

FEB 10 1983

Distribution

Central File ✓  
R. Purple  
NRC PDR  
D. Vassallo  
Local PDR  
S. Norris  
ORB#2 Rdg.  
D. Clark  
G. Lainas

ORB#2 Browns Ferry Working File

50-259  
-260  
-296

MEMORANDUM FOR: Darrell G. Eisenhut, Director  
Division of Licensing  
  
Themis Speis, Director  
Division of Safety Technology  
  
Roger J. Mattson, Director  
Division of Systems Integration  
  
Richard H. Vollmer, Director  
Division of Engineering

Hugh L. Thompson, Director  
Division of Human Factors Safety  
  
THRU: Gus C. Lainas, Assistant Director  
for Operating Reactors  
Division of Licensing

Domenic B. Vassallo, Chief  
Operating Reactors Branch #2  
Division of Licensing  
  
FROM: Dick Clark, Project Manager  
Operating Reactors Branch #2  
Division of Licensing

SUBJECT: DRAFT NRR SALP INPUT - BROWNS FERRY NUCLEAR PLANT

Enclosed is the draft NRR input for Browns Ferry's upcoming SALP evaluation for CY 1982. The evaluation is based on PM experience with the licensee, Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA), and on input solicited from selected staff who had substantial involvement with TVA licensing actions. Individuals in seven branches in four NRR divisions were contracted. The individual ratings of the PMs and reviewers are tabulated in the enclosed evaluation matrix.

Please review the draft evaluation and provide any comments you feel appropriate. All comments received by February 15, 1983 will be considered in the final report.

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY

Dick Clark, Project Manager  
Operating Reactors Branch #2  
Division of Licensing

8302170505 830210  
PDR ADOCK 05000259  
G PDR

- Enclosures:  
1. Draft Evaluation  
2. Evaluation Matrix

OFFICE	cc w/enclosures:			DL:ORB#2	DL:ORB#2	DL:OR
SURNAME	T. Chan	G. Johnson	W. Koo	D. Clark	D. Vassallo	G. Lainas
DATE	R. Shemanski	R. Prevatte	J. Van Vliet	2/09/83	2/9/83	2/10/83
	B. Keller	C. Willis	R. Clark			
	F. Clemenson	G. Thomas				

## DRAFT NRR SALP EVALUATION

Facility: Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant (BFNP)

Licensee: Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA)

Evaluation Period: January, 1982 - December, 1982

Project Manager: Dick Clark

### I. Introduction

This report contains NRR's input to the SALP review for the BFNP.

The assessment of the licensee's performance was conducted according to DL Operating Procedure 226, Revision 1, NRR Participation in Regional Evaluation of SALP, dated February 18, 1982. This procedure incorporates NRC Manual Chapter 0516, Systematic Assessment of Licensee Performance.

### II. Summary

NRC Manual Chapter 0516 specifies that each functional area evaluated will be assigned a performance category (Category 1, 2 or 3) based on a composite of a number of attributes. The single final rating of the licensee is to be tempered with judgement as to the significance of the individual elements. Based on this approach, the performance of the Tennessee Valley Authority in the functional area of Licensing Activities is rated Category 2.

### III. Criteria

The evaluation criteria used in this assessment are given in NRC Manual Chapter 0516 Appendix, Table 1, Evaluation Criteria with Attributes for Assessment of Licensee Performance.

#### IV. Methodology

The basic approach used in the evaluation was for the PM to first select a number of licensing activities which involved a significant amount of staff effort. Each licensing activity selected was a generic issue for which the technical reviewer would be able to evaluate the performance of TVA vs the performance of many other licensees. Comments were then solicited from individual reviewers; staff members from seven branches in four NRR divisions participated. Each individual also applied the evaluation criteria contained in NRC Manual Chapter 0516 to the various performance attributes to be evaluated and assigned a rating category based on his experience with the licensee. Finally, this information was assembled by the PM in a matrix (enclosed) presenting an overall evaluation of the licensee's performance. The PM also generated a narrative assessment for each performance attribute based on comments received.

