DISTRIBUTION: Document Control (50-440/50-441)NRC PDR PRC System LB#1 Rdg MRushbrook

JStefano TNovak

NOV 3 0 1982

Docket Nos.: 50-440 and 50-441

> MEMORANDUM FOR: Darrell G. Eisenhut, Director Division of Licensing

Thomas M. Novak, Assistant Director THRU: for Licensing Division of Licensing

> B. J. Youngblood, Chief Licensing Branch No. 1 Division of Licensing

John J. Stefano, Project Manager FROM: Licensing Branch No. 1 Division of Licensing

NRR INPUT TO SALP - PERRY UNITS 1 AND 2 SUBJECT:

We have completed the NRR input for the SALP for Perry Units 1 and 2. A copy of the SALP report is attached. An overall rating of Category 1 is given to the utility with regard to licensing performance during this rating period. This evaluation was based upon my observations as well as on input solicited from review staff personnel who have had involvement with Perry's licensing activities. The draft was provided to the NRR Division Directors requesting comments by November 15, 1982 (reference memo from A. Schwencer dated November 12, 1982). No comments were received.

John J. Stefano, Project Manager Licensing Branch No. 1 Jivision of Licensing

Attachment: NRR input to SALP Report on Perry 1 and 2

T. N. Tamblin Region III CC: NRR Divisia, D' coors NRR As in rectors Controu inches B. Grius

82 PD Q	12080019 82 R ADOCK 050	1130 00440 PDR	1		/	
OFFICE	DL:EB#1 JStefano:cw 11/29/82	DL/LG BJYounge 11/22	f food 82	Novak		
IRC FORM 318 (10-80) NRCM 0240				OFFICIAL	RECORD C	OPY

USGPO: 1981-335-960

.....

NRC FORM 318 (10-80) NRCM 0240

ATTACHMENT 1

PERRY NUCLEAR FOWER PLANT

(UNITS 1 and 2)

Licensing Activities Appraisal of the Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company's Performance (Period of October 1981 - September 1982)

The principal activities addressed during the appraisal period are related to the licensee's management involvement, approach to resolution of technical issues, and responsiveness in the NRC staff's detailed review of the Perry FSAR and ER, and the licensee's performance in providing input and additional information for the staff's preparation of the Perry SER (issued in May 1982); Supplement No. 1 to the SER (issued in August 1982); and the FES (issued in August 1982). Several meetings were held with the licensee in Bethesda and at the Perry site for this purpose during the appraisal period. Correspondence, for the most part, was limited to this work. In February 1982, the licensee informed the staff of a 6-month slippage in the fuel load date for Unit 1 to November 1983. In late July 1982, the licensee provided a revised construction schedule (CPPR change) consistent with meeting the revised fuel load date, which is currently under evaluation.

In responding to staff technical questions and data requests, the licensee's performance, with few exceptions, has been timely, accurate and complete. The licensee's staff members consistently demonstrated an excellent working knowledge of applicable regulations, guides, scandards and que aric issues pertaining to their plant. This was reportedly evidenced by the licensee's positive attitude and responsiveness to the NRC staff in addressing unresolved issues cited in the SER, and by their active participation as a member of Licensing Review Group II, established to address generic issues pertaining to BWR/6 plants. The licensee's staff is always prepared to meet with the NRC staff in a reasonably short time frame to obtain a clearer understanding in responding to NRC data needs, and has been successful by their preparedness, diligence and aggressiveness in helping to expedite SER issue resolution to date in a timely manner. During meetings, the licensee has continually demonstrated a thorough understanding of the technical details of issues under discussion, and has effectively utilized the services of its architect engineer, GE and other contractors to make such meetings productive. Examples include meetings held during the appraisal period addressing fire protection issues and staff concerns; auxiliary system issues; containment system issues; instrumentation and control system issues; structural engineering issues; mechanical engineering issues and environmental issues. The licensee's performance at the ACRS subcommittee and full committee meetings (June -July 1982) was most commendable, and they were quick to respond satisfactorily to questions raised by committee members at those meetings. The licensee is fully committed, from the highest management on down the corporate structure, to obtain an operating license for Unit 1 in November 1983 and has, with few exceptions, met its commitments in providing information to the NRC staff for resolving SER issues toward that objective.

In summary, the licensee for Perry is characterized as knowledgeable, cooperative and technically competent in the licensing area, and is accordingly rated as Category 1 for the appraisal period of October 1981 -Septebmer 1982.