin as there wen or pressure n 13 psig.	e with t switch s the setp that th "stackung the i te no kn reached	the Te setpoin nimum point ne dis up") an ntent nown p 150 p.	chnic sur to as para nd 3 of roblo sig,	cal Sp t a va veilla ssure te set) a st the sp ems wi it wa	becifi alue b ance t syste point cateme becifi ith HP as per	cation req ased on en est pressu m operabil was due t nt in the ' cation tha CI that wo missible t	uiremer suring re (150 ity upc o instr bases c t was m uld pre o proce	nt was that) psig on rea cument of the nisund event eed ab	due to the HPCI) was ching lerstood its ove a	
Corre impre Techn has h new s cond	ectiv oved nical been setpo ition	e acti accura Speci perfor int. . The	ons ta cy, an ficati med an The Re opera	ken included ad implements on requirement ad surveillar eactor Core 1 bility limit	l replac ation of ents. I nce test Isolatic was de	ement a se icens ing p on Coo etermin	of tpoin ed op roce ling ned	the ex nt cha perato dures (RCIC to be	tistin ange t br tra have C) Sys satis	g switches o achieve ining rega been updat tem was re fied.	for or conform rding t ed to r viewed	nes of hance his c eflec for a	with hange t the similar	

NRC FORM 366 (5-92)

NRC FORM 366A (5 292)	.S. MUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSIO	N	APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 5/31/95						
LICENSEE EVENT R TEXT CONTIN	ESTIMA THIS I FORWAR THE II (MNBB WASHIN REDUCT MANAGE	ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 50.0 FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMAT THE INFORMATION AND RECORDS MANAGEMENT B (MNBB 7714), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMIS WASHINGTON, DC 20555-0001, AND TO THE PAPE REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503							
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)		LER NUMBER (6)			PAGE (3)			
COOPED NUCLEAR STATION		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER					
COULT ROOLEAR STATION	05000298	94	012	00	2 (Jr 4			

A. Event Description

On July 8, 1994, during an investigation of surveillance test requirements for the High Pressure Coolant Injection (HPCI) System , a conflict was discovered between the established setpoint for the low steam line isolation pressure switches and HPCI System operability requirements specified in Technical Specifications. The specified setpoint for the low steam line isolation switches was 127 psig (required setpoint per Technical Specifications is ≥100 psig4). Section 3.5.C.1 of the Technical Specifications requires the HPCI System to be operable with reactor pressure >113 psig. Testing conducted on July 5 revealed the actual setpoints were 127 psig.

B. Plant Status

The plant was in the cold shutdown condition with Reactor Coolant System temperature of 112 degrees Fahrenheit and the Residual Heat Removal (RHR) System in the Shutdown Cooling mode of operation.

C. Basis for Report

This condition constituted a condition alone that would have prevented the HPCI System from performing its safety function at very low reactor pressure, in that the HPCI System would have isolated on decreasing reactor pressure at 127 psig and would not have been operable with reactor pressure between 127 psig and the Technical Specification requirement of >113 psig. This condition was determined to be reportable on July 13 in accordance with the criteria prescribed by 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(v) following an evaluation of the setpoint and its conformance with the design basis for the HPCI System. In addition, since HPCI wouldn't have been operable between 113 and 127 psig, this condition also constitutes a condition prohibited by Technical Specifications, reportable in accordance with 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i)(B).

D. <u>Cause</u>

The root cause for non-compliance with the Technical Specification requirement was due to 1) incorrectly establishing the switch setpoint at a value based on ensuring that the HPCI System would not be isolated when the minimum surveillance test pressure (150 psig) was reached in lieu of establishing the setpoint to assure system operability upon reaching 113 psig, 2) a common acceptance that the disparate setpoint was due to instrument tolerances (setpoint accuracy or "stackup") and 3) a statement in the bases of the Technical Specifications regarding the intent of the specification that was misunderstood to mean that as long as there were no known problems with HPCI that would prevent its operation

NRC FORM 366A U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION (5292)					APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 5/31/95						
LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION						WITH HRS. E TO RANCH SION, RWORK OF					
DOCKET NUMBER (2)		LER NUMBER (6))	PAGE (3)							
	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER								
05000298	94	012	00	3	OF.	4					
	NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION DRT (LER) TION DOCKET NUMBER (2) 05000298	NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION ESTIMA THIS I FORWARI THE IN (MNBB WASHINI REDUCT MANAGEI 05000298 94	NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION APPROVED BY C EXPIRE ESTIMATED BURDEN PER THIS INFORMATION COLLI FORWARD COMMENTS REGA THE INFORMATION AND B THE INFORMATION AND B (MNBB 7714), U.S. NUCLI WASHINGTON, DC 2055-0 REDUCTION PROJECT MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, DOCKET NUMBER (2) LER NUMBER (6 YEAR SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION APPROVED BY OMB NO. 315 EXPIRES 5/31/95 DRT (LER) ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REO FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURD THE INFORMATION AND RECORDS MA (MNBB 7714), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULA' WASHINGTON, DC 20555-0001, AND T REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104 MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON DOCKET NUMBER (2) LER NUMBER (6) VEAR SEQUENTIAL NUMBER 05000298 94 94 012 94 012	NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 5/31/95 ORT (LER) ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COM THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN EST THE INFORMATION AND RECORDS MANAGEMEN (MABB 7716), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY CO WASHINGTON, DC 20555-0001, AND TO THE REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 2 DOCKET NUMBER (2) LER NUMBER (6) PA 05000298 94 012 00	NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 5/31/95 ORT (LER) ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 50.0 FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMAT THE INFORMATION AND RECORDS MANAGEMENT BU (MNBB 7714), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISS WASHINGTON, DC 20555-0001, AND TO THE PAPER REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE MANAGEMENT AND BUBGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503 DOCKET NUMBER (2) LER NUMBER (6) PAGE (1) VEAR SEQUENTIAL NUMBER REVISION NUMBER 3 OF					

