

JAN 19 1983

Docket Nos: 50-329/50-330 OM, OL

APPLICANT: Consumers Power Company

FACILITY: Midland Plant, Units 1 & 2

SUBJECT: TELEPHONE DISCUSSIONS OF JANUARY 11 & 12, 1983  
REGARDING UNDERPINNING SETTLEMENT READINGS

On January 11 and 12, 1983, the NRC and its consultant from Geotechnical Engineers, Inc. (GEI) participated in a telephone discussion with Consumers Power Company (CPC) and Bechtel. The call primarily discussed settlement records for deep-seated benchmarks associated with underpinning construction of the two Electrical Penetration Areas (EPA's) located at the southern portion of the Midland Auxiliary Building. CPC's plans for underpinning the EPA's and the Service Water Pump Structure (SWPS) are described in Supplement 2 of the Safety Evaluation Report (NUREG-0793, October 1982).

Enclosure 1 is a record of this telephone conversation.

Darl Hood, Project Manager  
Licensing Branch No. 4  
Division of Licensing

Enclosure:  
As stated

cc: See next page

DISTRIBUTION

Docket File 50-329/330

NRC PDR

JKane

L PDR

WShafer, RIII

PRC System

LB#4 RF

EAdensam

DHood

MDuncan

RHernan

TNovak

DEisenhut/RPurple

OFFICE	DL:LB #4	DL:LB #4	HGEB	DE			
SURNAME	DHood:eb	EAdensam	JKane	JKnight			
DATE	1/17/83	1/17/83	1/18/83	1/18/83			
	8301240252	830119					
NRC	PDR	ADOCK	05000329				
A	PDR						

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

USGPO: 1981-335-960

MIDLAND

Mr. J. W. Cook  
Vice President  
Consumers Power Company  
1945 West Parnall Road  
Jackson, Michigan 49201

cc: Michael I. Miller, Esq.  
Ronald G. Zamarin, Esq.  
Alan S. Farnell, Esq.  
Isham, Lincoln & Beale  
Three First National Plaza,  
51st floor  
Chicago, Illinois 60602

James E. Brunner, Esq.  
Consumers Power Company  
212 West Michigan Avenue  
Jackson, Michigan 49201

Ms. Mary Sinclair  
5711 Summerset Drive  
Midland, Michigan 48640

Stewart H. Freeman  
Assistant Attorney General  
State of Michigan Environmental  
Protection Division  
720 Law Building  
Lansing, Michigan 48913

Mr. Wendell Marshall  
Route 10  
Midland, Michigan 48640

Mr. Roger W. Huston  
Suite 220  
7910 Woodmont Avenue  
Bethesda, Maryland 20814

Mr. R. B. Borsum  
Nuclear Power Generation Division  
Babcock & Wilcox  
7910 Woodmont Avenue, Suite 220  
Bethesda, Maryland 20814

Cherry & Flynn  
Suite 3700  
Three First National Plaza  
Chicago, Illinois 60602

Mr. Don van Farrowe, Chief  
Division of Radiological Health  
Department of Public Health  
P.O. Box 33035  
Lansing, Michigan 48909

Mr. Steve Gadler  
2120 Carter Avenue  
St. Paul, Minnesota 55108

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Resident Inspectors Office  
Route 7  
Midland, Michigan 48640

Ms. Barbara Stamiris  
5795 N. River  
Freeland, Michigan 48623

Mr. Paul A. Perry, Secretary  
Consumers Power Company  
212 W. Michigan Avenue  
Jackson, Michigan 49201

Mr. Walt Apley  
c/o Mr. Max Clausen  
Battelle Pacific North West Labs (PNWL)  
Battelle Blvd.  
SIGMA IV Building  
Richland, Washington 99352

Mr. I. Charak, Manager  
NRC Assistance Project  
Argonne National Laboratory  
9700 South Cass Avenue  
Argonne, Illinois 60439

James G. Keppler, Regional Administrator  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission,  
Region III  
799 Roosevelt Road  
Glen Ellyn, Illinois 60137

Mr. J. W. Cook

- 2 -

cc: Lee L. Bishop  
Harmon & Weiss  
1725 I Street, N.W., Suite 506  
Washington, D. C. 20006

Mr. Ron Callen  
Michigan Public Service Commission  
6545 Mercantile Way  
P.O. Box 30221  
Lansing, Michigan 48909

Mr. Paul Rau  
Midland Daily News  
124 McDonald Street  
Midland, Michigan 48640

