

APPENDIX A

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Entergy Operations, Inc.  
River Bend Station

Docket: 50-458  
License: NPF-47

During an NRC inspection conducted on April 24 through June 4, 1994, two violations of NRC requirements were identified. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions," 10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C, the violations are listed below:

- A. Technical Specification 6.8.1.d states, in part, that written procedures shall be established, implemented, and maintained covering surveillance and test activities of safety-related equipment.

Contrary to the above, six examples are listed below where adequate procedures were not established and/or maintained:

1. A procedure was not appropriately maintained in that Surveillance Test Procedure STP-256-3302, "Division II Standby Service Water Valve Operability Test," Revision 7, contained an ambiguous note, which should have been a procedure step, prior to Step 7.18.1 and the ambiguity resulted in an inadvertent engineered safety feature actuation.
2. A procedure was not appropriately maintained in that Surveillance Test Procedure STP-302-1604, "Division III HPCS Bus Undervoltage 18 Month Channel Calibration," Revision 7, Step 7.4.6, required lifting a lead from a terminal containing two leads without specifying what to do with the second lead. Consequently, both leads were lifted and taped together, resulting in an unexpected Division III bus trip and automatic start of the Division III diesel generator.
3. A procedure was not appropriately established in that safety-related, air-operated Valve SWP\*AOV51B was gagged shut by applying a nitrogen pressure source and no procedural controls were implemented to provide overpressure protection for the valve. As a result, the nitrogen pressure regulator drifted to a pressure of 60 psig, which overpressurized the air operator above the manufacturer's recommended limit of 50 psig.
4. A procedure was not maintained in that Surveillance Test Procedure STP 403-0201 did not provide instructions for placing the standby gas treatment system in standby after completion of the surveillance test.
5. A procedure was not appropriately maintained in that Preventive Maintenance Procedure PMP-1001, "Preventive Maintenance of Elgar Power Line Conditioners," Revision 5, Step 8.4.5, was not achievable because the installed circuit configuration was not

considered when instructions were provided for insulation resistance testing. As a result, unsatisfactory results were obtained, requiring a change to the procedure.

This is a Severity Level IV violation (458/9412-01) (Supplement I).

- B. Technical Specification 6.11.1 states, in part, that procedures for personnel radiation protection shall be adhered to for all operations involving personnel radiation exposure.

River Bend Nuclear Procedure RBNP-024, "Radiation Protection Plan," Revision 4, Section 4.9.4, requires radiation workers to adhere to the radiological work permit requirements.

Contrary to the above, on May 11, 1994, a contract quality control inspector failed to adhere to the requirements of Procedure RBNP-024 in that the inspector logged on Radiological Work Permit 94-7007 and proceeded to enter the radiologically controlled area without reading and understanding the requirements delineated by the permit.

This is a Severity Level IV violation (458/9412-03) (Supplement IV).

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, Entergy Operations, Inc. is hereby required to submit a written statement or explanation to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington, D.C. 20555 with a copy to the Regional Administrator, Region IV, 611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 400, Arlington, Texas 76011, and a copy to the NRC Resident Inspector at the facility that is the subject of this Notice, within 30 days of the date of the letter transmitting this Notice of Violation (Notice). This reply should be clearly marked as a "Reply to a Notice of Violation" and should include for each violation: (1) the reason for the violation, or, if contested, the basis for disputing the violation, (2) the corrective steps that have been taken and the results achieved, (3) the corrective steps that will be taken to avoid further violations, and (4) the date when full compliance will be achieved. If an adequate reply is not received within the time specified in this Notice, an order or a Demand for Information may be issued to show cause why the license should not be modified, suspended, or revoked, or why such other action as may be proper should not be taken. Where good cause is shown, consideration will be given to extending the response time.

Dated at Arlington, Texas,  
this *5th* day of *July* 1994