D. <u>Cause</u> (Continued)

when reactor pressure reached 150 psig, it was permissible to proceed above a reactor pressure of 113 psig to at least 150 psig where the HPCI System was to be tested.

During development of the Design Criteria Document (DCD) for the HPCI System, the discontinuity between the operability requirement of >113 psig and the switch setpoint was researched. Based on discussions with and information supplied by the NSSS vendor it was considered not to be a safety concern. Since not perceived as such, it was considered a Technical Specification cleanup item and was thus improperly classified in the DCD.

E. Safety Significance

Technical Specification surveillance requirements specify that the HPCI pump shall be demonstrated to be capable of delivering at least 4250 gpm for a system head corresponding to a reactor pressure of 1000 to 150 psig. This surveillance requirement does not address the operability requirements for a reactor pressure of 113 psig. With respect to the lower limit of 150 psig, a statement in the Technical Specification basis specifies that, "the HPCI System is not designed to operate until reactor pressure exceeds 150 psig and is automatically isolated before the reactor pressure decreases below 100 psig. It is the intent of this specification to assure that when the reactor is being started up from a Cold Condition, the HPCI System is not known to be inoperable."

The safety aspects of having the HPCI isolation setpoint above 113 psig, and in particular as high as 150 psig, are discussed in USAR, Chapter VI, Section 5.2.5. Specifically, "The maximum vessel pressure against which the Residual Heat Removal (RHR) pumps must deliver some flow is determined by the required overlap with HPCI which has a low pressure cut off for the HPCI turbine at about 150 psig." Thus, in order for the HPCI isolation setpoint to be at about 150 psig, the RHR System must be capable of delivering a flow rate equal to the HPCI requirement of 4250 gpm to the vessel against a reactor pressure of 150 psig.

Calculations demonstrate that the RHR System is capable of supplying more than 4250 gpm to the vessel when the vessel pressure is at 150 psig. Additional core cooling capability is achieved at low reactor pressure through automatic initiation of the Core Spray System with a flow rate of 4720 gpm against a system head corresponding to a differential pressure of 113 psi. Thus the safety significance of this condition is minimal.

NRC FORH 366A L (5,22)	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION					APPROVED BY CHB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 5/31/95						
LICENSEE EVENT RI TEXT CONTIN	ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WIT THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 50.0 HRS FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE THE INFORMATION AND RECORDS MANAGEMENT BRANC (MNBB 7714), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, DC 20555-0001, AND TO THE PAPERWOR REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE (MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.											
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6) PA				GE (3)						
COOPER NUCLEAR STATION		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER		~ ~						
OUTER HOULERS DIRITOR	05000298	94	012	00	4	OF	4					

F. Safety Implications

The plant conditions under which this low pressure isolation setpoint discrepancy could have been a concern would have been during plant operation with reactor pressure greater than 113 but less than 127 psig. As noted above, calculations demonstrate the capability of the RHR System to deliver a minimum of 4250 gpm, the required HPCI System flow rate, to the reactor vessel at a vessel pressure of 150 psig. Consequently, the safety implications of this condition during operation were minimal. With the plant in a Cold Shutdown condition, as it was upon recognizing the implications of this setpoint discontinuity, this discrepancy posed no safety implications.

G. Corrective Action

New switches of a narrower range and improved repeatability were procured and installed and a setpoint change consistent with Technical Specification requirements was implemented. The established setpoint should assure compliance with both the Technical Specification switch setpoint limit of ≥100 psigi and the HPCI System operability limit of >113 psig. Licensed operator training regarding this change has been performed and surveillance testing procedures have been updated to reflect the new setpoint. The potential for a similar discontinuity between the actual setpoints for the Reactor Core Isolation Cooling (RCIC) low pressure isolation and its required operability at > 113 psig was evaluated. The operability limit was determined to be satisfied.

H. Similar Events

In 1991, LER 91-006 was submitted addressing a Technical Specification setpoint non-compliance with design basis requirements for the 4160 volt loss of voltage relays. In that situation, the Technical Specification limits were determined to be inaccurate and did not reflect design basis requirements. While not identical, this condition is considered similar.