

ENCLOSURE 1

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Florida Power Corporation  
Crystal River 3

Docket No.: 50-302  
License No.: DPR-72

During an NRC inspection conducted on April 18-22 and May 2-6, 1994, violations of NRC requirements were identified. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions," 10 CFR 2 Appendix A, the violation is listed below:

- A. 10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criterion V, as implemented by FSAR Section 1.7.1.5, requires that activities affecting quality shall be accomplished in accordance with instructions, procedures or drawings.

For Magnetic Particle (MT) inspection of safety related pipe welds, paragraph 7.2.2 of procedure ISI-270, Revision 29, Wet or Dry Methods of Magnetic Particle Examination of Welds, Studs, Bolts and Pump Fly Wheels, requires that, "Excess particles shall be removed by means of dry-air current of sufficient force to remove excess particles without disturbing particles which are indicative of discontinuities."

For Licensee inspection of Service Contractors, Guide 5, Revision 2, Verification of Certification for Personnel, Equipment, and Consumables, of the Inservice Inspection Manual, implements the requirements of Section 7.8, Revision 5, Audit, Inspection, and Surveillance of Service Contractors of the Nuclear Procurement & Storage Manual. Paragraph 3.2 of Guide 5 requires verification by the ISI Section that contractor personnel on site are certified prior to being allowed to perform examination and that certification records be reviewed by NQC. Paragraph 3.3 of Guide 5 requires verification by the ISI Section that calibration records for NDE Contractor's equipment used for NDE are submitted to NQC prior to beginning work on site.

Contrary to the above, between April 20 and 21, 1994, activities affecting quality were not being accomplished in accordance with procedures in that:

- For Feedwater System Weld C2.1.153, the NDE examiner was removing the excess particles by blowing with his mouth, resulting in a poor inspection technique.
- One Eddy Current (ET) Analyst was performing analysis before his certification records arrived on site.
- Qualification records for three ET Analysts and one ISI NDE (PT, MT, and UT) examiner had not been reviewed and approved by NQC. In addition, eye examination records were missing from the file of one of the ET Analysts.

- ET equipment calibration records had not been submitted to NQC for review and approval prior to beginning work. In addition, calibration records for one UT instrument (Serial # 31459-1511) had not been approved by NQC.

This is a Severity Level IV violation Supplement I.

- B. 10 CFR50, Appendix B, Criterion V, as implemented by FSAR Section 1.7.1.5 requires that activities affecting quality shall be prescribed by documented procedures and shall be accomplished in accordance with such procedures.

Contrary to the above on April 20, 1994 activities affecting quality were being performed without documented procedures in that contractor ET analysts, were given plant-specific performance examinations to demonstrate plant-specific analytical skills without a written and approved performance demonstration program.

This is a Severity Level IV violation Supplement I.

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, Florida Power Corporation is hereby required to submit a written statement or explanation to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington, D.C. 20555 with a copy to the Regional Administrator, Region II, and a copy to the NRC Resident Inspector at the facility that is the subject of this Notice, within 30 days of the date of the letter transmitting this Notice of Violation (Notice). This reply should be clearly marked as a "Reply to a Notice of Violation" and should include for each violation: (1) the reason for the violation, or, if contested, the basis for disputing the violation, (2) the corrective steps that have been taken and the results achieved, (3) the corrective steps that will be taken to avoid further violations, and (4) the date when full compliance will be achieved. If an adequate reply is not received within the time specified in this Notice, an order or Demand for Information may be issued as to why the license should not be modified, suspended, or revoked, or why such other action as may be proper should not be taken. Where good cause is shown, consideration will be given to extending the response time.

Dated at Atlanta, Georgia  
this 3rd day of June 1994