Official MAR 2 6 1991 Docket Nos. 50-369, 50-370 License Nos. NPF-9, NPF-17 Duke Power Company ATTN: Mr. M. S. Tuckman Vice President-Nuclear Operations P.O. Box 1007 Charlotte, NC 28201-1007 Gentlemen: SUBJECT: NOTICE OF VIOLATION (NRC INSPECTION REPORT NOS. 50-369/91-06 AND 50-370/91-06) This refers to the inspection conducted by K. VanDoorn of this office on February 17 - March 16, 1991. The inspection included a review of activities authorized for your McGuire facility. At the conclusion of the inspection, the findings were discussed with those members of your staff identified in the enclosed inspection report. Areas examined during the inspection are identified in the report. Within these areas, the inspection consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observation of activities in progress. Based on the results of this inspection, certain activities appeared to be in violation of NRC requirements, as specified in the enclosed Notice of Violation. Also, the violation described in the enclosed Notice is similar to a violation contained in a Notice sent to you by our letter dated May 5, 1989, in that approved procedures were not followed by plant personnel. Recurring violations are of particular concern because the NRC expects licensees to learn from their past failures and to take effective corrective actions. Although NRC does not normally consider monetary civil penalties for Severity Level IV violations, the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions" (Enforcement Policy), 10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C (1990), states that such penalties may be considered for Severity Level IV violations which could have been reasonably expected to have been prevented by corrective action for the previous violation. In this case, because of the circumstances associated with this violation, escalation is not deemed appropriate because of the inadequacy of the procedure itself which contributed to the violation. You are required to respond to this letter and should follow the instructions specified in the enclosed Notice when preparing your response. In your response, you should document the identification of the root cause of this problem and your corrective actions to prevent recurrence. Additionally, a contributing cause for this violation was a lack of training for instrumentation personnel. Your response should include corrective actions for this contributing cause. After reviewing your response to this Notice, including your proposed corrective actions and the results of future inspections, the NRC will determine whether further NRC enforcement action is necessary to ensure compliance with NRC regulatory requirements. 9104120065 910326 TEOI PDR ADOCK 05000369

In addition, two apparent violations were identified and are being considered for escalated enforcement action in accordance with the NRC Enforcement Policy. One violation involved inoperability of the Control Room Ventilation System due to poor design control. The second involved exceeding the Technical Specification Limiting Condition for Operation for an Auxiliary Feedwater Pump due to the pump being in a degraded mode because of an improper valve lineup. Accordingly, no Notice of Violation is presently being issued for these inspection findings. Please be advised that the number and characterization of apparent violations described in the enclosed inspection report may change as a result of further NRC review. An enforcement conference to discuss the violations has been scheduled for April 11, 1991. You will be advised by separate correspondence of the results of our deliberations on this matter.

In accordance with Section 2./90 of the NRC's "Rules of Practice," Part 2, Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations, a copy of this letter and its enclosures will be placed in the NRC Public Document Room.

The responses directed by this letter and its enclosures are not subject to the clearance procedures of the Office of Management and Budget as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980, Pub. L. No. 96-511.

Should you have any questions concerning this letter, please contact us.

Sincerely.

Original Signed by Luis A. Reyes

Luis A. Reyns, Director Division o. Reactor Projects

Enclosures:

Notice of Violation
 NRC Inspection Report

cc w/encls: T. L. McConnell Station Manager McGuire Nuclear Station 12700 Hagers Ferry Road Cornelius, NC 28078-8985

(cc w/encls: See page 3)

cc w/encls: (Continued)
Paul Guill
Duke Power Company
Nuclear Production Department
P. O. Box 1007
Charlotte, NC 28242

R. L. Gill Nuclear Production Department Duke Power Company P. O. Box 1007 Charlotte, NC 28201-1007

A. V. Carr, Esq. Duke Power Company 422 South Church Street Charlotte, NC 28242-0001

J. Michael McGarry, III, Esq. Bishop, Cook, Purcell and Reynolds 1400 L Street, NW Washington, D. C. 20005

Dayne H. Brown, Director Division of Radiation redection N. C. Department of Environment, Health & Natural Resources P. O. Box 27687 Raleigh, NC 27611-7687

County Manager of Mecklenburg County 720 East Fourth Street Charlotte, NC 28202

S. S. Kilborn, Area Manager Mid-South Area, ESSD Project Westinghouse Electric Corporation MNC West Tower - Bay 239 P. O. Box 355 Pittsburgh, PA 15230

Dr. John M. Barry Department of Environmental Health Mecklenburg County 1200 Blythe Boulevard Charlotte, NC 28203

Karen E. Long Assistant Attorney General N. C. Department of Justice P. O. Box 629 Raleigh, NC 27602

(cc w/encls cont'd - see page 4)

cc w/encls: (Continued) H. B. Tucker Senior Vice President-Nuclear Duke Power Company P. O. Box 1007 Charlotte, NC 28201-1007

bcc w.encls:
T. Reed, NRR
W. Miller, RII
G. A. Belisle, RII
A. R. Herdt, RII
S. Rinh, RII
Document Lontrol Desk
J. Lieberman, OE
NRC Resident Inspector
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
12700 Hagers Ferry Rd.
Huntersville, NC 28078-8985

RII:DRP WMiller 3/2/91 ABelisle 3/>>/91

RII:DRP AHerdt 3/5>/91 GJenkins 3/0/91