1.0. BOX 3100 MIAMI, FLORIDA 33101



December 29, 1975 L-75-595

Mr. Norman C. Moseley Director, Region II Office of Inspection and Enforcement U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 230 Peachtree Street, N.W., Suite 818 Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Dear Mr. Moseley:

Re: IE:II:AKH 50-250/75-16 50-251/75-16

Florida Power and Light Company has examined the subject inspection report which noted the following item of non-compliance:

"Contrary to Technical Specification 6.9.3, the licensee did not submit in writing within 90 days, the Unit No. 4 inservice inspection report to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission."

Our response is as follows:

The overdue Inservice Inspection Report was transmitted to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission on November 20, 1975.

A procedure is now being written to describe the scheduling and preparation of reports to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission which are required by Technical Specifications. Adherence to such a procedure should prevent further noncompliance. Th "irst draft of the procedure will be completed by January 1, 1976. Following review, comment, and potential revision, the procedure is expected to become effective by about February 1, 1976.

In addition, if there is a valid reason for delaying the submission of a report required by Technical Specifications, a letter will be sent to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission describing the circumstances and requesting extension of the 90-day time limit.

8212290097 821130 PDR FOIA SCHLISS82-534 PDR

HELPING BUILD FLORIDA

Mr. Norman C. Moseley December 17, 1975 Page Two

1 .

No proprietary information has been identified in the subject inspection report.

.

Very truly yours,

Canson

Robert F Thrig Vice President

MAS/jar

· . . .

CC: Jack R. Newman, Esquire