Billie Pirner Garde  
Director, Citizens Clinic  
for Accountable Government  
Government Accountability Project  
Institute for Policy Studies  
1901 Que Street, N.W.  
Washington, D. C. 20009

Supplemental page to the Midland OM, OL Service List

Mr. J. W. Cook

- 3 -

cc: Commander, Naval Surface Weapons Center  
ATTN: P. C. Huang  
White Oak  
Silver Spring, Maryland 20910

Mr. L. J. Auge, Manager  
Facility Design Engineering  
Energy Technology Engineering Center  
P.O. Box 1449  
Canoga Park, California 91304

Mr. Neil Gehring  
U.S. Corps of Engineers  
NCEED - T  
7th Floor  
477 Michigan Avenue  
Detroit, Michigan 48226

Charles Bechhoefer, Esq.  
Atomic Safety & Licensing Board  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D. C. 20555

Dr. Frederick P. Cowan  
Apt. B-125  
6125 N. Verde Trail  
Boca Raton, Florida 33433

Jerry Harbour, Esq.  
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D. C. 20555

Geotechnical Engineers, Inc.  
ATTN: Dr. Steve J. Poulos  
1017 Main Street  
Winchester, Massachusetts 01890

ENCLOSURE

RECORD OF TELEPHONE CONVERSATION

DATE: January 11, 1983 @ 2:00 PM  
RECORDED BY: Joseph D. Kane

PROJECT: Midland

TALKED WITH:

CPC

J. Mooney  
J. Schaub  
T. Thiruvengadam  
K. Razdan  
R. Ramanujam

Bechtel

M. DasGupta  
W. Paris  
R. Wheeler  
G. Murray  
B. Cwikl  
J. Darby  
B. Adler  
M. Lewis  
B. Crouse

GEI

S. Poulos

NRC

R. Landsman  
J. Kane

ROUTE TO:

J. Knight  
G. Lear  
L. Heller  
D. Hood

H. Singh, COE  
S. Poulos, GEI  
R. Landsman, Region III  
J. Kane

MAIN SUBJECT OF CALL: To discuss background settlement readings -  
Auxiliary Building Underpinning

ITEMS DISCUSSED:

This call had been arranged at the request of NRC to discuss the background (underpinning had not yet started) settlement records provided to NRC for the period from 8/23/82 to 10/14/82. The records provided were for DSB-AN1, DSB-1E, DSB-2E, DSB-AS4 and DMD-3E and the ambient air temperatures for the same time frame. Region III had requested that HGEB review the background data and provide comments on the apparent upward movement of the EPA which is indicated by the settlement monitoring program.

1. CPC was asked to briefly describe the procedure that was followed to establish the uncorrected and corrected settlement curves which were provided for the deep-seated benchmarks (DSB). The uncorrected curves are based on the recorded LVDT readings. The occasional small triangles plotted on the curves are points established from the back-up dial gages. The corrected curves adjust the uncorrected curves for temperature changes measured at the deep-seated benchmarks (DSB) since the time of initial

installation. Temperature changes are measured at each DSB location at depths of 3 ft, 15 ft and 50 ft through thermocouples which were placed during installation. Minimal changes in temperature are being observed below the upper thermocouple. CPC is to provide the temperature readings with depth to support their position that temperature corrections at DSB-AN1, DSB-AN2 DSB-1E and DSB-1W are not required in the future. The plot of ambient air temperatures which was provided was not used in correcting for temperature variations.

2. During this call the following information was provided by CPC on  $\Delta_1$  values (See Drawing C-1493(Q) and prior reports for definition of differential settlement,  $\Delta_1$ ).

<u>Building Monitoring Location</u>	<u>Uncorrected Settlement</u> (in mils as of 1/11/83)	<u>Corrected Settlement</u> (in mils as of 1/11/83)
DSB-AN1 (North Main Auxil.)	68	118
DSB-AS4 (South Main Auxil.)	46	63
DSB-2E (East EPA)	35	43
DSB-3E (Control Tower)	22	44
DSB-2W (West EPA)	27	39

Computed values of  $\Delta_1$  that were given include:

DSB-2E:  $\Delta_1 = -18$  mils

DSB-3E:  $\Delta_1 = -17$  mils

DSB-2W:  $\Delta_1 = -15$  mils

DSB-3W:  $\Delta_1 = -10$  mils

These values are based on uncorrected readings for DSB-AN1 and corrected readings for the other locations. The minus signs reflects a magnitude of settlement at the EPA and Control Towers less than the Main Auxiliary Building.

