

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

May 16, 1994

Docket No. 50-289

(10 CFR 2.206)

Mr. Robert Gary The Pennsylvania Institute for Clean Air 2211 Washington Avenue, #301 Silver Spring, Maryland 20910

Dear Mr. Gary:

On March 31, 1994, I issued Director's Decision No. DD 94-03 (Director's Decision) in response to your Petition dated July 10, 1992, which was submitted on behalf of The Pennsylvania Institute for Clean Air pursuant to Section 2.206 of Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR). The Petition and various supplements thereto alleged a number of deficiencies in offsite emergency planning for the GPU Nuclear Corporation's Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit 1 (TMI-1).

Your letter to Chairman Selin of April 6, 1994, your letter of April 7, 1994, titled "Principal Deficiencies in Director's Decision 94-03 Concerning PICA's Request Under 10 CFR 2.206," which was hand-carried by you to the Office of the Secretary of the Commission, and your "Statement Concerning the 10 Mile Rule" of April 20, 1994, have been referred to the staff for response.

Your letters of April 6, April 7, and April 20, 1994, raise several points not previously raised in regard to the 10-mile plume exposure pathway emergency planning zone (EPZ) and the financing of offsite emergency preparedness programs.

First, you stated that the City of Harrisburg should be either completely within or entirely outside of the 10-mile EPZ, in keeping with Long Island Lighting Company (Shoreham Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1), CLI-89-12, 26 NRC 383, 394-395 (1987), which interpreted 10 CFR 50.33 to call for adjustments to the EPZ on the basis of administrative considerations such as avoiding EPZ boundaries that arbitrarily carve out small portions of governmental jurisdictions. However, the exact size of the plume exposure pathway EPZ shall be determined in relation to local emergency response needs and capabilities as they are affected not only by jurisdictional boundaries, but also by such conditions as topography, demography, land characteristics, and access routes. Id. at 394. See also 10 CFR 50.33(g). The goal is planning simplicity and avoidance of ambiguity regarding the location of EPZ boundaries. Long Island Lighting Company, supra, at 395.

After the 1979 accident at TMI-2 and before restart of TMI-1, emergency planning for TMI-1 was examined in extensive evidentiary hearings before the NRC. The plume exposure pathway EPZ for TMI-1 was found to be in compliance with NRC regulations and to be adequate in providing reasonable assurance that

186082

9405200179 940516 PDR ADOCK 05000289 NRC FILE CENTER PARTIES 10

the public health and safety will be protected. Metropolitan Edison Co. (Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit 1), LPB-81-59, 14 NRC 1211, 1559 (1981), aff'd, ALAB-697, 16 NRC 1265 (1982); and ALAB-698, 16 NRC 1290 (1982). Before reaching that conclusion, the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board considered and denied requests to include within the EPZ the entire geographic extent of all political subdivisions that are bisected by a 10-mile circle around TMI-1. The Board found that "in every instance in which the EPZ boundary was not extended to include entire municipalities, the boundaries were established at roads or highways... . In this way, the plume EPZ boundary in any particular location is a clearly defined marker known to area residents...[which] is important for planning purposes because it will minimize confusion." Id. at 1556-57. The inclusion of a small portion of Harrisburg within the EPZ was not an arbitrary carving out of a governmental jurisdiction, but a reasoned decision consistent with emergency planning goals of simplicity and avoidance of ambiguity regarding location of EPZ boundaries. The Board also rejected requests to include the entire cities of Harrisburg and York and the urbanized areas surrounding those cities within the plume exposure pathway EPZ, concluding that there was no evidentiary basis to find inadequate the EPZ boundary lines which included portions of the urbanized areas in and around Harrisburg and York within the plume exposure pathway EPZ. Id. at 1557. No new evidence has been presented that would warrant revisiting that decision.

Second, you stated that "much" of the \$500,000 collected annually for TMI-1 emergency preparedness by the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania is actually spent on Pennsylvania Emergency Management Agency salaries and benefits, and that thus it is appropriate for the NRC to determine the real emergency preparedness funding needs of the Mayor and county executives. In view of the Director's Decision conclusion that adequate protective measures can and will be taken offsite in the event of a radiological emergency at TMI-1, there is no basis to determine the relative amount of direct and indirect State and local spending for offsite emergency preparedness planning, or to assume that additional local funds are required.

After the Director's Decision was issued, the NRC staff noted two errors in the Decision. By letter dated April 21, 1994, you were provided with errata pages 29 and 38. The correction to page 29 deletes a sentence that appeared at the end of Section III.A.7 and that stated: "The NRC has no statutory authority to implement such a request." Contrary to your letter of April 7, 1994, that deletion does not "suggest" that the NRC has the authority to federalize the collection and distribution of funds spent by States and localities for emergency preparedness. The Decision does not address the issue of whether the NRC has such authority. There was no need to address that issue in the Director's Decision because no evidence was presented to demonstrate that current funding is inadequate, and because I concluded that there is reasonable assurance that adequate protective measures can and will be taken in the event of a radiological emergency at TMI-1.

Your letters of April 6, April 7, and April 20, 1994, also repeat several allegations made in your Petition, including and related to the 10-mile EPZ, the use of military trucks in evacuation planning, and the adequacy of the Federal Emergency Management Agency review of offsite emergency preparedness, and repeat several requests made in the Petition. The letters, however, provide no information or argument that was not already supplied and considered before the Director's Decision was issued.

