



ROCHESTER GAS AND ELECTRIC CORPORATION . 89 EAST AVENUE, ROCHESTER, N.Y. 14649

JOHN E MAJER

TELEPHONE AREA CODE 718 546-2700

July 16, 1982

Mr. Eldon J. Brunner
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Office of Inspection and Enforcement
Region I
631 Park Avenue
King of Prussia, Pennsylvania 19406

Subject: I & E Inspection Report 82-10
Notice of Violations
Improper Completion of QC Surveillance Report
R. E. Ginna Nuclear Power Plant, Unit No. 1
Docket No. 50-244

Dear Mr. Brunner:

In accordance with the above subject which stated

"During inspection on May 1-31, 1982 and in accordance with NRC Enforcement Policy, 10 CFR 2, Appendix C (47FR9987), March 9, 1982), the following violation was identified.

10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criterion X. Inspection, states in part, "A program for inspection of activities affecting quality shall be established and executed... to verify conformance with the documented instructions, procedures and drawings for accomplishing the activity."

Administrative Procedure (A)-1001, Inspection and Surveillance Activities, Revision 9, September 17, 1981, paragraph 3.5, states that Quality Control (QC) inspectors performing surveillance shall document negative findings on a QC Surveillance Report. The inspector is then to indicate whether corrective action is required, and submit the report to QC supervision for review and approval as documented by signature on the report. QC supervision then tracks reports requiring follow-up action through close out.

Contrary to the above, on April 11, 1982, QC Surveillance Report 82-310, documenting that a weld rod had been dropped inside the 'B' Steam Generator during modifications was improperly filled out, in that no corrective actions were indicated as required. Further, a QC supervision review closed out the Report four days prior to the removal of the weld rod.

ROCHESTER GAS AND ELECTRIC CORP. July 16, 1982 DATE Mr. Eldon J. Brunner TO

the following is submitted in response.

Corrective action to address this violation is as follows:

- All Quality Control Inspectors working for RG&E have been made aware of the item of noncompliance and the importance of properly identifying conditions that require corrective action.
- All Quality Control Inspectors working for RG&E have 2. been instructed to briefly discuss with Quality Control Supervision the contents of all Surveillance Reports when submitting the reports for review.
- 3. Administrative Procedure A-1001, Verification Inspection Program, shall be revised to reflect these controls regarding the processing of Quality Control Inspection documentation.

Very Truly Yours,

John E. Maier

Subscribed and sworn to me on this 16th day of July 1982.

SHARON G. CAVALERI

NOTARY PUBLIC, State of N. Y., Manroe County My Commission Expires March 30, 19