The assessment of licensee performance was based on an evaluation on the following licensing activities:

- Project Management Administration
- Response to NUREG 0737 Items
- Appendix R
- Environmental Qualification
- RPS Power Supplies
- Operator Licensing
- Control of Heavy Loads
- Response to NUREG-0313, Rev. 1
- Adequacy of Dist. Voltages
- Radiological Effluent TS
- Single Loop Operation

V. Assessment of Performance Attributes

The licensee's performance evaluation is based on a consideration of the seven attributes given in NRC Manual Chapter 0516. They are:

- Management Involvement and Control in Assuring Quality
- Approach to Resolution of Technical Issues
- Responsiveness to NRC initiatives
- Reportable Events
- Enforcement History
- Staffing
- Training

For most of the licensing actions considered, only the first three attributes were of significance and the composite ratings are heavily weighted by them. The attributes of Reportable Events, Staffing, and Training were judged to apply only to a few licensing activities. There was no basis for an NRR evaluation of Enforcement History. An assessment of each performance attribute based on the evaluation matrix and reviewer comments is given below.

A. Management Involvement and Control in Assuring Quality

Overall rating for this attribute is Category 1/2.

Individual evaluations ranged from Category 1 to 3 depending on the licensing activity. There is evidence of planning and assignment of priorities and decision making seems to be at a level that ensures management review. In general, the rating is consistent when examined at the license activity levels listed above. Typical

areas where management involvement was evident are in meeting the requirements of Appendix R, inservice inspection, and the electrical modifications required to insure adequate inplant voltages. This level of performance is consistent with that noted in the last SALP.

B. Approach to Resolution of Technical Issues

Overall rating for this attribute is Category 2.

Individual evaluations were almost entirely Category 2. TVA's responses to generic issues were generally timely, viable and technically sound. Technical understanding of the issues was consistently demonstrated. TVA generally proposed technically acceptable resolutions; however, the proposed implementation schedules frequently extended well beyond the dates proposed by the NRC staff. This level of performance is consistent with that noted in the last SALP.

C. Responsiveness

Overall rating for this attribute is Category 2.

Individual evaluations were preponderantly Category 2. When there were delays, the licensee always informed the PM of the anticipated delay, and provided the information on a best effort basis. This rating would likely have been Category 1 were there not the often extended time between reaching a technically acceptable resolution and implementing associated plant modifications. At this time, there are few long standing regulatory issues attributed to the licensee. This level of performance is consistent with that rated in the last SALP.

D. Enforcement

No basis exists for an NRR evaluation of this attribute.

E. Reportable Events

Overall rating for this attribute is Category 2.

Events are generally reported in a timely manner, reasonably identifying causes and corrective actions. Followup reports are generally provided when appropriate.

Staffing

An overall rating for this attribute is Category 1 with respect to licensing activities. The adequate and well trained licensing, engineering and technical support staff is a key element in the licensee's performance.

Training

Overall rating for this attribute is Category 1.

Operator license examinations were conducted by OLB staff at Browns Ferry Nuclear Station from January 1982 to December 31, 1982. This process included simulator and plant oral exams.

Examinations were given to 20 candidates. Of these candidates, 17 persons passed. RO licenses were issued to 12 persons and SRO licenses were issued to 5 persons. These results fall under category 1 of criteria 7, training.

VI. Conclusions

An overall performance rating of Category 2 has been assigned. However, a substantial number of Category 1 and 3 ratings were assigned by individual reviewers. Strong management involvement and a relatively large and well trained staff appear to be key elements in the high level of performance of this licensee.

BROWNS FERRY - EVALUATION MATRIX

Licensing Activity	Management Involvement	Tech. Approach	Responsive	Enforcement	Reportable Events	Staffing	Training	Reviewer
PM Admin	1	2	1	NB-No Basis	2	1	2	Clark
0737 Items	1	2	2/3	NB	NB	NB	NB	Clark Chan
App. R	2	2	2	NB	NB	NB	NB	Chan
EQ	1	2	2	NB	NB	NB	NB	Shemanski
RPS	3	3	3	NB	NB	NB	NB	VanVliet
Operator Licensing	NB	NB	NB	NB	NB	NB	1	Keller
Heavy Loads	3	3	2	NB	NB	NB	NB	Clemenson
ISI	1	1	1	NB	NB	NB	NB	Johnson
Adequacy of Distrib. Voltages	NB	2	2	NB	NB	NB	NB	Prevatte
RETS	2	2	3	NB	NB	1	1	Willis
Single Loop	2	2	2	NB	2	NB	NB	Thomas
NURES 0313	1	1/2	1/2	NB	NB	NB	1	Koo