U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION REGION I

Management Meeting Report No. 030-20787/90-60
Docket No. 030-20787 License No. 29-21452-01
Licensee: Consolidated NDE, Inc 6 Woodbridge Avenue P.O. Box 592 Woodbridge, New Jersey 07095
Meeting At: Region I, King of Prussia, Pennsylvania
Meeting Conducted: April 27, 1990
Prepared by: Homphin 12/10/90 Thomas K. Thompson date Senior Health Physicist
James P. Dwyer date date
Approved by: John R. White, Chief Nuclear Materials Safety Section C

Summary: The findings documented in Special Inspection Report No. 030-20787/90-002 were presented. The Licensee was given the opportunity to dispute the findings and present the Company's view on the apparent violations. The NRC's Enforcement Policy was explained.

DETAILS

1. Persons Attending

C. J. Williams, President
Bruce Ballard, Radiation Safety Officer
Anthony Andrews, Radiographer
Anthony Carbone, Sr., Assistant Radiographer
Walter Russell, Representative, Operating Engineers Union

Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Malcolm R. Knapp, Director, Division of Radiation Safety and Safeguards, DRSS
Richard W. Cooper, Deputy Division Director, Division of Radiation Safety and Safeguards, DRSS
Lee H. Bettenhausen, Branch Chief, Nuclear Materials Safety Branch, DRSS
Daniel J. Holody, Enforcement Officer
Richard A. Matakas, Investigator, Office of Investigations Thomas K. Thompson, Senior Health Physicist
James P. Dwyer, Health Physicist
Glenn E. Roberts, Health Physicist

via telephone:

Jenny M. Johansen, Office of Enforcement John E. Glenn, Office of Nuclear Materials Safety and Safeguards James Lieberman, Office of Enforcement Charles A. Barth, Office of the General Counsel John J. Miller, Acting Section Chief, Nuclear Materials Safety Section C

2. Conference Summary

- a. Introductions were made and attendees were addressed by Dr. Knapp.
 Mr. Cooper went over the ground rules and provided some background
 for the meeting. Mr. Thompson was asked to present the inspector's
 April 25, 1990 observations and allow the licensee time to respond.
- b. Mr. Thompson described the licensee's postings, establishment of a restricted area, surveillance of the high radiation area, radiographers training, and surveys associated with the April 25, 1990 inspection.

- c. Mr. Ballard indicated that although he acknowledged problems in the areas identified by NRC, he believed the company did not willfully disregard NRC regulations but simply did not fully understand NRC's interpretation of the rules.
- d. Mr. Cooper asked the licensee's representatives what had been done since the April 5, 1990 Enforcement Conference to correct the problems found during the March 20, 1990 inspection. Mr. Ballard stated that they had visited every job site for at least 45 minutes and spoke with every man. Mr. Ballard added that they had developed new audit forms but had not put them into use since the forms required approval by the NRC.
- e. Mr. Cooper observed that numerous violations had been discussed and asked the licensee's representatives what steps they intended to take to correct the problems. Mr. Williams said that they would first phone the teams to review the problems and then follow- b. within thirty days, with more extensive training and review. Mr. Williams added that a letter would be sent to the radiographers with their next paycheck. Mr. Ballard said that now that regulations are better understood. Consolidated NDE will post wooded areas and no longer over post. Mr. Ballard said that they would also consider changin their field paper work to make the records more accurate. Mr. Pariard asked for uniform enforcement of the posting regulations as three workers may be needed on a pipeline to fully comply. Mr. Ballard said that if other radiography companies are not required to comply it would put Consolidated NDE out of business. Mr. Russell suggested that the NRC publish a bulletin detailing the regulatory requirements for posting.
- f. Mr. Holody went over the enforcement options available within the NRC's enforcement policy. Mr. Cooper thanked everyone for attending and pointed out that this meeting did not preclude having an enforcement conference at a later date. Information presented would be considered in deciding any further action.