U. S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION OFFICE OF INSPECTION AND ENFORCEMENT

REGION IV

Investigation Report No. 50-458/81-03

Docket No. 50-458

Licensee: Gulf States Utilities

Post Office Box 2951 Beaumont, Texas 77704

Facility: River Bend Station, Unit No. 1

Inspection at: St. Francisville, West Feliciana Parish, Louisiana

Dates of Investigation: February 18-20, 1981

Investigator:

. D. Driskill, Investigator

4-27-81 Date

Reviewed by:

E. Gagliardo, Director

Investigation and Enforcement Staff

4/24/11 Date

Approved by:

W. A. Crossman, Chief

Reactor Projects Section No. 3

4/29/81 Date

Investigative Summary:

Investigation on February 18-20, 1981, (Report No. 50-458/81-03)

Area Investigated: Investigation was conducted regarding an apparent incorrect addition of water into a truckload of concrete and the alleged falsification of the respective records documenting water added to that load of concrete. This investigation involved 19 investigator hours by one NRC investigator.

Results

Interviews disclosed three individuals who observed 12 gallons of water being added to a National Mobile Concrete Corporation (NMCC) truckload of concrete at 2:45 p.m., January 26, 1981. Records associated with that respective load of concrete were found to have been changed to document only 9 gallons of water (the maximum water addition allowed) being added to that particular load.

Interview of the responsible NMCC supervisor disclosed that he had ordered 12 gallons of water added to the load; however, upon realizing only 9 gallons were supposed to be added, he verbally corrected his instructions and changed the documentation to reflect that 9 gallons were added. He admitted having not personally confirmed that the correct amount of water was, in fact, added subsequent to changing the documentation. No evidence was found to indicate

3 SYNOPSIS An investigation was conducted at River Bend Station (RBS) regarding an 1. apparent falsification of records, by the National Mobile Concrete Corporation (NMCC) site QA Manager (Individual A), concerning the actual amount of water added to a load of concrete mixed and placed on the afternoon of January 26, 1981. Witnesses were interviewed who confirmed that 12 gallons of water were added to the load, which was 3 gallons in excess of the maximum allowable water which could be added to the load and which should have resulted in the load being rejected and the cost charged to NMCC. Interview of one Stone and Webster Engineering Corporation (S&W) supervisor disclosed that Individual A admitted not knowing the actual amount of water added to the concrete load and that the associated documentation regarding the water added did not concur. Individual A was interviewed and admitted incorrectly, but not knowingly, documenting 9 gallons of water being added to the load. BACKGROUND On February 6, 1981, Mr. A. B. Beach, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) Resident Reactor Inspector (RRI) notified NRC, Region IV, of a possible record falsification in regard to water addition to concrete Batch No. 16547 during placement CB12-W-111-D2 on January 26, 1981. Mr. Beach reported that NMCC concrete Batch Ticket No. 16574 indicated the amount of water "jogged" at the batch plant was 16 gallons, with 9 gallons allowed to be added in the field. Reportedly Individual A misread the ticket and added 12 gallons in the field and then apparently crossed off the "12" and wrote in '9" on the ticket. Mr. Beach stated that licensee management representatives had investigated the circumstances of the incident and were unable to determine whether the batch ticket was purposely falsified in order to prevent the truckload of concrete from being rejected and the cost charged to NMCC. Interview of GSU QA Supervisor On February 19, 1981, Individual B, a Gulf States Utilities (GSU) 3. Quality Assurance Supervisor was interviewed regarding the possible falsification of NMCC concrete records. Individual B related that subsequent to being advised of the possible falsification of an NMCC Batch Ticket No. 16574, he had conducted an investigation into the circumstances related to the incident. He stated that on January 26, 1981, 16 gallons of water had been added to the load of concrete contained in NMCC truck No. 87, with 9 gallons allowed to be added in the field. He stated NMCC QA apparently misread the ticket and added 12 gallons of water. He stated that the truckload of concrete was not, however, rejected by either the NMCC QA Manager (Individual A) or the S&W Field Quality Control inspector (Individual C). After the truck was partially discharged, it was discovered by Individual D, the S&W FQC field placement inspector. Individual B stated that test cylinders were made and that a Type C

inspection report was initiated. Individual B stated he and another GSU QA representative had conducted interviews of individuals associated with the incident and had been unable to determine whether Individual A had intentionally falsified the batch ticket.

