FEB 1 6 1983

DIST: Document Control (STN 50-454/455/456/457) NRC PDR L PDR

PRC System NSIC

ACRS (10)

LB#1 Rda. (2) MRushbrook (2) L01shan **JStevens** DEisenhut/RPurple Attorney, OELD ELJordan, DEQA: IE JMTaylor, DRP: IE

Docket Nos.: STN 50-454, STN 50-455 and STN 50-456, STN 50-457

> Mr. Louis O. DelGeorge Director of Nuclear Licensing Commonwealth Edison Company P. O. Box 757 Chicago, Illinois 60690

Dear Mr. DelGeorge:

Subject: Reactor Trip Breaker Test Appeal Meeting

On January 26, 1983 members of the NRC staff met with representatives of Westinghouse Electric Corporation and a number of utilities that presently have Westinghouse-designed plants in the licensing process. The purpose of this meeting was to provide an opportunity for Westinghouse to appeal the staff position on reactor-trip breaker testing.

By letter dated February 2, 1983, the staff informed Mr. E. P. Rahe of Westinghouse that the staff's position on the breaker testing issue is unchanged. The purpose of this letter is to formally notify you of this decision. Enclosed for your information is a copy of the February 2, 1983 letter.

If you should need any additional information, please contact the MRC staff project manager.

Sincerely.

L.A. Olshan

B. J. Youngblood, Chief Licensing Branch No. 1 Division of Licensing

Enclosure: As stated

cc w/encl.: See next page

8302240330 830216 PDR ADOCK 05000454

OFFICE	L:LB#1	DL: LB#1	LB#1		4 4 4	
SURNAME	Olshan/lg	JStevens t	25; LB#1 84oungblood 02/16/83	 		
DATE .	02/10/83	02/16 / 83	02/16/83	 		***************************************

Mr. Louis O. DelGeorge Director of Nuclear Licensing Commonwealth Edison Company Post Office Box 767 Chicago, Illinois 60690

cc: Mr. William Kortier
Atomic Power Distribution
Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Post Office Box 355
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15230

Paul M. Murphy, Esq. Isham, Lincoln & Beale One First National Plaza 42nd Floor Chicago, Illinois 60603

C. Allen Bock, Esquire Post Office Box 342 Urbana, Illinois 61801

Thomas J. Gordon, Esquire Waaler, Evans & Gordon 2503 S. Neil Champaign, Illinois 61820

Ms. Bridget Little Rorem Appleseed Coordinator 117 North Linden Street Essex, Illinois 60935

Mr. Edward R. Crass Nuclear Safeguards and Licensing Division Sargent & Lundy Engineers 55 East Monroe Street Chicago, Illinois 60603

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Resident Inspectors Office RR#1, Box 79 Braceville, Illinois 60407

Mr. James G. Keppler U. S. NRC, Region III 799 Roosevelt Road Glen Ellyn, Illinois 60137 Dr. Bruce von Zellen
Department of Biological Sciences
Northern Illinois University
DeKalb, Illinois 61107

Myron Cherry, Esquire Cherry & Flynn Suite 3700 Three First National Plaza Chicago, Illinois 60602

Mr. William Fourney
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Byron/Resident Inspectors Office
4448 German Church Road
Byron, Illinois 61010

Ms. Diane Chavez 326 N. Avon Street Rockford, Illinois 61103

Mrs. Phillip B. Johnson 1907 Stratford Lane Rockford, Illinois 61107

Joseph Gallo, Esq. Isham, Lincoln & Beale Suite 840 1120 Connecticut Avenue, N. W. Washington, D. C. 20036



## UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

FEB 2 1983

Mr. E. P. Rahe, Manager Nuclear Safety Department Water Reactor Division Westinghouse Electric Corporation Box 355 Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15230

Dear Mr. Rahe:

On January 26, 1983 a meeting was held with Mr. Dave Rawlins, other Westinghouse staff members, representatives of utilities, NRC staff members and myself. The purpose of this meeting was to provide an opportunity for Westinghouse to present an appeal of the NRC staff position on testing of reactor trip breakers. For Westinghouse plants, the manual reactor trip actuates the shunt and undervoltage coils simultaneously to trip the circuit breakers. The staff position is that surveillance tests for the manual reactor trip should independently confirm the operability of each of these diverse trip features.

The Westinghouse position is that independent verification of the shunt trip is unnecessary. This conclusion is based primarily on the fact that the Westinghouse safety analysis does not take credit for the shunt trip function as a design basis and the conclusion that the improvement in safety is questionable based on probabilistic risk studies. However, Westinghouse does concur that testing should verify the operability of the undervoltage trip independent of the shunt trip and is prepared to recommend changes to the manual test procedures.

The basis for the staff position is that testing of the shunt and undervoltage trip functions is consistent with the diversity and testing requirements of the General Design Criteria. The staff has reviewed licensee event reports and finds that in a number of cases the operability of the shunt trip function would have permitted tripping of the reactor trip breakers when the undervoltage trip function had failed. I conclude that there is a sufficient basis to justify the staff's position from a regulatory viewpoint and with due consideration to operating experience. Therefore, the Westinghouse appeal of this issue is denied.

8302100261 XA

We also intend to initiate a proposal, probably in the form of an I&E Bulletin, to resolve this matter for all licensed Westinghouse plants. Such a proposal will, in accordance with current NRC procedures, require review by the Committee for the Review of Generic Requirements.

Sincerely

R. Wayne Houston, Assistant Director for Reactor Safety

Division of Systems Integration