



PDR-016

UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

January 13, 1983

Ms. Jill W. Graham  
Northwestern University  
Kellogg Graduate School of Management  
Department of Organizational Behavior  
2001 Sheridan Road  
Evanston, IL 60201

IN RESPONSE REFER  
TO FOIA-82-542

Dear Ms. Graham:

This is in further response to your letter dated November 3, 1982, in which you requested, pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act, a copy of the Special Review Panel's report if it is available, and also any other materials which pertain to NRC's procedures for dealing with differing professional opinions.

We have completed our search for additional documents subject to your request and have not located any.

This completes action on your request.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "John Philipps for".

J. M. Felton, Director  
Division of Rules and Records  
Office of Administration

Department of Organization Behavior  
Kellogg Graduate School of Management  
Northwestern University  
2001 Sheridan Road  
Evanston, Illinois 60201

(312) 492-7692

FREEDOM OF INFORMATION  
ACT REQUEST

November 3, 1982

FOIA-82-542  
Rec'd 11-8-82

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Attn: Freedom of Information Act Office  
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Sirs:

I am a doctoral candidate in the Kellogg Graduate School of Management of Northwestern University. My current research concerns the mechanisms which some employers have created to provide intra-organizational avenues for considering conflicting professional opinions. It has come to my attention that NRC's Manual Chapter #4125 sets up just such a mechanism for employees of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. I understand that an internal Special Review Panel met earlier this year, and that a report on the first two years of NRC's experience with the new procedures is now being prepared.

I am writing to request a copy of the Special Review Panel's report if it is yet available, and also any other materials which pertain to NRC's procedures for dealing with differing professional opinions. I am interested specifically in the viability of the procedures themselves, NOT with the substantive details of the issues which may have been explored under the terms of the procedures.

Thank you for your prompt consideration of this request for information. I hope that it is possible for your office to send a response within three to four weeks. If you need further clarification of the nature of the request, please do not hesitate to call or write to me.

Sincerely,

*Jill W. Graham*

Jill W. Graham

Dupe of ~~83-201-49~~