Examination of Pertinent Documents

- 4. On February 19, 1981, a copy of the NMCC Batch Ticket No. 16574, was examined. The batch ticket reflects that 16 gallons of water were added to the load at the plant and that the maximum allowable water which could be added to the load in the field was 9 gallons. The "water added in field" portion of the ticket is noted to have indicated that 12 (gallons) were added; however, the "12" was scratched out and "9" was placed in that respective block. It is also noted that illegible intials were placed adjacent the scratched out "12." The NMCC Batch Ticket No. 16574 is Attachment (1).
- 5. Also examined was the S&W Field Quality Control Inspector's worksheet which was prepared at the concrete pretest area concerning each load of concrete sent to placement areas. This worksheet had, under "water added," been marked "12" gallons; however, this was scratched out and "9" was written in this block. No initials were placed adjacent to the scratch out. This S&W worksheet is Attachment (2).

Interview of S&W Placement Inspector

6. On February 19, 1981, Individual D, an S&W concrete placement QC inspector was interviewed. Individual D stated that at about 3:30 p.m. on Janaury 26, 1981, he had been contacted, via radio, by Individual C, the S&W QC inspector at the concrete pretest area, and told to reject the load of concrete on Truck No. 87. Individual D stated he had told Individual C the load had already been emptied. Individual D stated he had taken three "reject cylinders" of the concrete for testing. Individual D stated he had then asked the driver of Truck No. 87, how many gallons of water had actually been added to the load. Individual D stated the driver told him 12 gallons were added to the load and additionally stated that Individual A, the NMCC QA Site Manager, had instructed him to add the 12 gallons of water. Individual D stated he then notified his supervisor concerning the incident.

Interviews of Witnesses

7. On February 19, 1981, Individual C, the S&W QC inspector at the concrete pretest area, was interviewed. Individual C stated that at 2:50 p.m., January 26, 1981, NMCC Truck No. 87 had arrived at his station for inspection of the concrete it contained. Individual C stated a slump test on the concrete indicated it needed to have water added and he had notified Individual A of this fact. He stated

5 Individual A had come to the pretest area and instructed the driver to add 12 gallons of water to the truck. Individual C stated he observed the driver, add the 12 gallons to the truck and this fact was subsequently confirmed by the water meter on the truck. Individual C stated about 30 minutes later he had discovered that someone had changed his worksheet to read 9 gallons rather than 12 gallons. He stated he had immediately realized what had happened and had notified Individual D to reject that load of concrete and obtain "reject cylinders" for testing. Individual C stated his assistant, Individual E also observed that 12 gallons were entered into Truck No. 87. On February 19, 1981, Individual E employed as a laborer by S&W and who currently works as a helper at the concrete pretest area, was interviewed. Individual E recalled that on the afternoon of January 26, 1981, Individual A had ordered 12 gallons of water added to the NMCC Truck No. 87 while it was at the pretest point. Individual E stated he had watched Individual F, the truck driver, put the 12 gallons of water into the truck. Individual E stated he was standing near Individual F when this was done and he did not hear Individual A change his instructions regarding how much water was to be added to the truck. Individual E also recalled having observed Individual A go into the S&W FQC shack and write on the clipboard which contained Individual C's worksheet. Interview of NMCC Truck Driver On February 19, 1981, Individual F, a concrete truck driver for NMCC. was interviewed. Individual F stated that on January 26, 1981, he was driving NMCC Truck No. 87. He stated that at about 2:45 p.m., January 26, 1981, he drove the truck to the pretest area where it was determined that additional water needed to be added to the load of concrete. Individual F stated that Individual A had approached and instructed him to add 12 gallons to the load and he complied. He stated he was absolutely positive that he added 12 gallons as instructed. He further stated he did not hear Individual A change his instructions regarding the amount of water to be added to the load. Individual F stated that when the batch ticket was given to him by Individual A, he did not look at it or notice that the batch ticket did not correspond with the actual amount of water added to the truck. Lastly, Individual F stated he did not know of any occasions when records had been intentionally falsified. Interview of S&W Batch Plant Supervisor On February 20, 1981, Individual G, the S&W concrete batch plant supervisor, was interviewed. Individual G stated that on January 27, 1981, he received an Unsatisfactory Inspection Report relating that the concrete contained in NMCC Truck No. 87 at 2:45 p.m., January 26, 1981, was alleged to have contained too much water. Individual G stated