3. Additional information provided by CPC included:

<u>Building Monitoring Location</u>	<u>Actual Settlement (corrected) (in mils as of 1/3/83)</u>
DSB-2W	29
DSB-3W	38
DSB-3E	39
DSB-2E	30

Estimated bearing pressures: EPA = 4.5 ksf, Control Tower = 5.2 ksf  
and Auxil. Bldg. = 9.5 ksf

4. Possible explanations for the larger amount of settlement occurring at the north end of the Main Auxiliary Building were discussed and included:

- a. The heavier loaded Auxiliary Building which rests on glacial till may be picking up additional load from the EPA and Control Tower through cantilever action because the more compressible till beneath the EPA and Control Tower is providing little foundation support.
- b. The EPA is affected more by changes in temperature than the other structures which causes an upward expansion of the EPA. This is reflected as less settlement than the other structures.
- c. The dewatering for underpinning is causing an uneven immediate settlement over a relatively large area in the thick glacial till layer.

5. The NRC Staff and its consultant made the following recommendations for plotting of the settlement data in order to sort out the many variables affecting the settlement readings.

- a. Plot the uncorrected and corrected readings for each monitor location along one line (North Auxil. Bldg. through to Control Tower) at the noon time interval. (On 1/12/83 this was later agreed to be at the midnight interval). Two settlement history plots on standard 11" by 17" graph paper should be developed for each monitoring location. One plot would have both horizontal and vertical (suggested 1 inch = 20 or 40 mils) arithmetic scales and the other plot would graph time in days (1, 10, 100, 1000) on semi-log paper. The temperatures used to correct the data should be plotted on the same graph at the same time interval (Temperature plot needed only on settlement graph plotted to arithmetic scales).

6. CPC indicated the requested settlement plots would be furnished to NRC in about one week's time. This was noted to be acceptable and will permit staff review prior to any site visit for reviewing underpinning progress (now planned for time when pier load test of W11 is being conducted).
7. The staff and its consultant believe the relatively small settlement values and the trends of that data which have been recorded to date are a result of temperature changes. It is felt that if sufficient background data were available, where comparable temperature and seasonal conditions were repeated, that the effects of sustained temperature changes would be clearer. It is also felt that the apparent upward movement of the EPA with respect to the other structures will be quickly reversed as underpinning operations progress beneath its foundation slab. The present trend indicated by the settlement readings is favorable with respect to the settlement acceptance criteria which has been established to control underpinning operations.
8. At the request of R. Ramanujam, CPC, several other items were discussed and included:
  - a. CPC plans to explore for buried utilities in advance of drilling the SWPS dewatering wells and soldier piles by using a jet-wash type boring (3-1/2" diameter water pipe) which would be inspected by the Resident Geotechnical Engineer. R. Landsman and J. Kane, NRC, agreed that this type of boring would be acceptable for attempting to locate utilities when performed in foundation soils which would eventually be removed either in underpinning operations or in replacement of service water piping.

There is a concern when using this type of drilling that the jetting and washing action, if not properly controlled, could cause development of voids and loosening of cohesionless foundation soils. The NRC staff expressed preference for other types of exploratory drilling (e.g., augering) in areas where future foundation stability was required. W. Paris of CPC indicated that this position does give them problems. At the staff's request, CPC is to identify the specific location of proposed borings which will be located in permanent foundation soils required to remain stable. This information will be used to guide the staff in a future response on the use of the jet-wash type boring.

- b. R. Landsman indicated that his review of underpinning procedures developed by CPC has identified a problem. The procedures presently indicate that backpacking behind pit excavation lagging is not required when "neat cut" of the pit excavation is made. CPC indicated that the lagging would be essentially in direct contact with the foundation soils when neat cutting was performed. After considerable discussion the major difference became centered on the interpretation of essential and whether the entire length of lagging is required to be in contact, or if short, narrow intermittent voids were acceptable behind lagging. All parties did agree that backpacking should be required, even if neat cutting procedures were used, if sufficient voids behind lagging did exist. It was acknowledged that reasonable judgments will have to be made during construction when faced with widely differing conditions of voids that may run from several inches to several feet in length behind the lagging. It is hoped that the early planned site visit will permit the typical void conditions to be viewed where a consensus of agreement can be reached.
9. An additional call from J. Kane to R. Landsman and K. Razdan on 1/12/83 requested that settlement be plotted vertically downward in the conventional engineering manner on the settlement history plots which CPC has agreed to provide. In addition CPC agreed to provide the background readings for the extensometers and strain monitoring devices.