For all the above reasons, your letters of April 6, April 7, and April 20, 1994, provide no basis for the NRC staff to reconsider the March 31, 1994, Director's Decision.

Sincerely,

Original Signed By WILLIAM T. RUSSELL

William T. Russell, Director Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

cc: See next page

See previous concurrence

OFFICE	LA:PDI-4		P	M:PDI-4	D:PDI-4 BSNigzLy	D:DRSS:NRR FCongel*	TECH ED MMejac*
NAME			P	What to the			
DATE	5/69	/94	5	7 6/94	5/6/94	5/4/94	5/2/94
OFFICE		0GC*12/2		ADRI MA	D:DRPE	ADRP 4	D:NBR
NAME /		19Goldberg		JCalvo	SVarga	LReyes (WRussell
DATE		5/6/	94	5/6/94	576 /94	519194	5/16/94

change on p. 1.

May 16, 1994 Mr. Robert Gary -3-Your letters of April 6, April 7, and April 20, 1994, also repeat several allegations made in your Petition, including and related to the 10-mile EPZ. the use of military trucks in evacuation planning, and the adequacy of the Federal Emergency Management Agency review of offsite emergency preparedness, and repeat several requests made in the Petition. The letters, however, provide no information or argument that was not already supplied and considered before the Director's Decision was issued. For all the above reasons, your letters of April 6, April 7, and April 20, 1994, provide no basis for the NRC staff to reconsider the March 31, 1994, Director's Decision. Sincerely. Willin T. france William T. Russell, Director Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation cc: See next page

CC:

Michael Ross O&M Director, TMI-1 GPU Nuclear Corporation Post Office Box 480 Middletown, Pennsylvania 17057

John C. Fornicola Director, Licensing and Regulatory Affairs GPU Nuclear Corporation 100 Interpace Parkway Parsippany, New Jersey 07054

Jack S. Wetmore TMI Licensing Manager GPU Nuclear Corporation Post Office Box 480 Middletown, Pennsylvania 17057

Ernest L. Blake, Jr., Esquire Shaw, Pittman, Potts & Trowbridge 2300 N Street, NW. Washington, DC 20037

Chairman
Board of County Commissioners
of Dauphin County
Dauphin County Courthouse
Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17120

Mr. T. Gary Broughton, Vice President and Director - TMI-1 GPU Nuclear Corporation Post Office Box 480 Middletown, Pennsylvania 17057

Mr. Joseph La Fleur, Director Pennsylvania Emergency Management Agency Transportation & Safety Building, Rm. B151 Forster & Commonwealth Avenues Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17120

Michele G. Evans Senior Resident Inspector (TMI-1) U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Post Office Box 311 Middletown, Pennsylvania 17057

Regional Administrator, Region I U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 475 Allendale Road King of Prussia, Pennsylvania 19406

Robert B. Borsum B&W Nuclear Technologies Suite 525 1700 Rockville Pike Rockville, Maryland 20852

William Dornsife, Acting Director Bureau of Radiation Protection Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Resources Post Office Box 2063 Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17120

Mr. Dennis H. Kwiatkowski Federal Emergency Management Agency 500 C Street, SW Washington, DC 20472

Ms. Rita A. Calvan, Director Region III Federal Emergency Management Agency Liberty Square Building 105 South Seventh Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19106-3316 Mr. Robert Gary

CC:

James P. Riccio Public Citizen 215 Pennsylvania Avenue S.E Washington, DC 20003

Stephen R. Reed, Mayor The City of Harrisburg Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17101-1678

Janice C. Stork, Mayor
The City of Lancaster
Post Office Box 1599
120 North Duke Street
Lancaster, Pennsylvania 17603-1599

The Honorable Arlen Specter United States Senate Washington, DC 20510

The Honorable Harris Wofford United States Senate Washington, DC 20510-3803

The Honorable Joseph I. Lieberman United States Senate Washington, DC 20510-6175

The Honorable Alan K. Simpson United States Senate Washington, DC 20510-5002

The Honorable George W. Gekas United States House of Representatives Washington, DC 20515-3817

DISTRIBUTION W/DIRECTOR'S DECISION PDI-4 Controlled Correspondence File Docket Files NRC/Local PDRs EDO #0009963 EDO Reading J. Taylor, EDO J. Milhoan, EDO H. Thompson, EDO J. Blaha, EDO W. Russell/FMiraglia, NRR A, Thadani, NRR L. Reyes, NRR(Acting) D. Crutchfield, NRR F. Gillespie, NRR S. Varga, NRR F. Congel, NRR J. Calvo. NRR E. Butcher, NRR PEPB Reading File PEPB Staff J. Stolz, NRR R. Hernan, NRR S. Norris, NRR R. Emch, NRR F. Kantor, NRR S. Boynton, NRR G. Longo, OGC T. Martin, RI W. Lanning, RI A. Blough, RI R. Keimig, RI J. Rogge, RI OPA OCA SECY-CRC-94-0328 NRR Mail Room (EDO #0009963) V. Yanez (2), ADM C. Cater, SECY M. Malsch, OGC J. Goldberg, OGC K. Cyr, OGC S. Burns, OCAA ACRS (10)