he had questioned Individual A regarding the incident and Individual A had admitted to him having instructed the truck driver to add 12 gallons of water into the Truck No. 87 prior to his (Individual A's) having looked at the batch ticket. Individual G stated that Individual A told him, when he realized only 9 gallons were allowed to be added to the load, that he had instructed the driver to stop at 9 gallons. Individual G stated Individual A told him he had then changed the batch ticket to read 9 gallons and had allowed the truck to proceed to the placement point without having checked the meter to insure

On February 20, 1981, Individual A the NMCC Quality Assurance Site Manager at River Bend was interviewed. He stated that at about 2:45 p.m., January 26, 1981, he had been told by Individual C that Truck No. 87 needed to have water added to it. Individual A stated he had instructed the truck driver to add 12 gallons of water to the load of concrete, without having checked the batch ticket to see how much water was allowed to be added to that load. Individual A stated that a few minutes later he had looked at the batch ticket after having written 12 gallons on it and noticed that 9 gallons were the maximum allowed for addition. He stated he had then verbally instructed the driver to stop adding water at 9 gallons. Individual A, in reflection, stated it was possible the driver had not heard him due to his having not spoken loud enough or due to noises in the area. Individual A admitted having made two errors with regard to the incident. He stated he should have confirmed, by checking the truck water meter, that only 9 gallons were added to the load and secondly, that he should have checked the batch ticket to determine the amout of water allowed prior to instructing the driver to put 12 gallons into the truck. Lastly, Individual A noted that had he known 12 gallons were added to the truck, he is authorized o modify the calculations, for water addition, to reflect a tolerance of plus or minus three percent of the total water established by the mix design, which would have allowed him to add as much as 15 gallons to that load.

Reinterview of Individual A

On February 20, 1981, Individual A was reinterviewed concerning his previous statement indicating he was authorized to utilize a plus or minus three percent tolerance in computing water additions for acceptability of concrete. Individual A stated S&W Specification RBS-210.350-C134 utilizes standards provided in ASTM C-94 for all concrete work at River Bend Station. Individual A stated his interpretation of ASTM C-94 gives him the authority to utilize the plus or minus three percent tolerance.

Receipt of Concrete Test Report

13. On February 26, 1981, the S&W Compressive Strength Test Report, based on testing of concrete which was contained in NMCC Truck No. 87 on January 26, 1981, was received at NRC Region IV. The test report, which is amended as Attachment (3), disclosed the concrete exceeded the required concrete strength.

The following is a list of documents used in this report:

- 1. NMCC Concrete Batch Ticket No. 16574 . . . 01-26-31
- 2. S&W FQC Worksheet . . . 01-26-81
- 3. S&W Comprehensive Strength Test Report . . . 02-23-81



