



PECO ENERGY

PECO Energy Company
Nuclear Group Headquarters
965 Chesterbrook Boulevard
Wayne, PA 19087-5691

July 28, 1994

Docket Nos. 50-277

50-278

License Nos. DPR-44

DPR-56

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Attn: Document Control Desk
Washington, DC 20555

Subject: Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, Units 2 and 3
Revision to Technical Specifications Change Request No. 93-12

Reference: Letter from G. A. Hunger, Jr. (PECO Energy) to
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission dated June 23, 1993

Dear Sir:

In the above referenced letter, PECO Energy Company submitted, in accordance with 10 CFR 50.90, Technical Specifications Change Request (TSCR) No. 93-12 which requested a change to Appendix A of the Peach Bottom Facility Operating Licenses. These changes were proposed to support the implementation of the Power Rerate Program at Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station (PBAPS), Units 2 and 3.

PECO Energy Company hereby requests that our original submittal be revised such that the submitted page 29 be deleted and replaced with the attached page 29 for PBAPS, Units 2 and 3.

In the submitted page 29 of the PBAPS, Units 2 and 3 Technical Specifications, a relief valve setting of 1155 psig with a tolerance of ± 11 psi was provided. However, during the review of the Technical Specifications as a part of the Improved Technical Specifications Program, it was determined that the setpoint of 11 psi was not consistent with the setpoint tolerance of 1% (12 psi) provided in Table 5-1 of General Electric document NEDC-32183P, "Power Rerate Safety Analysis Report for Peach Bottom 2 & 3," dated May, 1993. In order to ensure consistency between the PBAPS, Units 2 and 3 Technical Specifications and the

9408090372 940728
PDR ADOCK 05000277
PDR

ADD 1

July 28, 1994

Page 2

General Electric analyses, page 29 is being corrected to incorporate the value of 12 psi. The previously submitted setpoint tolerance of 11 psi is conservative with respect to the analysis assumptions.

This issue was discussed between Tom Loomis (PECO Energy) and Steve Dembek (NRC) on July 11, 1994.

We have determined that this revision does not alter our evaluation of any of the 10 CFR 50.59 standards, and therefore, does not effect our conclusion that TSCR 93-12 does not constitute an unreviewed safety question. We have also concluded that the proposed revision does not affect the Information Supporting a Finding of No Significant Hazards Consideration and the Information Supporting an Environmental Impact Assessment.

If you have any questions, please contact us.

Very truly yours,



G. A. Hunger, Jr.
Director - Licensing

Attachment

cc: T. T. Martin, Administrator, Region I, USNRC
W. L. Schmidt, USNRC Senior Resident Inspector, PBAPS
R. R. Janati, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania


COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA :

: SS.

COUNTY OF CHESTER :


W. H. Smith, III, being first duly sworn, deposes and says:

That he is Vice President of PECO Energy Company; the Applicant herein; that he has read the enclosed information concerning Technical Specifications Change Request (Number 93-12) for Peach Bottom Facility Operating Licenses DPR-44 and DPR-56, and knows the contents thereof; and that the statements and matters set forth therein are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.



Vice President

Subscribed and sworn to
before me this 28th day
of July 1994.


Notary Public

Notarial Seal
Erica A. Santon, Notary Public
Tredyffrin Twp., Chester County
My Commission Expires July 10, 1995