DISTRIBUTION

Docket File No. 40-8681

PDR

URFO r/f URFO w/f

> NRC Region IV DMGillen

JJLinehan HJPettengill

RDSmith BFisher JTCollins

URFO:DMG Docket No. 40-8681

040086811800

MEMORANDUM FOR:

Docket File No. 40-8681

FROM:

Daniel M. Gillen

Uranium Recovery Field Office

NOV 0 2 1982

SUBJECT:

MINUTES OF MEETING WITH ENERGY FUELS NUCLEAR (EFN),

DOCKET NO. 40-8681

Place and Date:

Silver Spring, Maryland, May 6, 1982

Purpose:

To discuss EFN's conceptual plans for development of a new tailings disposal plan, and EFN's surety requirements.

Participants:

NRC	EFN
D. Martin J. Linehan D. Gillen T. Johnson K. Hamill	M. Vincelette E. Baker G. Glasier H. Roberts EFN Consultant: M. Taylor (D'Appolonia)

BACKGROUND:

Tailings Disposal Plan

In previous meetings, EFN has mentioned that due to the costs that they have experienced in constructing the tailings cells of the present tailings disposal plan, they have been investigating an alternative disposal scheme. EFN requested that a meeting be held in order for them

40-8681/dmg/82/10/28/1

the state of the s						
OFC :	8211170374 821 PDR ADDCK 0400	102				
		PDR		 		
NAME :						
:		!	:	 	'	
DATE						
DAIL :						

OFFICIAL DOCKET COPY

- 2 -

to present their preliminary plans for development of an alternative program.

Surety Requirements

By letter dated June 12, 1980, EFN submitted a one-year Letter of Credit in fulfillment of NRC surety requirements. License Condition No. 29 was then amended (Amendment No. 4) to state details of this surety arrangement and to set requirements for EFN to submit revised or renewed surety arrangements prior to the expiration date of the Letter of Credit. EFN failed to adhere to this license requirement and the Letter of Credit expired on May 16, 1981. EFN's stated reason for their failure to meet the license condition is an inability to re-establish a surety arrangement. The staff than requested documented evidence of EFN's surety renewal efforts, and received information by submittals dated June 4, 1981, and November 23, 1981. The staff's review of the limited correspondence submitted by EFN indicated that a surety bond may be the only option which they seriously pursued.

To deal with this situation, Amendment No. 12 was issued to revise License Condition No. 29. In order for the staff to adequately evaluate the reported difficulties which Utah operators and EFN in particular were experiencing in obtaining surety bonds, Condition No. 29 was revised to require the licensee to submit copies of all correspondence regarding surety that had been sent to and received from bonding companies, banks and/or other organizations since efforts to obtain surety were begun. In addition, the revision set October 19, 1982, as the deadline by which time an acceptable surety arrangement shall be established in conformance with the Uranium Mill Tailings Radiation Control Act as amended, or appropriate enforcement action would be taken.

Furthermore, in the letter transmitting this amendment to EFN, the staff listed other surety options for the licensee's consideration, including a financial qualifications test similar to that established by EPA for closure of solid waste disposal sites. EFN wished to also discuss matters related to the issuance of Amendment No. 12 during the meeting.

DISCUSSION:

Following the opening introduction of all attendees and NRC's brief summary of the purpose of the meeting, E. Baker of EFN gave a short presentation of the reason EFN is proposing a new tailings disposal plan.

40-8681/dmg/82/10/2E/1

OFC							
NAME		:	:		:	:	
DATE			:	:	:	:	:
	OFFICIAL	DOCKET (COPY				

The high cost of continuing the present plan, consisting of a series of synthetically lined relatively shallow tailings cells, was cited. Mr. Baker further stated that it is likely that the alternative proposal would be submitted sometime in 1983.

H. Roberts of EFN then gave a presentation of EFN's alternative tailings disposal concept. A tailings impoundment and evaporation pond that would be located approximately 4 miles south of the mill are being considered. The system would consist of a natural canyon enclosed by a large engineered embankment behind which tailings would be impounded, and a smaller embankment upstream of the tailings that would contain liquid decanted off the tailings for evaporation. Preliminary investigations have shown natural claystone to be present in the canyon bottom and sides. Diversion ditches would be constructed around the impoundments although the tailings area would be designed to retain PMF runoff from the approximately 1500 acre drainage area. A 4-mile pipeline would be designed to transmit the tailings from the mill to the new disposal area. Alarms, collection ditches and full time inspection of the line would be used to minimize any impacts from pipeline failure.

