

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT

CONTRACT BLOCK: _____ (1)

(PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ALL REQUIRED INFORMATION)

0 1 | N | J | S | G | S | I | 2 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 5
7 8 9 LICENSEE CODE 14 15 LICENSE NUMBER 25 26 LICENSE TYPE 30 57 CAT 58

CON'T
0 1 | REPORT SOURCE | L | 6 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 8 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 9
7 8 60 61 DOCKET NUMBER 68 69 EVENT DATE 74 75 REPORT DATE 80

EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES (10)

0 2 | On November 9, 1982, during routine surveillance, an operator observed a fire in
0 3 | Fire Detection Instrumentation Panel 1FP3. Power to the panel was de-energized, and
0 4 | a number of fire detectors were rendered inoperable. Areas affected included the
0 5 | Switch Gear Rooms, Battery Room Elevation 64, Diesel Generator Area and Diesel Fuel
0 6 | Storage Area. Due to the inoperability of the instruments, Action Statement 3.3.3.6a
0 7 | was entered. The occurrence constituted operation in a degraded mode in accordance
0 8 | with Technical Specification 6.9.1.9.b. (82-082, 81-122, 81-068, 81-038, 81-026)
7 8 9

0 9 | SYSTEM CODE | CAUSE CODE | CAUSE SUBCODE | COMPONENT CODE | COMP. SUBCODE | VALVE SUBCODE
A B (11) | E (12) | A (13) | R E L A Y X (14) | A (15) | Z (16)
9 10 11 12 13 18 19 20
17 | LER NO. REPORT NUMBER | EVENT YEAR | SEQUENTIAL REPORT NO. | OCCURRENCE CODE | REPORT TYPE | REVISION NO.
8 2 (17) | - | 0 8 8 | / | 0 3 | L | - | 0
21 22 23 24 26 27 28 29 30 31 32
ACTION TAKEN | FUTURE ACTION | EFFECT ON PLANT | SHUTDOWN METHOD | HOURS | ATTACHMENT SUBMITTED | NPRD-4 FORM SUB. | PRIME COMP. SUPPLIER | COMPONENT MANUFACTURER
A (18) | Z (19) | Z (20) | Z (21) | 0 0 0 0 | Y (23) | Y (24) | A (25) | P 4 3 5 (26)
33 34 35 36 37 40 41 42 43 44 47

CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS (27)

1 0 | The fire was extinguished and fire watch patrols were immediately established for the
1 1 | areas involved. The fire had resulted from the failure of the panel alarm buzzer relay.
1 2 | The relay was replaced, the fire detection instrumentation was satisfactorily tested
1 3 | and the action statement was terminated.
1 4 |
7 8 9

1 5 | FACILITY STATUS | % POWER | OTHER STATUS (30) | METHOD OF DISCOVERY | DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION (32)
H (28) | 0 0 0 (29) | N/A | A (31) | Operator Observation
7 8 9 10 12 13 44 45 46 80

1 6 | ACTIVITY RELEASED | CONTENT | AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY (35) | LOCATION OF RELEASE (36)
Z (33) | Z (34) | N/A | N/A
7 8 9 10 11 44 45 80

1 7 | PERSONNEL EXPOSURES | NUMBER | TYPE | DESCRIPTION (39)
0 0 0 (37) | Z (38) | N/A
7 8 9 11 12 13 80

1 8 | PERSONNEL INJURIES | NUMBER | DESCRIPTION (41)
0 0 0 (40) | N/A
7 8 9 11 12 80

1 9 | LOSS OF OR DAMAGE TO FACILITY | TYPE | DESCRIPTION (43) | 8212140060 821124
Z (42) | N | S | PDR ADOCK 05000272
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 80

2 0 | PUBLICITY ISSUED | DESCRIPTION (45) | NRC USE ONLY
N (44) | N/A
7 8 9 10 11 12 68 69 80

GPO 917-925