Docket No. 50-341 License No. NPF-43 The Detroit Edison Company ATTN: D. R. Gipson Senior Vice President Nuclear Generation 6400 North Dixie Highway Newport, MI 48166 Dear Mr. Gipson: This refers to the routine safety inspection conducted by Messrs. K. Riemer, N. Jackiw, S. Orth, Z. Falevits, J. Smith, B. Stearns, and A. Vegel of this office from April 1, 1994, through May 27, 1994. The inspection included a review of activities at your Fermi 2 facility. At the conclusion of the inspection, the findings were discussed with those members of your staff identified in the enclosed report. Areas examined during the inspection are identified in the report. Within these areas, the inspection consisted of a selective examination of procedures and representative records, observations, and interviews with personnel. During this inspection, certain of your activities appeared to be in violation of NRC requirements, as specified in the enclosed Notice of Violation. The violation is of concern because it is another example of inattention to detail on the part of personnel performing abnormal lineup sheet activities, indicating that corrective actions to eliminate these errors have not been totally effective. In this case, the error also resulted in an inadvertent loss of a safeguards electrical bus. Your control room operators were required to respond to this bus loss. Another violation was identified that pertained to the mispositioning of fuel in the spent fuel pool; however, the violation was a licensee identified item and, in accordance with 10 CFR Part 2. Appendix C. Section VII.B. a Notice of Violation will not be issued. You are required to respond to this letter and should follow the instructions specified in the enclosed Notice when preparing your response. In your response, you should document the specific actions taken and any additional actions you plan to prevent recurrence. After reviewing your response to this Notice, including your proposed corrective actions and the results of future inspections, the NRC will determine whether further NRC enforcement action is necessary to ensure compliance with NRC regulatory requirements. 9406270205 940615

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.790 of the Commission's regulations, a copy of this letter, the enclosed inspection report, and your response to this letter will be placed in the NRC Public Document Room.

The responses directed by this letter and the accompanying Notice are not subject to the clearance procedures of the Office of Management and Budget as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980, PL 96-511.

We will gladly discuss any questions you have concerning this inspection.

Sincerely,

Original signed by J. A. Zwalinski

Thomas O. Martin, Deputy Director Division of Reactor Projects

Enclosures:

1. Notice of Violation

 Inspection Report No. 50-341/94007(DRP)

cc w/enclosure: John A. Tibai, Principal Compliance Engineer P. A. Marguardt, Corporate Legal Department OC/LFDCB Resident Inspector, RIII James R. Padgett, Michigan Public Service Commission Michigan Department of Public Health Monroe County Office of Civil Preparedness Fermi, LPM, NRR E. G. Greenman, RIII S. Stein, SRS

(see attached concurrence)

RIII RIII

Phillips

McCormick-Barger

RIII

Wright

RIII

Pirok

RIII

TMartin

You are required to respond to this letter and should follow the instructions specified in the enclosed Notice when preparing your response. In your response, you should document the specific actions taken and any additional actions you plan to prevent recurrence. After reviewing your response to this Notice, including your proposed corrective actions and the results of future inspections, the NRC will determine whether further NRC enforcement action is necessary to ensure compliance with NRC regulatory requirements.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.790 of the Commission's regulations, a copy of this letter, the enclosed inspection report, and your response to this letter will be placed in the NRC Public Document Room.

The responses directed by this letter and the accompanying Notice are not subject to the clearance procedures of the Office of Management and Budget as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980, PL 96-511.

We will gladly discuss any questions you have concerning this inspection.

Sincerely,

Lewis F. Miller, Jr., Chief Reactor Projects Branch 2

Enclosures:

Notice of Violation
 Inspection Report
 No. 50-341/94007(DRP)

cc w/enclosure: John A. Tibai, Principal Compliance Engineer P. A. Marquardt, Corporate Legal Department OC/LFDCB Resident Inspector, RIII James R. Padgett, Michigan Public Service Commission Michigan Department of Public Health Monroe County Office of Civil Preparedness Fermi, LPM, NRR E. G. Greenman, RIII S. Stein, SRS

Phillips 6/10/94

RIII PMCCormick-Barger Wright 6/60/94

Pirak Pirak

RIII Miller



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

REGION III 801 WARRENVILLE ROAD LISLE, ILLINOIS 60532-4351

JUN 13 19:4

Docket No. 50-341 License No. NPF-43

The Detroit Edison Company
ATTN: D. R. Gipson
Senior Vice President
Nuclear Generation
6400 North Dixie Highway
Newport, MI 48166

Dear Mr. Gipson:

This refers to the routine safety inspection conducted by Messrs. K. Riemer, N. Jackiw, S. Orth, Z. Falevits, J. Smith, B. Stearns, and A. Vegel of this office from April 1, 1994, through May 27, 1994. The inspection included a review of activities at your Fermi 2 facility. At the conclusion of the inspection, the findings were discussed with those members of your staff identified in the enclosed report.

Areas examined during the inspection are identified in the report. Within these areas, the inspection consisted of a selective examination of procedures and representative records, observations, and interviews with personnel.

During this inspection, certain of your activities appeared to be in violation of NRC requirements, as specified in the enclosed Notice of Violation. The violation is of concern because it is another example of inattention to detail on the part of personnel performing abnormal lineup sheet activities, indicating that corrective actions to eliminate these errors have not been totally effective. In this case, the error also resulted in an inadvertent loss of a safeguards electrical bus. Your control room operators were required to respond to this bus loss. Another violation was identified that pertained to the mispositioning of fuel in the spent fuel pool; however, the violation was a licensee identified item and, in accordance with 10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C, Section VII.B, a Notice of Violation will not be issued.

You are required to respond to this letter and should follow the instructions specified in the enclosed Notice when preparing your response. In your response, you should document the specific actions taken and any additional actions you plan to prevent recurrence. After reviewing your response to this Notice, including your proposed corrective actions and the results of future inspections, the NRC will determine whether further NRC enforcement action is necessary to ensure compliance with NRC regulatory requirements.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.790 of the Commission's regulations, a copy of this letter, the enclosed inspection report, and your response to this letter will be placed in the NRC Public Document Room.

The responses directed by this letter and the accompanying Notice are not subject to the clearance procedures of the Office of Management and Budget as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980, PL 96-511.

We will gladly discuss any questions you have concerning this inspection.

Sincerely,

Thomas O. Martin, Deputy Director Division of Reactor Projects

Enclosures:

1. Notice of Violation

 Inspection Report No. 50-341/94007(DRP)

cc w/enclosure: John A. Tibai, Principal Compliance Engineer P. A. Marquardt, Corporate Legal Department OC/LFDCB Resident Inspector, RIII James R. Padgett, Michigan Public Service Commission Michigan Department of Public Health Monroe County Office of Civil Preparedness Fermi, LPM, NRR E. G. Greenman, RIII S. Stein, SRS