Following the presentation and a discussion of the concept, the staff concluded that long-term stability of the reclaimed tailings would likely be the key issue in a future review of the plan and therefore, must be looked at closely by EFN. The staff informed EFN that they would also have to include a comparative evaluation of impacts from both the original 6 cell plan and the new plan (3 cells and new disposal area). The staff further stated that review of the proposed licensing action would involve a major amendment request by EFN, an environmental impact appraisal by the staff and based on the results of the appraisal, possibly preparation of a full environmental impact statement.

In response to the NRC staff's Amendment No. 12, EFN submitted a request for hearing - not based on an objection to requirements for surety, but based on a concern that the NRC handle the surety requirements for other Utah licensee's in a similar manner. In addition, although Amendment No. 12 was not in effect pending resolution or withdrawal of the hearing request, EFN submitted copies of surety correspondence at the staff verbal request.

G. Glasier of EFN informed the staff that EFN is pursuing a surety that would employ a combination of methods, possibly including bond, cash or letter of credit and self-bonding under EPA financial qualifications criteria.

40-8681/dmg/82/10/28/1

DEC :	4-26					
NAME :				:		
DATE :		001)\/		:	
0	FICIAL DO	CKET COL	4			

NOV Q 2 1982

- 4 -

The staff informed EFN that bonding on a year-to-year basis for the costs associated with each year would be acceptable, provided that renewals occur sufficiently in advance of surety expiration dates.

In a related issue, EFN indicated that they intend to submit, in the near future, an amendment request to reduce the tailings reclamation cover thickness. The staff stated that backup analyses addressing how the reduced cover would meet the performance objectives related to long-term stability and radon control must accompany the request.

The conclusions and commitments presented above were summarized and signed by the principal parties involved in the meeting (see attachment).

D. M. Gilles

Daniel M. Gillen Uranium Recovery Field Office

J. J. Liseban . .

Approved By:

John J. Linehan, Section Leader Uranium Recovery Field Office

Enclosure: Hand-Written Meeting Minutes

40-8681/dmg/82/10/28/1

OFFICIAL DOCKET COPY

OFC : URFO NAME DMGillen:ag DATE : Walker	MRFQ :			
NAME DMGillen:ag	Junepan			
DATE : 11-1-82	1dxKY			

Marting with Energy Fulls Nuclear (EFN)
May 6,1982
Silver Spring, Md.

"Summery of Conclusion and Commitments

Board on discussions regarding a conseptual plan for a new takings disposed and approximately 3 miles from the west trees take, it was concluded that Rong term laterality of the reclaimed tailings would a likely be name key rapide own a future remain of this plan. EFN personnel will attest to mut with R. Sicrona and J. Nelson in New Mexico next week to further discuss the new correspt with respect to long term atability.

The midicated that they intend to pulmit, in the men future and amendment request (with back up report addressing the preforman original related to long-term stability as now not to reduce the tailings reclamation cover from the present licensial thehours of approximately 13 feet to a thickness of about 7.5 feet. The NRC stoff will respond with a tentative review schedule within three weeks of receipt of the amendment request.

I'm response to the NRC steff's comendment #12 of the belief Mesa liceise (smity condition) FFN acted so follows:

amendment was submitted. EFN stated that the hear required was not brosed on an objection to requirement for surely, but was based on a server that the NRC staff handle the surely requirement for other Utal bringing COPY similar manner.

b) Notwithslanding the fact that samuelment \$12 so not effective pending espolution of withdrawal of the hearing request, EFN submitted copies of surety correspondence at the stoff's would require FFN further indicated that they are pursuing a courty that would employ a combination of methods, possibly including bond, coal or letter of medit and self-bondy under EPA framend qualifications enteria. Im addition, secent communicative between EFN and londing brokers shows that if the following usines are addressed, a bond might be obtainable: a) partial bonding b) specificity of liability in terms of activities c) ling-term notice of the commitment d) specific release provisions. with agend to exclamation rosts, the NRC story concluded that EFN can bond on a year to your bosis for the costs accounted will that year, provided renewall occur sufficiently in advance of surety experation

Don E. Martin

Kathleen Hamill 5/6/8.