

JAN 17 1983

DISTRIBUTION:

~~Docket File~~

NRC PDR

L PDR

ORB#4 Rdg

Gray File +4

Docket No. 50-312

DEisenhut

SMiner

RIngram

AEOD

OELD

IE-Harmon (2)

EJordan

Hornstein

EBlackwood

LSchneider

DBrinkman

CMiles

RDiggs

ACRS-10

TBarnhart-4

Mr. J. J. Mattimoe

Assistant General Manager and

Chief Engineer

Sacramento Municipal Utility District

6201 S Street

P. O. Box 15830

Sacramento, California 95813

Dear Mr. Mattimoe:

SUBJECT: SAFETY EVALUATION (SE) FOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALIFICATION (EQ) OF
SAFETY-RELATED ELECTRICAL EQUIPMENT

This letter transmits the SE for the EQ of Safety-Related Electrical Equipment at the Rancho Seco Nuclear Generating Station. This evaluation is based on your response to our previous SE issued on May 29, 1981, and submittals dated September 8, 1981, February 17, 1982 and July 1, 1982. This SE presents the results of the EQ Review for safety-related electrical equipment, exposed to a harsh environment, in accordance with NRC requirements. We request that you provide your plans for qualification or replacement of the equipment in NRC Categories I.B, 2.A and 2.B (presented in the Technical Evaluation Reports), and the schedule for accomplishing your proposed corrective actions to us within ninety (90) days of the receipt of this letter.

As indicated in the conclusion section of the SE, we request that you reaffirm the justification for continued operation and within thirty (30) days of receipt of this letter, submit information for items in NRC Categories I.B, 2.A and 2.B (presented in the Technical Evaluation Report) for which justification for continued operation was not previously submitted to the NRC. We suggest that the clarification set forth in Item 8 of Generic Letter No. 82-09, "Clarification Questions and Answers on Environmental Qualification Requirements", should be considered in your justification for continued operation.

The Technical Evaluation Report contains certain identified information which you have previously claimed to be proprietary. We request that you inform us as indicated in the proprietary section of the SE whether any portions of the identified pages still require proprietary protection.

8301250014 830117
PDR ADDOCK 05000312
P PDR

OFFICE						
SURNAME						
DATE						

Mr. J. J. Mattimoe

-2-

At your option, the staff will be available to discuss the findings in the SE as augmented by the Technical Evaluation Report. Questions regarding this letter should be directed through the NRC Project Manager for your plant.

The reporting and/or recordkeeping requirements contained in this letter affect fewer than ten respondents; therefore, OMB clearance is not required under P. L. 96-511.

Sincerely,

"ORIGINAL SIGNED BY:"

John F. Stolz, Chief
Operating Reactors Branch #4
Division of Licensing

Enclosures:

1. SE
2. Technical Evaluation Report

cc w/o Enclosure 2:
See next page

OFFICE

ORB#4:DL

C=ORB#4:DL

SURNAME

SMiner/cb

JStolz

DATE

1/1/83

1/1/83

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

USGPO: 1981-C35-060