### UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

\*83 MAR 11 ATO:26

#### ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BUARD

BEFORE ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGES
Glenn O. Bright
Dr. James H. Carpenter
James L. Kelley, Chairman

3 March 1983

In the Matter of

CAROLINA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY AND NORTH CAROLINA MUNICIPAL POWER AGENCY NO. 3

Docket Nos. 50-400 OL 50-401 OL

(Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant, Units I and 2)

# RESPONSE TO APPLICANTS' INTERROGATORIES AND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

Answers To General Interrogatories

- 1 (a) I relied on no one.
  - (b) See 1(a)
  - (c) See 1(a)
- 2 (a) No one provided information. I relied on no one.
  - (b) See 2(a)
- 3 (a) I have not selected any expert witnesses yet.
  - (b) See 3(a)
  - (c) See 3(a)
- 4 (a) I used only the FSAR and ER except the reference in response I (b) I (a)
  - (b) See 4(a)
  - (c) See 4(a)
- 5 (a) (b) See 4(a)
- 6 (a) (b) None
- 7 (a) See 4(a)

Answers to Interrogatories on Specific Contentions

- I(a) I(a) My contention is that the ER does not define the extent and impact of chlorine dispersal.
  - (b) See 1(a)
- (c)(d) I have pointed out that the ER neglects to address a specific effluent and environmental impact of the operation of the Harris plant. I have made no further allegations and therefore at this point have done no analysis myself.
- 1(a) 2(a) See IA-1(c)(d) above
  - (b) See 2(a) above
  - (c) See 2(a) above
  - (d) See I(a) (c) (d) above
- I(a) 3(a) The NPDES permit is for wastewater discharges. My contention deals with vapor effluent.
  - (b) See 3(a)
  - (c) See 3(a)
- 1(a) 4(a) See 1(a)-(c)(d) above
  - (b) See 4(a)
  - (c) See 4(a)
- 1(a) 5 The text of the ER refered to Reference S.3-4-4 by Larson. There was no citation at the end of that section. My point is that this citation is deleted.
  1(b) 1(a) My contention is that chlororganic compounds dispersed in cooling towers vapor may be toxic to the surrounding biosphere.
- (b) Chlororganic compounds are formed in chlorinated cooling water.

  (Jolley, R.L., W.W. Pitts, F.G. Taylor, S.J. Hartmann and G.Jones, Jr. In: Water

  Chlorination: Environmental Impact and Health Effects, Jolley, R.L., H. Corchev,

  D. H. Hamilton (eds). Proceeding of a conference October 30 Nov. 4, 1977)

Chlororganic Compounds may be toxic directly, through behavioral effects, and through mutagenesis. (Jolley, R.L., G. Jones, W.W.Pitts, J.E. Thompson Chlorination of Organics in Cooling Waters and Process Effluents. In: Symposium proceedings cited above.)

- (c) See 1(a) 1(c)(d)
- I(c) I(a) My contention is that sulphuric acid and hydrogen peroxide may be toxic to the surrounding biosphere.
  - (b) See I(a) I(c)(d)
  - (c) See (b)
  - (d) See (b)
- I(d) I(a) My contention is that "other chemicals" (words from ER) including biocides could be toxic to the biosphere.
  - (b) See I(a) I(c)(d)
  - (c) See (b)
  - (d) See (b)
- I(e)-1 % did not seem reasonable that one small creek could replace the water lost through cooling tower evaporation for 2-unit operation. I have done no numerical analysis.
- I(e) 2(a) It is widely known that the Cape Fear has many industrial waste inputs as well as municipal sewage inputs.
  - (b) I have not analyzed the composition of the river.
- 1(e) 3(a) Yes
- (b) See I(e) I above. By "dispersed" I meant spread as vapor or aerosol in the cooling towers' plume.
- I(e) 4(a) I contend that the Cape Fear water, if dispersed, could be toxic to the biosphere.
  - (b) See I(a) I(c)(d)
  - (c) See (b)

- I(f) I(a) As I stated in the first Prehearing Conference Page III my concern is that routine operation of the plant might require more water than could be provided by Buckhorn Creek flow. The Reservoir Reanalysis has confirmed that concern. The point of my contention is that Cape Fear water will be used and that contention I(e) must therefore be addressed.
  - (b) See I(e) I above
  - (c) See 1(a)
- I(f) 2(a) I contend that there may be times when Cape Fear River Water may be necessary to prevent unacceptably low water level in the main reservoir.
  - (b) See I(e) 1 above
- 1(f) 3(a) No
  - (c) I clarified my concern in the First Prehearing Conference Page III.
- 1(f) 4(a) No
  - (c) I made no mention of the loss of service of cooling towers scenario.
- 1(f) 5(a) No
  - (c) I made no mention of the Main Reservoir as a back up cooling reservoir.
- 1(f) 6(a) No
  - (c) It is irrelevant to my contentions.
- I(f) 7(a) I contend that the method of calculation is not displayed and therefore cannot be evaluated.
- I(f) 8 There is no documentation of the comparison of rainfall in the Buckhorn Creek watershed and the Middle Creek watershed. The "synthesized" estimate of Buckhorn Creek flow (90 cfs), based on Middle Creek estimates, differed from the measured flow for the period 1973-1977 (79 cfs) by 12%.
- I(f) 9(a) Their equivalence has not been documented.
  - (b) I have not analyzed this question myself.
- I(f) 10 See above only.

- I(f) II(a) See I(e)-I and I(f)-I(a)
- I(f) 12 I do not know the frequency with which water will be used from Cape Fear river:

# Response To Request For Production Of Documents

The document referred to in Response I(b) - I(a) is available at the North Carolina State University Library.

RWIISON

## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

In the matter of CAROLINA POWER & LIGHT CO. Et al. Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2

Dockets 50-400 and 50-401 O.L.

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

CENTRE OF SERVICE			
I hereby certify that copies ofRESPONSE TO /	APPLICANTS' INTERROGATORIES		
AND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS  HAVE Been served this			
		Washington, DC 20555	718-A Iredell St. Durham, N. C. 27/05
		George F. Trowbridge (attorney for Applicants) Shaw, Pittman, Potts, & Trowbridge 1800 M. St. NW Washington, DC 20036	Phyllis Lotchin, PH.D. 108 Bridle Run Chapel Hill, N. C. 27514
		Office of the Executive Legal Director Attn Dockets 50-400/401 O.L. USNRC Washington, DC 20555	Dan Read CHANGE/ELP Box 524 Chapel Hill, N. C. 27514
		Office of the Secretary Docketing and Service Station Attn Dockets 50-400/401 O.L. USNRC Washington, DC 20555 (3 copies)	Pat & Slater Newman CANP 2309 Weymouth Court Raleigh, N. C. 27612
		John Runkle	Ruthanne G. Miller, Esq. Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Par

Travis Payne Edelstein & Payne Box 12643 Raleigh, N.C. 27605

307 Granville Rd.

Chapel Hill, N. C. 27514

CCNC

Certified by RWilson

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Deborah Greenblatt, Esq. 1634 Crest Rd. Raleigh, N. C. 27606

Bradley W. Jones, Esq. U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region 11 101 Marrietta Street Atlanta, GA 30303