BATCH TICKET

P. O. BOX 225 BERRIEN SPRINGS, MICHIGAN 49103 616-47

CUSTOMER COPY NEE. SOLD TO OT GSU RIVER LEVE LTATION-HOLD LOAD R55-218-3-8-0134 STONE-WEDSTER LOCATION OF PLACEMENT FE CB12-1-111-03 CONTROL BUILDING MAKING 5 COPIES PRESS FIRMLY YOU ARE 0017200 287 2231- WA 3. DE. 2225 011 \$1/25/31m TEMPERATURE DATA EXECUTE POR EACH TERET CHECK IF FROM BACK UP PLANT INDICATE CHANGES ONLY BATCH DATA YES WEATHER CONDITION TEMPERATURE HEATED NO AGE 3 26188621 (427) COLD YES AG 1-5-1445((64.561) NORMAL HOT COOLED NO AUTHORIZED PLANT INSPECTOR SIGNATURE 3400 @ MIXER TRUCK INFORMATION COMMENTS REVS. TIME ACTIVITY WATER (955) 10 F 10 TOTIAT - 13-12461 DISPATCHED AT PLANT ARRIVED PLACEMENT AHY 2 115 and and STARTED DISCHARGE COMPLETED DISCHARGE CHT TARE BEEC FEEL FIELD TEST PERFORMED SPECIFICATION LOCATION REQUIREMENT COUR. 60000 ATTRIBUTE TATE RESULT RESULT AIR CONTENT 1443 TIME 220 MAX WAT SELVES SLUMP TEMPERATURE WATER JOGGED OF 1/GALS. UNIT WEIGHT OTHER WATER ALLOWED @ 25 9GALS NEW LOCATION OF PLACEMENT (IN FIELD) REJECT CODE NO GALS WATER ADDED____ (IN FIELD) WASTED ATCH (AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE

USED

REJECTED BY X

AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE

Pour.	No 16		5233	-MIX-	- (-1	DATE;	1:24	-61	165	T A	KEA
RUCK.	TICKET	LOAD SIZE	WATER	WATER ADDED	TIME BATCHED	TIME TESTED FIELD	SLUMP	FIELD	AIR	C.T.	А.Т
87	16565	5	. 26	0	1320	1345	53/4	4/2" 85	4.3%	63	1.4
52	16569	5	25	9	13 45	1435	2/12"	3" et.		63	60
87	-	-	-		-	2°5 PP		31/2" PP	3.840	-	TV
. 87	16574	5	9	129	14 43	1520	2/4. 3" xmer 13	33/4	1500	63	64
500	1678	10	-17	-	西		1.	ALT OF	1	-	
						14-12		47.5		至生.	.4.
						lec Late		1		4.5	
								14.45	97.4		
	-									23	
								1			
				. 11							
1	-									1	
-											
	-									- 22-	
	-			-							
				LT							
						-	-				
-2			,	1	1					7-0	-1

INSPECTOR- Brie n. F.Bostran

REMARKS:

mgt

ATCH (2)

