



June 11, 1994

0CAN069408

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Document Control Desk
Mail Station P1-137
Washington, DC 20555

Subject: Arkansas Nuclear One - Units 1 and 2
Docket Nos. 50-313 and 50-368
License Nos. DPR-51 and NPF-6
Response To Inspection Report
50-313/94-16; 50-368/94-16

Gentlemen:

Pursuant to the provisions of 10CFR2.201, attached is the response to the violations identified during inspection activities associated with the review of the physical security program.

Should you have any questions or comments, please call me at 501-964-8601.

Very truly yours,

Dwight C. Mims
Director, Licensing

DCM/mas

Attachments

X IFOZ
1/1

U. S. NRC
June 11, 1994
PAGE 2

1-04 10-11

cc: Mr. Leonard J. Callan
Regional Administrator
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Region IV
611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 400
Arlington, TX 76011-8064

NRC Senior Resident Inspector
Arkansas Nuclear One - ANO-1 & 2
Number 1, Nuclear Plant Road
Russellville, AR 72801

Mr. George Kalman
NRR Project Manager Region IV/ANO-1
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
NRR Mail Stop 13-H-3
One White Flint North
11555 Rockville Pike
Rockville, MD 20852

Mr. Thomas W. Alexion
NRR Project Manager, Region IV/ANO-2
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
NRR Mail Stop 13-H-3
One White Flint North
11555 Rockville Pike
Rockville, MD 20852

143
ATION
115

SAFEGUARDS INFORMATION

WARNING. This document contains Safeguards Information. Unauthorized disclosure is prohibited per section 147 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 and 10CFR73.21. Violations subject to civil and criminal sanctions.

Reviewed by:

Dwight C. Mims

(Name)

Dwight C. Mims

(Signature)

Entergy Operations, Incorporated

(Organization)

June 11, 1994

(Date)

CONTAINS
SAFEGUARDS
INFORMATION
ON THIS
CONTROLLED

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

During an NRC inspection conducted on April 18-22, 1994, three violations of NRC requirements were identified. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions," 10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C, the violations are listed below:

License Condition 2.D (Unit 1) and License Condition 2.c(4) (Unit 2) of the licensee's facility operating licenses require that the licensee fully implement and maintain the Commission approved Physical Security Plan, including amendments and changes made pursuant to the authority of 10 CFR 50.54(p).

A. Inadequate Access Control - Vehicles

Paragraph 1.6 of the Physical Security Plan requires that all licensee designated vehicles shall have the ignition locked and the key removed while unattended.

On April 21, 1994, the inspector found an unattended licensee designated vehicle unsecured with the ignition keys in the vehicle.

This is a Severity Level IV violation (313/9416-01; 368/9416-01)
(Supplement III).

B. Inadequate Detection Aids - Protected Area

Paragraph 1.4C of the Physical Security Plan requires that the protected area perimeter is protected by a single intrusion detection system. Paragraph 3.1.4A4 requires that the perimeter intrusion alarm system generate an alarm upon detection of stimulus or a condition for which the system was designed to react. Paragraph 3.1.4A5 states that the perimeter alarm system shall be located on the exterior side of the perimeter physical barrier.

Contrary to the above, on April 21, 1994, the inspector determined that the design of the perimeter detection system failed to provide adequate detection to two sections of the protected area perimeter.

This is a Severity Level IV violation (313/9416-02; 368/9416-02)
(Supplement III).

CONTAINS
INFORMATION:
ON THIS
PAGE IS UNCONTROLLED

9405240840

UNCONTROLLED
INFORMATION

C. Failure to Follow Safeguards Information Control Procedure Requirements

10 CFR 73.21(e) requires documents or other matter that contains safeguard information to be marked in a conspicuous manner. 10 CFR 73.21(d)(2) requires that knowledge of lock combinations protecting safeguards information be limited to a minimum number of personnel.

Paragraph 6.3.2 of Station Procedure 1000.044 requires that a label be attached to each safeguards storage container with the names of the individuals authorized uncontrolled access to the safeguards container and who have the combination to the locking device. Paragraph 6.9.1 of the same procedure requires that the covers of all documents containing safeguards information be marked on the front and back as safeguards information.

Contrary to the above, on April 21, 1994, the inspector identified that one of the safeguards container labels did not have all the names of the individuals granted uncontrolled access to the container and the combination. Further, containers contained safeguards documents without required marking on the front and rear covers of the documents.

This is a Severity Level IV violation (313/9416-03; 368/9416-03) Supplement III).

CONTAINS
UNCONTROLLED INFORMATION
INFORMATION THIS
PAGE IS UNCONTROLLED

UNCONTROLLED
INFORMATION