CHARLES S. ROBB

VIRGINIA

WASHINGTON OFFICE: Russell Senate Office Building First and Constitution Avenue, N.E. Room 493 Washington, DC 20510 (202) 224-4024

United States Senate

WASHINGTON D.C. 20510

May 9, 1994

COMMITTEES:

ARMED SERVICES

COMMERCE, SCIENCE, AND TRANSPORTATION

FOREIGN RELATIONS

Chairman, East Asian and Pacific Affairs Subcommittee JOINT ECONOMIC COMMITTEE

Vice Chairman. Democratic Policy Committee

Mr. Dennis K. Rathbun Director Office of Congressional Affairs Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

Dear Mr. Rathbun:

I have been contacted by Ms. Shari Plank of Fairfax, Virginia, expressing concern about the exemption of the U.S. Navy and the Department of Energy from oversight by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. I am enclosing a copy of the correspondence I've received.

I would appreciate it if you could review the letter and provide me with any information you might have about the circumstances of any exemptions and the reasons for it. Many thanks for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Charles S. Robb

CSR\slb Enclosure

940630030/2pp.

April 22, 1994

The Honorable Charles S. Robb United States Senate 225 Russell Senate Office Bldg. Washington, DC 20510

Dear Sir:

I have become recently aware that government -- under the smokescreen of national security -- has secretly been permitting transport of highly radioactive materials by rail, without alerting communities along the route. The National Regulatory Commission requires that states be given advanced notification of radioactive materials.

If the waste operations are so safe, why is government denying the public to know how it's transported and disposed of in this country? Apparently on January 25, 1994 just such a clandestine consignment left the Naval Shipyard in Newport News, VA enroute for disposal at the Idaho Engineering Lab (INEL). This was the third such clandestine shipment that occurred.

Both the U.S. Navy and DOE enjoy an exemption from Nuclear Regulatory Commission regulations. They claim that shipments are kept secret to protect them from terrorist attack. But if Greenpeace and other anti-nuclear activists can track these shipments, it seems likely that terrorist organizations could do the same. The real reason may be to simply keep the Navy's submarine waste operations clear of public scrutiny. A political cover like this tramples on the public's "right to know."

I urge you, as our Senator, to review these dubious Navy and DOE exemptions to Nuclear Regulatory Commission regulations.

I am an environmentally concerned citizen in Northern Virginia.

Thank you for your continued good work as one of our state's senators.

Sincerely,

Shari Plank

Man POS

CONGRESSIONAL CORRESPONDENCE SYSTEM DOCUMENT PREPARATION CHECKLIST

This checklist is be submitted with each document (or group of Qs/As) sent for . ing into the CCS.	
1.	BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF DOCUMENT(S) At Jen Robb
2.	TYPE OF DOCUMENT Correspondence Essrings (Qs/As):
3.	DOCUMENT CONTROL Sensitive (MRC Only) Non-Sensitive
4.	CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEE and SUBCOMMITTEES (if applicable)
	Congressional Committee
	Subcommittee
5.	SUBJECT CODES
	(a)
	(b)
	(c)
6.	SOURCE OF DOCUMENTS
	(a) 5520 (document name
	(b) Scan- (c) Attachments
	(d)Rekey (e)Other
7.	SYSTEM LOG DATES
	(a) 7/2/94 Date OCA sent document to CCS
	(b) Date CCS receives document
	(c) Date returned to OCA for additional information
	(d) Date resubmitted by-ock to ccs
	(e) Date entered into CCS by
	(f) Date OCA notified that document is in CCS

8 .- COMMENTS