

Appendix

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Commonwealth Edison Company

Docket No. 50-373

Docket No. 50-374

As a result of the inspection conducted on July 21 to ^{ROF}~~October 1~~, 1982, and in accordance with the NRC Enforcement Policy, 47 FR 9987 (March 9, 1982), the following violations were identified:

1. 10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criterion XVI, states in part, "...conditions adverse to quality... are promptly identified and corrected. In the case of significant conditions adverse to quality, the measures shall assure that the cause of the condition is determined and corrective action taken to preclude repetition".

The LaSalle County Station FSAR, Chapter 17.0, Section 16.0., page 16-1, states in part, "A Corrective action system will be used to assure that such items as... deficiencies, deviations, ... and nonconformances which are adverse to quality and might affect the safe operation...are promptly identified and corrected".

Contrary to the above, conditions adverse to quality were not promptly corrected nor were corrective actions sufficient to preclude repetition of similiar items. For example on August 25, 1980, a CECO audit identified documentation problems and actions were not taken to prevent recurrences of the same type of problems with other documentation.

This is a Severity Level IV violation (Supplement II).

2. 10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criterion VII, states in part, "Measures shall be established to assure that purchased material...conform to the procurement documents".

10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criterion XV, states in part, "Nonconforming items shall be reviewed and accepted, rejected, repaired or reworked in accordance with documented procedures".

Commonwealth Edison Quality Assurance Manual, Quality Requirement QR No. 15.0, paragraph 15.2, states in part, "Technical evaluation will be performed by qualified personnel to determine whether a nonconforming item may be accepted "as is", repaired to an acceptable condition, or whether the item must be rejected,... When items are accepted "as is", technical evaluation will be performed to assure that the final condition of noncomforming items will not adversely affect Code requirements, safety, operability or maintainability of the items, or of the component or system in which it is installed".

Contrary to the above, LaSalle NCR No. 557 was closed by CECO after Zack submitted a letter stating that the material met the Sargent and Lundy specification but with two attachments from the supplier stating that the material complied with a different standard than required.

This is a Severity Level V violation (Supplement II).

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, you are required to submit to this office within thirty days of the date of this Notice a written statement or explanation in reply, including for each item of noncompliance: (1) corrective action taken and the results achieved; (2) corrective action to be taken to avoid further noncompliance; and (3) the date when full compliance will be achieved. Consideration may be given to extending your response time for good cause shown.

Dated

James G. Keppler
Regional Administrator