FEB 12 1991

MEMORANDUM TO: Robert M. Gallo, Chief, Operator Licensing Branch, Division of Licensee Performance and Quality Evaluation, NRR

FROM: Geoffrey C. Wright, Chief, Operations Branch, Region III

SUBJECT: CERTIFICATION OF BILL STEINKE, INEL CONTRACT EXAMINER

During the week of January 28, 1991, Bill Steinke was observed administering oral/operating examinations to three candidates at the Callaway Nuclear Plant. In addition, Bill developed both the RO and SRO written examinations.

Based on his solid performance throughout all aspects of the examination, I recommend certification of Bill Steinke as a Westinghouse PWR examiner.

If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact me.

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY GEOFFREY C. WRIGHT

Geoffrey C. Wright, Chief
Operations Branch

Attachment: Form ES-501-5

cc w/attachment:
Craig Kvamme, INEL

9102250007 910212
PDR ADOCK 05000483
V PDR

RIII	RIII	RIII
KMS	B	
Shembarger/cg	Burdick	Wright
02/5/91	02/11/91	02/12/91

1/1
1E42

220002

ES-501-5

REV 6 06/01/90

U. S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

FORM 306

OPERATING TEST AUDIT

EXAMINER: Bill Steinke	FACILITY: Callaway
APPRAISER: Kris Shemberger	EXAM TYPE: RO + SRO
DATE: 1/29-1/31, 1991	DATE OF LAST APPRAISAL: N/A

RATING FACTORS	YES	NO
1. EXAMINER STANDARD CONFORMANCE		
A. ADMIN. TOPICS (ES-301/G.1)	X	
B. CONTROL ROOM SYSTEMS (ES-301/G.2)		
1. HEAT REMOVAL	X	
2. AUXILIARY	X	
3. ESF	X	
4. I & C	X	
5. RAD. MON.	X	
C. FACILITY WALK-THROUGH (ES-301/G.2)	X	
1. EMERGENCY/ABNORMAL PROCEDURES	X	

RATING FACTORS	YES	NO
D. INTEGRATED PLANT OPERATIONS (ES-301/G.3)	X	
2. KNOWLEDGE OF FACILITY/SYSTEMS/PROCEDURES		
A. SATISFACTORY FACILITY/SYSTEMS KNOWLEDGE	X	
B. SATISFACTORY PROCEDURES KNOWLEDGE	X	
3. ABILITY TO EVALUATE CANDIDATE'S KNOWLEDGE		
A. SATISFACTORY QUALITY OF QUESTIONS	X	
B. ASKS FOLLOWUP QUESTIONS WHEN NEEDED	X	
C. QUESTIONS APPROPRIATE FOR CANDIDATE LEVEL	X	
D. TESTED THE CANDIDATE'S BOARDSMANSHIP	X	

4. EXAMINER'S DEMEANOR (*COMMENT REQUIRED*)

Bill handled each candidate very well. His approach to examining is nonthreatening and he is able to put the candidate at ease.

5. EXAMINATION REPORT (per ES-303) N/A	YES	NO
A. COMMENTS JUSTIFIED N/A		
C. COMPLETE AND ACCURATE REPORT N/A		

	YES	NO
B. SUMMARY EVALUATIONS ADEQUATELY SUPPORTED	N/A	

6. COMMENTS

Overall, Bill performed very well. During the walkthrough portion of the exam, he asked effective followup questions when required. Bill needs to work on streamlining his approach to asking questions, which will reduce candidate anxiety and result in a smoother and more efficient exam.

For each evaluated area, check the appropriate block.

(*) All NO ratings require a comment. Use additional pages as necessary.

Examiner Standards