OM	5100032	77		ur#- CB	2-W-111 D	2-5233		File -	5	C	0
	PRESSIVE	STAENGTH	STONE	& WEBS	TER ENGL	VEERING	CORPORA	TION	1	8 2	2
	REPORT								ot		•
THIS FORM MUST BE COMPLETELY FILLED IN AN				MUST ACCOMPA	NY EACH TEST S	PECIMEN SENT TO	JOB ORDER		ONS	15	2
1.			in the				12210.5	0	o t		N
_	Bend Unit		CASCULENE TAYEN	THE RESERVE AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE	cisville,	La.	DESIGN MIX NO	AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PERSONS ASSESSED.	1		7
	ETE MIXED BY:		SPECIMENS TAKEN	1434		28 DAYS	GI			273	X
	MCC		DJOHUSON	LOCATION OF CON			4		11	-	1
ATE I	BAMPLED: HO				T. T. DALLEMEN				1	-	1
1.	26-81		clear !	AUCK TICKET NO.		RUCK NO. TRUC	K MIXER CAP.	DAD SIZE	1 1	+	+
DI CI	HETE MIXING O	HRINK A	GITATED	11/2	4	07/		5 au 10	1		
D TR	ANSIT MIXED	O N	ON-AGITATED	SAMPLE TAKEN AT	7	0/1/	20 10 1		1 1		-
ENTR	AL PLANT OR	AGITATOR.	HUCK MINER	POINT OF PLACEMENT TRUCK MIXER						1	+
	1/2 MIN		60	E POINT OF PL	NGINEER APPROV	And in case of the last of the			11		
METH	DO OF PLACING	- TO	BUGGIES	MAX WATER/CEM		SLUMP AIR	CONTENT	UNIT WEIGHT	1		-
U.		CHUTES	ORS BUCKET			0-4 N 0-	7 .	7138.5 PCF			1
	OO OF PACKING			-,1	40	Plastic Co					
				1 19 19		Plastic Ci	aps/curin	g ir. DAYS		H	-
Pe	added Box	ED BATCH WITH	IN THE PLACEMENT	7.17	*	MEI DOW		35 11 18	œ		
LUCA	OH OF SAMPLE	S SAIGH WITH	E-3-34	Top Lit					POUR		
			77.34			10 P 2 P 10 M	111111111111111111111111111111111111111		4	H	-
	T	FINE AGO	REGATE WATER CO	NTAINED COARSE		ONTAINED ICE AD	ODED W	ATER ADDED	ō		
3 2	IAI MOIST		0 1 360	Mudheur		0 188	0 188	1038 10	E 0		-
AND IS		CINE A SI		COARSE	AGGREGATE	TOTAL	WATER USED		AT	H	H
200	(B) SURFACE	DAY	7990		6040	195	1558	LB	200		
			WATER/CEMENT M	EASURED A	IR CONTENT U	NIT WT. TEN	APERATURES, "F		1-		
CEME	NT		RATIO SI	23/4 IN	NA	Y/A PCF AIR	40 con	CRETE 68		1	+
ADMIXTURES.											
1204124				2001 - 101	9	AIR ENTRAININ			12	-	+-
Wa	ter Adder	i in The	Field /	1941-100	SE AGGREGATE	WATER REDUC	FINE AGGRE	GATE SOURCE	=	-	+
CEM	ENT BRAND/TY	Type-2	PLT ASH GRAND	NO.	0						A.
	ssourt Po	ortland	N/A		8 Bayou	ADMIXTURE BRA	NDS BAYO	u Sara	7	-	13
MEN.L	uning Por	v temns	Low 72	High 74		AIR ENTRAINI	NG MBYR	P/A 8/031	1		1
			201172			WATER REDUC	ING MB PO			-	1
	Yield -	N/A		LOCATION			DATE RECE	VED:	1	163	X
-		44					1-27	-81	-	3334	-
TEST	ING LABORATOR			River F	sena				-		31.
TEST	FOC.	179 37							DE DE	=	#
TEST	FOC.						. 1		VALUE	(PSI)	#
TEST	FOC.	1	2	A 3	_	40	5		VALUE	20	2
TEST	FOC.	1	2		\wedge	4	5		I VALUE	2829	- X
TYPE OF STATE	FOC.	1	2		\triangle	1			-	27,2829	- X
TEST	FQC	1 @	> 2	3 BREAKING	STRENGTH	4 TEST	AGE AT	TYPE OF	-	27,2829	- X
TEST	FOC.	DIAMETER	> 2	3	STRENGTH (psi)	4 TEST DATE		TYPE OF BREAK	-	(PSI)	27/28 27/28
TEST	FQ. SPECIMEN NO.	1 DIAMETER	AREA	3 BREAKING		DATE	AGE AT		-	(PSI)	22223
TEST	FOO SPECIMEN NO.	1 DIAMETER	AREA	3 BREAKING			AGE AT		-	(PSI)	2122223
