PETITION RULE PRM 50-60 (59FR 17499)



DOCKETED Log # TXX-94161 NRC File # 920

Ref. # Fed. Reg. 17499 (1994)

OFFICE OF SECRETARY DOCKETING & SERVICE June 13, 1994 BRANCH

William J. Cahill, Jr. Group Vice President

Mr. Samuel J. Chilk Secretary of the Commission U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555 Attn: Docketing and Service Branch

SUBJECT: VIRGINIA ELECTRIC AND POWER COMPANY: PETITION FOR RULEMAKING.

59 FED. REG. 17499 (1994)

Dear Mr. Chilk:

These comments are submitted by TU Electric in response to the above referenced notice and invitation to comment on Virginia Electric and Power Company's petition for rulemaking to amend 10 CFR Part 50.54(t). TU Electric supports the petition and believes that the proposed change to 10 CFR Part 50.54(t) is warranted.

Power reactor licensee effectiveness in emergency preparedness has improved steadily to the point where annual independent audits no longer provide a significant benefit, let alone a benefit commensurate with their cost in dollars and diverted resources. For the nuclear power industry, emergency preparedness audit deficiencies over the past few years have been largely absent or narrowly focussed. Furthermore, adequate safeguards exist to ensure that the effectiveness of licensee emergency preparedness does not deteriorate during the proposed two-year interval for audits.

The proposed relaxation of the annual audit requirement compliments VEPCo's March 1993 rulemaking petition -- still pending before the Commission -- to reduce the frequency of mandatory emergency preparedness exercises from annually to biennially. By alternating the mandatory emergency preparedness audit and exercise, the NRC will still maintain a formal mechanism to annually verify emergency preparedness program effectiveness.

9406200051 940613 PDR PR 50 59FR17499 PDR

DS1

TXX-94161 Page 2 of 2

TU Electric agrees with VEPCo that annual audits are no longer necessary to ensure an adequate level of emergency response capability. Biennial audits would allow licensees to concentrate available audit resources in areas of observed weakness based on performance. Because the costs associated with the annual audit requirement are not commensurate with its safety benefits, amending the emergency preparedness rules to permit biennial audits could provide a net safety benefit.

Sincerely,

William J, Cahill, Jr.

1 S Marchall

Generic Licensing Manager

CLW/grp