APPENDIX A

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Entergy Operations, Inc. River Bend Station

Docket: 50-458 License: NPF-47

During an NRC inspection conducted on January 30 through March 12, 1994, two violations of NRC requirements were identified. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure' for NRC Enforcement Actions," 10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C, the violations are listed below:

A. Failure to Follow Procedures Controlling Measuring and Test Equipment

Technical Specification 6.8.1 requires, in part, that written procedures shall be established, implemented, and maintained covering the applicable procedures recommended in Appendix A of Regulatory Guide 1.33, Revision 2, February 1978.

Regulatory Guide 1.33, Appendix A, states, in part, that procedures should be provided to ensure that tools, gauges, instruments, controls, and other measuring and testing devices are properly controlled, calibrated, and adjusted at specified periods to maintain accuracy.

Administrative Procedure ADM-0029, "Control of Measuring and Test Equipment," Revision 11, establishes a program for ensuring that measuring and test equipment is properly controlled an calibrated.

Two examples were identified in which measuring and test equipment had not been handled in accordance with the established controls:

1. Procedure ADM-0029, Section 4.5 states, in part, that users of measuring and test equipment shall insure that equipment issued from the Cold Tool Room is not used in the radiologically controlled area (RCA).

Contrary to the above, on January 30, 1994, a pressure gauge labeled "non-RCA" was found staged for use in the fuel building, which was a radiologically controlled area.

2. Procedure ADM-0029, Section 5.6.2 states, in part, that the Master List, sorted by calibration due date, shall be used to ensure that all measuring and test equipment sue for calibration are recalled and removed from use in the field prior to the expiration of the calibration date.

Contrary to the above, on January 30, 1994, a digital meter was found in the fuel building 8 days after its calibration had expired.

This is a Severity Level V violation (458/9406-01) (Supplement I).

B. Failure to Meet ASME Code Requirements

Technical Specification 4.0.5 requires, in part, that inservice testing of ASME Code Class 1, 2, and 3 pumps and valves shall be performed in accordance with Section XI of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code.

Section XI of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Article IWP-3230 states, in part, that, if pumps fall within the alert status, the normal testing frequency shall be doubled until the cause of the deviation is determined and the condition corrected. Article IWV-3417 states, in part, that, if a power operated valve does not meet the acceptance criteria, the test frequency shall be increased to once each month until corrective action is taken.

Contrary to the above, from February 15, 1993, through January 23, 1994, the licensee removed safety-related Valves 1E12*MOVF064B, 1C11*AOVF180, and 1SWP*AOV51B and safety-related Pumps 1SWP*P3D, 1E21*PC002, and 1C41*PC001B from the alert status without first implementing corrective actions or performing an engineering analysis to document the acceptability of the inservice test results.

This is a Severity Level IV violation (458/9406-02) (Supplement I).

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, Entergy Operations, Inc. is hereby required to submit a written statement or explanation to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington, D.C. 20555 with a copy to the Regional Administrator, Region IV, 611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 400, Arlington, Texas 76011, and a copy to the NRC Resident Inspector at the facility that is the subject of this Notice, within 30 days of the date of the letter transmitting this Notice of Violation (Notice). This reply should be clearly marked as a "Reply to a Notice of Violation" and should include for each violation: (1) the reason for the violation, or, if contested, the basis for disputing the violation, (2) the corrective steps that have been taken and the results achieved, (3) the corrective steps that will be taken to avoid further violations, and (4) the date when full compliance will be achieved. If an adequate reply is not received within the time specified in this Notice, an order or a Demand for Information may be issued to show cause why the license should not be modified, suspended, or revoked, or why such other action as may be proper should not be taken. Where good cause is shown, consideration will be given to extending the response time.

Dated at Arlington, Texas, this both day of May 1994