TYPE OF SEREAK	FQ. SPECIMEN NO.	1 DIAMETER	AREA (sq. in.)	BREAKING LOAD (Ib.)	(psi)	DATE	AGE AT TEST	BREAK	- AKIUE	(PSI)	212223
TYPE OF SEREAK	FOO SPECIMEN NO.	DIAMETER (in.)*	AREA (sq. in.)	3 BREAKING		DATE	AGE AT		- AKIUE	(PSI) (PSI)	212223
TYPE OF SEREAK	FOO SPECIMEN NO.	DIAMETER (in.)*	AREA (MQ. IN.)	BREAKING LOAD (Ib.)	(psi) 2880	2-Z-81	AGE AT TEST	BREAK	- AKIUE	(PSI) (PSI)	71 212223
TYPE OF SEREAK	SPECIMEN NO. 61-17 5233	DIAMETER (in.)*	AREA (MQ. IN.)	BREAKING LOAD (Ib.)	2880	DATE	AGE AT TEST	3 2	- AKIUE	(PSI) (PSI)	16 17 212223 2772823
TYPE OF SEREAK	FOO SPECIMEN NO.	DIAMETER (in.)*	28.40 78.40	BREAKING LOAD (1b) 82,000	2880 4940	Z-Z-8/ Z-23-8/	AGE AT TEST	3 2	THE DATE TO THE	(PSI) (PSI)	21/22/23
TEST	SPECIMEN NO. 61-17 5233 A	DIAMETER (in.)*	28.40 78.40	BREAKING LOAD (Ib.)	2880 4940	2-Z-81	AGE AT TEST	BREAK	THE DATE TO THE	(PSI) (PSI)	21/22/23
TYPE OF SEREAK	SPECIMEN NO. 61-17 5233	DIAMETER (in.)*	28.40 78.40	BREAKING LOAD (1b) 82,000	2880 4940	Z-Z-8/ Z-23-8/	AGE AT TEST	3 2	THE DATE TO THE	(PSI) (PSI)	1415/6/17 2122233
TYPE OF SEREAK	SPECIMEN NO. 61-17 5233 A	DIAMETER (in.)*	28.40 78.40	BREAKING LOAD (1b) 82,000	2880 4940	Z-Z-8/ Z-23-8/	AGE AT TEST	3 2	THE DATE TO THE	(PSI) (PSI)	1415/6/17 21/22/23
TYPE OF SEREAK	SPECIMEN NO. 61-17 5233 A	DIAMETER (in.)*	28.40 78.40	BREAKING LOAD (1b) 82,000	2880 4940	Z-Z-8/ Z-23-8/	AGE AT TEST	3 2	THE DATE TO THE	SAMPLED (PS)	1415/6/17 21/22/23
TYPE OF SEREAK	SPECIMEN NO. 61-17 5233 A	DIAMETER (in.)*	28.40 78.40	BREAKING LOAD (1b) 82,000	2880 4940	Z-Z-8/ Z-23-8/	AGE AT TEST	3 2 4	THE DATE TO THE	SAMPLED (PSI)	6 9 1415/6/17 21/22/23 27/28/29
TEST RESULTS TYPE OF THE BREAK	SPECIMEN NO. 61-17 5233 A B C	DIAMETER (in.)*	28.40 78.40	BREAKING LOAD (1b) 82,000	2880 4940 4830	2-23-81 2-23-81	AGE AT TEST 7 28 28	3 2 4	VALUE	SAMPLED (PSI)	7 6 9 114 15 16 17 21 22 23
TEST RESULTS TYPE OF THE	SPECIMEN NO. 61-17 5233	1 DIAMETER (in).	28.40 28.40	BREAKING LOAD (1b) 82,000	2880 4940 4830	2-23-81 2-23-81	AGE AT TEST	3 2 4	JOHN DE LE CONTRACTOR DE LA CONTRACTOR D	SAMPLE (PSI)	6 7 8 9 1415/1617 212223
TEST RESULTS TYPE OF THE	SPECIMEN NO. 61-17 5233	1 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	28.40 28.40 28.40	82,000 140500	2880 4940 4830	2-23-81 2-23-81 2-23-81	AGE AT TEST 7 28 28	3 2 4	VALUE	NO SAMPLEO (PSI)	6 7 8 9 1415/1617 2122233 2728439
TEST RESULTS TYPE OF THE	SPECIMEN NO. 61-17 5233 A B C	1 C DIAMETER (in).	28.46 28.46 28.37	87,000	2880 4940 4830	2-23-8/ 2-23-8/ 2-23-8/ A	AGE AT TEST 7 28 28	3 2 4	JOHN DE LE CONTRACTOR DE LA CONTRACTOR D	SAMPLE (PSI)	6 7 8 9 1415/1617 2122233 2728439
TEST RESULTS TYPE OF THE	SPECIMEN NO. 61-17 5233	1 C DIAMETER (in).	28.40 28.40 28.40	82,000 140500	2880 4940 4830	2-23-81 2-23-81 2-23-81	AGE AT TEST 7 28 28	3 2 4 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3	JOHN DE LE CONTRACTOR DE LA CONTRACTOR D	NO SAMPLEO (PSI)	6 7 8 9 1415/1617 212223